# Constructing and Evaluating Word Embeddings

Dr Marek Rei and Dr Ekaterina Kochmar Computer Laboratory University of Cambridge

#### **Representing words as vectors**

Let's represent words (or any objects) as vectors. We want to construct them so that similar words have similar vectors.

#### Sequence

I live in CambridgeI live in ParisI live in TallinnI live in yellow

#### **Representing words as vectors**

Let's represent words (or any objects) as vectors. We want to construct them so that similar words have similar vectors.

	Sequence	Count
	I live in Cambridge	19
	I live in Paris	68
	I live in Tallinn	0
	I live in yellow	0
× Tallinn × Ca × Lono × F	ambridge don Paris	×ye ×re

#### **Representing words as vectors**

Let's represent words (or any objects) as vectors. We want to construct them so that similar words have similar vectors.

	Sequence	Count
	I live in Cambridge	19
	I live in Paris	68
	l live in Tallinn	0
	I live in yellow	0
× Tallinn × Car × Londo × Pa	nbridge on aris	×ye ×re

#### **1-hot vectors**

How can we represent words as vectors?

**Option 1**: each element represents a different word.

Also known as "1-hot" or "1-of-V" representation.

	bear	cat	frog
bear	1	0	0
cat	0	1	0
frog	0	0	1

bear=[1.0, 0.0, 0.0]

cat=[0.0, 1.0, 0.0]

#### **1-hot vectors**

When using 1-hot vectors, we can't fit many and they tell us very little.

Need a separate dimension for every word we want to represent.



**Option 2**: each element represents a property, and they are shared between the words.

Also known as "distributed" representation.

	furry	dangerous	mammal
bear	0.9	0.85	1
cat	0.85	0.15	1
frog	0	0.05	0

bear = [0.9, 0.85, 1.0] cat = [0.85, 0.15, 1.0]

#### **Distributed vectors**



Distributed vectors group similar words/objects together

#### **Distributed vectors**



$$\cos(a,b) = \frac{\sum_{i} a_{i} \cdot b_{i}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} a_{i}^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i} b_{i}^{2}}}$$

cos(lion, bear) = 0.998 cos(lion, dog) = 0.809 cos(cobra, dog) = 0.727

Can use cosine to calculate similarity between two words

#### **Distributed vectors**



We can infer some information, based only on the vector of the word

We don't even need to know the labels on the vector elements

#### Words which are similar in meaning occur in similar contexts. (Harris, 1954)

#### You shall know a word by the company it keeps (Firth, 1957)

He is reading a **magazine** I was reading a **newspaper** 

This **magazine** published my story

She buys a **magazine** every month He buys this **newspaper** every day

The **newspaper** published an article

One way of creating a vector for a word:

Let's count how often a word occurs together with specific other words.

He is reading a **magazine** 

This **magazine** published my story

I was reading a newspaper

The **newspaper** published an article

She buys a magazine every month He buys this newspaper every day

	reading	а	this	published	my	buys	the	an	every	month	day
magazine	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
newspaper	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1

#### **Count-based vectors**

• More frequent words dominate the vectors. Can use a weighting scheme like PMI or TF-IDF.

$$pmi(x,z) = log \frac{p(x,z)}{p(x)p(z)} \qquad \text{tf-idf}(w,z) = freq_{w,z} \cdot log \frac{V}{n_z}$$

• Large number of sparse features

Can use matrix decomposition like Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) or Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA).



#### Neural word embeddings

Neural networks will automatically try to discover useful features in the data, given a specific task.



**Idea:** Let's allocate a number of parameters for each word and allow the neural network to automatically learn what the useful values should be.

Often referred to as "**word embeddings**", as we are embedding the words into a real-valued low-dimensional space.

#### **Embeddings through language modelling**



#### **Embeddings through error detection**

Take a grammatically correct sentence and create a corrupted counterpart.

Train the neural network to assign a higher score to the correct version of each sentence. output hidden  $C(w_{t-2})$   $C(w_{t-1})$   $C(w_t)$   $C(w_{t+1})$   $C(w_{t+2})$ 

Collobert et. al. 2011. *Natural Language Processing (Almost) from Scratch.* 

my cat **climbed** a tree

my cat bridge a tree

Two ways of thinking about the embedding matrix.

1. Each row contains a word embedding, which we need to extract



2. It is a normal weight matrix, working with a 1-hot input vector



A popular tool for creating word embeddings.

Available from: <a href="https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/">https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/</a>

Can also download embeddings that are pretrained on 100 billion words.

