

Simply typed functions :
type of result depends on
type of argument, but not its value

vs

Dependently typed functions :
type of result depends on
type of argument **and** on its value


[§5, p 53 et seq]

Functions on types

In PLC, $\Lambda\alpha (M)$ is an anonymous notation for the function F mapping each type τ to the value of $M[\tau/\alpha]$ (of some particular type).

Dependently typed Functions on types

In PLC, $\Lambda\alpha (M)$ is an anonymous notation for the function F mapping each type τ to the value of $M[\tau/\alpha]$ (of some particular type).



if $\Lambda\alpha (M) : \forall\alpha (\tau')$,
then for each argument τ ,
the type of $M[\tau/\alpha]$ is $\tau'[\tau/\alpha]$,
- it depends on the argument τ

So $\forall\alpha (\tau')$ is a type of
"dependently-typed" functions

Dependent Functions

Given a set A and a family of sets B_a indexed by the elements a of A , we get a set

$$\prod_{a \in A} B_a \triangleq \{F \in A \rightarrow \bigcup_{a \in A} B_a \mid \forall (a, b) \in F (b \in B_a)\}$$

the set of all b that
are in B_a for some $a \in A$

Dependent Functions

Given a set A and a family of sets B_a indexed by the elements a of A , we get a set

$$\prod_{a \in A} B_a \triangleq \{F \in A \rightarrow \bigcup_{a \in A} B_a \mid \forall (a, b) \in F (b \in B_a)\}$$

of *dependent functions*. Each $F \in \prod_{a \in A} B_a$ is a single-valued and total relation that associates to each $a \in A$ an element in B_a

Dependent Functions

Given a set A and a family of sets B_a indexed by the elements a of A , we get a set

$$\prod_{a \in A} B_a \triangleq \{F \in A \rightarrow \bigcup_{a \in A} B_a \mid \forall (a, b) \in F (b \in B_a)\}$$

of *dependent functions*. Each $F \in \prod_{a \in A} B_a$ is a single-valued and total relation that associates to each $a \in A$ an element in B_a (usually written $F a$).

For example if $A = \mathbb{N}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_n = \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, then $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ consists of functions mapping each number n to an n -ary Boolean operation.

A tautology checker

```
fun taut x f = if x = 0 then f else  
               (taut(x - 1)(f true))  
               andalso (taut(x - 1)(f false))
```

A tautology checker

```
fun taut x f = if x = 0 then f else  
                (taut(x - 1)(f true))  
                andalso (taut(x - 1)(f false))
```

Defining types *n AryBoolOp* for each natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{cases} 0 \text{ AryBoolOp} & \triangleq \text{bool} \\ (n+1) \text{ AryBoolOp} & \triangleq \text{bool} \rightarrow (n \text{ AryBoolOp}) \end{cases}$$

Eg. $3 \text{ AryBoolOp} = \underbrace{\text{bool} \rightarrow (\text{bool} \rightarrow (\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}))}_{3 \text{ arguments}}$

A tautology checker

```
fun taut x f = if x = 0 then f else  
                (taut(x - 1)(f true))  
                andalso (taut(x - 1)(f false))
```

Defining types *n AryBoolOp* for each natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{cases} 0 \text{ AryBoolOp} & \triangleq \text{bool} \\ (n+1) \text{ AryBoolOp} & \triangleq \text{bool} \rightarrow (n \text{ AryBoolOp}) \end{cases}$$

then *taut n* has type $(n \text{ AryBoolOp}) \rightarrow \text{bool}$, i.e. the result type of the function *taut* depends upon the value of its argument.

The tautology checker in Agda

```
data Bool : Set where
```

```
  true  : Bool
```

```
  false : Bool
```

```
_and_ : Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

```
true  and true  = true
```

```
true  and false = false
```

```
false and _      = false
```

```
data Nat : Set where
```

```
  zero : Nat
```

```
  succ : Nat -> Nat
```

```
_AryBoolOp : Nat -> Set
```

```
zero      AryBoolOp = Bool
```

```
(succ x) AryBoolOp = Bool -> x AryBoolOp
```

```
taut : (x : Nat) -> x AryBoolOp -> Bool
```

```
taut zero      f = f
```

```
taut (succ x) f = taut x (f true) and taut x (f false)
```

The tautology checker in Agda

```
data Bool : Set where
```

```
  true  : Bool
```

```
  false : Bool
```

```
_and_ : Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

```
true  and true  = true
```

```
true  and false = false
```

```
false and _      = false
```

a simply typed
function

```
data Nat : Set where
```

```
  zero : Nat
```

```
  succ : Nat -> Nat
```

```
_AryBoolOp : Nat -> Set
```

```
zero      AryBoolOp = Bool
```

```
(succ x) AryBoolOp = Bool -> x AryBoolOp
```

```
taut : (x : Nat) -> x AryBoolOp -> Bool
```

```
taut zero      f = f
```

```
taut (succ x) f = taut x (f true) and taut x (f false)
```

a dependently
typed function

Dependent function types $\Pi x : \tau (\tau')$

(written in Agda as
 $(x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau'$)

τ' may 'depend' on x , i.e. have free occurrences of x .

(Free occurrences of x in τ' are bound in $\Pi x : \tau (\tau')$.)

Dependent function types $\Pi x : \tau (\tau')$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau (M) : \Pi x : \tau (\tau')} \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

Dependent function types $\Pi x : \tau (\tau')$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau (M) : \Pi x : \tau (\tau')} \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \Pi x : \tau (\tau') \quad \Gamma \vdash M' : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M M' : \tau' [M'/x]}$$

Conversion typing rule

Dependent type systems usually feature a rule of the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau'} \quad \text{if } \tau \approx \tau'$$

where $\tau \approx \tau'$ is some relation of *equality between types* (e.g. inductively defined in some way).

