

Topics in Concurrency

Lecture 7

Jonathan Hayman

1 November 2016

The modal μ -calculus [§4.2 p48]

$$A ::= T \mid F \mid A_0 \wedge A_1 \mid A_0 \vee A_1 \mid \neg A \mid \langle \lambda \rangle A \mid \langle - \rangle A \mid X \mid \nu X.A$$

To guarantee monotonicity (and therefore the existence of the fixed point), require the variable X to occur only **positively** in A in $\nu X.A$. That is, X occurs only under an even number of \neg s.

$$\begin{aligned} s \models \nu X.A & \quad \text{iff} \quad s \in \nu X.A \\ & \quad \text{i.e.} \quad s \in \bigcup \{ S \subseteq \mathcal{P} \mid S \subseteq A[S/X] \} \\ & \quad \text{the maximum fixed point of the monotonic} \\ & \quad \text{function } S \mapsto A[S/X] \end{aligned}$$

The modal μ -calculus [§4.2 p48]

$$A ::= T \mid F \mid A_0 \wedge A_1 \mid A_0 \vee A_1 \mid \neg A \mid \langle \lambda \rangle A \mid \langle - \rangle A \mid X \mid \nu X.A$$

To guarantee monotonicity (and therefore the existence of the fixed point), require the variable X to occur only **positively** in A in $\nu X.A$. That is, X occurs only under an even number of \neg s.

$$\begin{aligned} s \models \nu X.A & \text{ iff } s \in \nu X.A \\ & \text{ i.e. } s \in \bigcup \{ S \subseteq \mathcal{P} \mid S \subseteq A[S/X] \} \\ & \text{ the maximum fixed point of the monotonic} \\ & \text{ function } S \mapsto A[S/X] \end{aligned}$$

As before, we take

$$[\lambda]A \equiv \neg \langle \lambda \rangle \neg A \quad [-]A \equiv \neg \langle - \rangle \neg A$$

Now also take

$$\mu X.A \equiv \neg \nu X.(\neg A[\neg X/X])$$

Example

Consider the process

$$P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a.(a.P + b.c.\mathbf{nil})$$

Which states satisfy

- $\mu X.\langle a \rangle X$
- $\nu X.\langle a \rangle X$
- $\mu X.[a] X$
- $\nu X[a] X$

Approximants

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ be monotonic.

φ is \cap -continuous iff for all decreasing chains $X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq X_n \supseteq \dots$

$$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \varphi(X_n) = \varphi\left(\bigcap_{n \in \omega} X_n\right)$$

If the set of states \mathcal{S} is finite, continuity certainly holds

Theorem

If $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ is \cap -continuous:

$$\nu X. \varphi(X) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \varphi^n(\mathcal{S})$$

Approximants

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ be monotonic.

φ is \cup -continuous iff for all increasing chains $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_n \subseteq \dots$

$$\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \varphi(X_n) = \varphi\left(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n\right)$$

If the set of states \mathcal{S} is finite, continuity certainly holds

Theorem

If $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ is \cup -continuous:

$$\mu X. \varphi(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \varphi^n(\emptyset)$$

Proving interpretations

Proposition

$s \models \mu X. \langle a \rangle T \vee \langle - \rangle X$ in any transition system iff there exists a sequence of transitions from s to a state t where an a -action can occur.

Proving interpretations

Proposition

$s \models \nu X. \langle a \rangle X$ in a finite-state transition system iff there exists an infinite sequence of a -transitions from s .

Proving interpretations

Proposition

$s \models \nu X. \langle a \rangle X$ in a finite-state transition system iff there exists an infinite sequence of a -transitions from s .

There are **infinite-state** transition systems where $\varphi(X) = \langle a \rangle X$ is not \sqcap -continuous.

Bisimilarity and modal μ

For finite-state processes, modal- μ can be encoded in infinitary H-M logic

if finite-state processes p and q are bisimilar then they satisfy the same modal- μ assertions

Bisimilarity and modal μ

For finite-state processes, modal- μ can be encoded in infinitary H-M logic

if finite-state processes p and q are bisimilar then they satisfy the same modal- μ assertions

Note that logical equivalence in modal- μ does not generally imply bisimilarity (due to the lack of infinitary conjunction)