Preprocess the data!

- Tokenise
- Lowercase (usually)

./word2vec -train input.txt -output vectors.txt -cbow 0 -size 100
-window 5 -negative 5 -hs 0 -sample 1e-3 -threads 8

#### **Continuous Bag-of-Words (CBOW) model**

Predict the current word, based on the surrounding words

Mikolov et. al. 2013. *Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space.* 



Predict the surrounding words, based on the current word.

Mikolov et. al. 2013. *Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space.* 



FRANCE	JESUS	XBOX	REDDISH	SCRATCHED	MEGABITS
454	1973	6909	11724	29869	87025
AUSTRIA	GOD	AMIGA	GREENISH	NAILED	OCTETS
BELGIUM	SATI	PLAYSTATION	BLUISH	SMASHED	MB/S
GERMANY	CHRIST	MSX	PINKISH	PUNCHED	BIT/S
ITALY	SATAN	IPOD	PURPLISH	POPPED	BAUD
GREECE	KALI	SEGA	BROWNISH	CRIMPED	CARATS
SWEDEN	INDRA	PSNUMBER	GREYISH	SCRAPED	KBIT/S
NORWAY	VISHNU	HD	GRAYISH	SCREWED	MEGAHERTZ
EUROPE	ANANDA	DREAMCAST	WHITISH	SECTIONED	MEGAPIXELS
HUNGARY	PARVATI	GEFORCE	SILVERY	SLASHED	GBIT/S
SWITZERLAND	GRACE	CAPCOM	YELLOWISH	RIPPED	AMPERES

Collobert et. al. 2011. Natural Language Processing (Almost) from Scratch.







The task of **analogy recovery**. Questions in the form:

#### a is to b as c is to d

The system is given words *a*, *b*, *c*, and it needs to find *d*. For example:

#### 

Mikolov et. al. 2013. *Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space.* 

#### Task: a is to b as c is to d

**Idea:** The direction of the relation should remain the same.



$$a - b \approx c - d$$

$$man - woman \approx king - queen$$
$$d_w = argmax_{d'_w \in V}(cos(a - b, c - d'))$$

#### Task: a is to b as c is to d

**Idea:** The offset of vectors should reflect their relation.

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$a - b \approx c - d$$
  

$$d \approx c - a + b$$
  

$$queen \approx king - man + woman$$
  

$$d_w = argmax_{d'_w \in V}(cos(d', c - a + b))$$

#### **Analogy recovery**

Relationship	Example 1	Example 2	Example 3
France - Paris	Italy: Rome	Japan: Tokyo	Florida: Tallahassee
big - bigger	small: larger	cold: colder	quick: quicker
Miami - Florida	Baltimore: Maryland	Dallas: Texas	Kona: Hawaii
Einstein - scientist	Messi: midfielder	Mozart: violinist	Picasso: painter
Sarkozy - France	Berlusconi: Italy	Merkel: Germany	Koizumi: Japan
copper - Cu	zinc: Zn	gold: Au	uranium: plutonium
Berlusconi - Silvio	Sarkozy: Nicolas	Putin: Medvedev	Obama: Barack
Microsoft - Windows	Google: Android	IBM: Linux	Apple: iPhone
Microsoft - Ballmer	Google: Yahoo	IBM: McNealy	Apple: Jobs
Japan - sushi	Germany: bratwurst	France: tapas	USA: pizza

Example output using word2vec vectors.

Word2vec is often used for pretraining.

- It will help your models start from an **informed** position
- Requires only **plain text** which we have a lot
- Is very **fast** and easy to use
- Already **pretrained** vectors also available (trained on 100B words)

However, for best performance it is important to continue training (fine-tuning).

Raw word2vec vectors are good for predicting the surrounding words, but not necessarily for your specific task.

Simply treat the embeddings the same as other parameters in your model and keep updating them during training.

Word embeddings allow us to learn similar representations for semantically or functionally similar words.

BUT

- If a token has not been seen during training, we have to use a generic OOV (out-of-vocabulary) token to represent it.
- 2. Infrequent words have very low-quality embeddings, due to lack of data.
- 3. Morphological and character-level information is ignored when treating words as atomic units.

#### **Character-based representations**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

Rei et al. (2016)

We can augment word embeddings by learning character-based representations.

## Multimodal embeddings

We can map text and images into the same space

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

Kiros et al. (2014, 2015)

## Conclusion

Word embeddings are the building blocks for higher-level models

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Questions?**