For example one would expect $(1 + 1) \text{ AryBoolOp} \approx 2 \text{ AryBoolOp}$.

Conversion typing rule

Dependent type systems usually feature a rule of the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau'} \quad \text{if } \tau \approx \tau'$$

where $\tau \approx \tau'$ is some relation of *equality between types* (e.g. inductively defined in some way).

For example one would expect $(1 + 1) \text{AryBoolOp} \approx 2 \text{AryBoolOp}$.

For decidability of type-checking, one needs \approx to be a decidable relation between type expressions.

Pure Type Systems (PTS) – syntax

In a PTS type expressions and term expressions are lumped together into a single syntactic category of *pseudo-terms*:

t	$::=$	x	variable
		s	sort
		$\Pi x : t (t)$	dependent function type
		$\lambda x : t (t)$	function abstraction
		$t t$	function application

where x ranges over a countably infinite set **Var** of variables and s ranges over a disjoint set **Sort** of *sort symbols* – constants that denote various universes (= types whose elements denote types of various sorts) [*kind* is a commonly used synonym for *sort*]. $\lambda x : t (t')$ and $\Pi x : t (t')$ both bind free occurrences of x in the pseudo-term t' .

E.g. if s is a sort for types

$\lambda x : s (\lambda y : x (y))$ is like PLC term $\lambda \alpha (\lambda y : \alpha (y))$

Pure Type Systems (PTS) – syntax

In a PTS type expressions and term expressions are lumped together into a single syntactic category of *pseudo-terms*:

t	$::=$	x	variable
		$ $ s	sort
		$ $ $\Pi x : t (t)$	dependent function type
		$ $ $\lambda x : t (t)$	function abstraction
		$ $ $t t$	function application

where x ranges over a countably infinite set **Var** of variables and s ranges over a disjoint set **Sort** of *sort symbols* – constants that denote various universes (= types whose elements denote types of various sorts) [*kind* is a commonly used synonym for *sort*]. $\lambda x : t (t')$ and $\Pi x : t (t')$ both bind free occurrences of x in the pseudo-term t' .

Binders : $\Pi x : t (-)$
 $\lambda x : t (-)$

Pure Type Systems (PTS) – syntax

In a PTS type expressions and term expressions are lumped together into a single syntactic category of *pseudo-terms*:

t	$::=$	x	variable
		s	sort
		$\Pi x : t (t)$	dependent function type
		$\lambda x : t (t)$	function abstraction
		$t t$	function application

where x ranges over a countably infinite set **Var** of variables and s ranges over a disjoint set **Sort** of *sort symbols* – constants that denote various universes (= types whose elements denote types of various sorts) [*kind* is a commonly used synonym for *sort*]. $\lambda x : t (t')$ and $\Pi x : t (t')$ both bind free occurrences of x in the pseudo-term t' .

$t[t'/x]$ denotes result of capture-avoiding substitution of t' for all free occurrences of x in t .

Pure Type Systems (PTS) – syntax

In a PTS type expressions and term expressions are lumped together into a single syntactic category of *pseudo-terms*:

t	$::=$	x	variable
		$ $ s	sort
		$ $ $\Pi x : t (t)$	dependent function type
		$ $ $\lambda x : t (t)$	function abstraction
		$ $ $t t$	function application

where x ranges over a countably infinite set **Var** of variables and s ranges over a disjoint set **Sort** of *sort symbols* – constants that denote various universes (= types whose elements denote types of various sorts) [*kind* is a commonly used synonym for *sort*]. $\lambda x : t (t')$ and $\Pi x : t (t')$ both bind free occurrences of x in the pseudo-term t' .

$t \rightarrow t' \triangleq \Pi x : t (t')$ where $x \notin \text{fv}(t')$.

Simply-typed functions
are a special case of
dependently-typed
functions

Pure Type Systems – beta-conversion

- ▶ *beta-reduction* of pseudo-terms: $t \rightarrow t'$ means t' can be obtained from t (up to alpha-conversion, of course) by replacing a subexpression which is a *redex* by its corresponding *reduct*. There is only one form of redex-reduct pair:

$$(\lambda x : t (t_1)) t_2 \rightarrow t_1[t_2/x]$$

- ▶ As usual, \rightarrow^* denotes the reflexive-transitive closure of \rightarrow .

Pure Type Systems – beta-conversion

- ▶ *beta-reduction* of pseudo-terms: $t \rightarrow t'$ means t' can be obtained from t (up to alpha-conversion, of course) by replacing a subexpression which is a *redex* by its corresponding *reduct*. There is only one form of redex-reduct pair:

$$(\lambda x : t (t_1)) t_2 \rightarrow t_1[t_2/x]$$

- ▶ As usual, \rightarrow^* denotes the reflexive-transitive closure of \rightarrow .
- ▶ *beta-conversion* of pseudo-terms: $=_\beta$ is the reflexive-symmetric-transitive closure of \rightarrow (i.e. the smallest equivalence relation containing \rightarrow).

Pure Type Systems – typing judgements

take the form

$$\Gamma \vdash t : t'$$

where t , t' are pseudo-terms and Γ is a *context*, a form of typing environment given by the grammar

$$\Gamma ::= \diamond \mid \Gamma, x : t$$

(Thus contexts are finite ordered lists of (variable,pseudo-term)-pairs, with the empty list denoted \diamond , the head of the list on the right and list-cons denoted by $_,_$. Unlike previous type systems in this course, *the order in which typing declarations $x : t$ occur in a context is important.*)

eg. $\diamond, x : S, f : x \rightarrow S \vdash fx : S$
(S a sort, x & f variables)