

Brief Notes on the Category Theoretic Semantics of Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

Andrew Pitts

Notation: comma-separated snoc lists

When presenting logical systems and type theories, it is common to write finite lists of things using a comma to indicate the cons-operation and with the head of the list at the right. With this convention there is no common notation for the empty list; we will use the symbol “ \diamond ”. Thus ML-style list notation

$\text{nil} \quad a :: \text{nil} \quad b :: a :: \text{nil} \quad \text{etc}$

becomes

$\diamond \quad \diamond, a \quad \diamond, a, b \quad \text{etc}$

For non-empty lists, it is very common to leave the initial part “ $\diamond,$ ” of the above notation implicit, for example just writing a, b instead of \diamond, a, b .

Write $\boxed{X^*}$ for the set of such finite lists with elements from the set X .

1 Syntax of the simply typed λ -calculus

Fix a countably infinite set $\boxed{\mathbb{V}}$ whose elements are called **variables** and are typically written x, y, z, \dots

The **simple types** (with product types) A over a set Gnd of **ground types** are given by the following grammar, where G ranges over Gnd :

$$A ::= G \mid \text{unit} \mid A \times A \mid A \rightarrow A$$

Write $\boxed{ST(Gnd)}$ for the set of simple types over Gnd .

The syntax trees t of the **simply typed λ -calculus** (STLC) over Gnd with **constants** drawn from a set Con are given by the following grammar, where c ranges over Con , x over \mathbb{V} and A over $ST(Gnd)$:

$$t ::= c \mid x \mid () \mid (t, t) \mid \text{fst } t \mid \text{snd } t \mid \lambda x : A. t \mid tt$$

We identify such syntax trees modulo renaming of λ -bound variables. More formally a **simply typed λ -term** is an equivalence class of syntax trees for the following, inductively defined relation of α -equivalence $\boxed{=_{\alpha}}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{c =_{\alpha} c} \quad \frac{}{x =_{\alpha} x} \quad \frac{}{() =_{\alpha} ()} \quad \frac{t_1 =_{\alpha} t'_1 \quad t_2 =_{\alpha} t'_2}{(t_1, t_2) =_{\alpha} (t'_1, t'_2)} \quad \frac{t =_{\alpha} t'}{\text{fst } t =_{\alpha} \text{fst } t'} \\
\frac{t =_{\alpha} t'}{\text{snd } t =_{\alpha} \text{snd } t'} \quad \frac{t_1 =_{\alpha} t'_1 \quad t_2 =_{\alpha} t'_2}{t_1 t_2 =_{\alpha} t'_1 t'_2} \\
\frac{(y x) \cdot t =_{\alpha} (y x') \cdot t' \quad y \text{ does not occur in } \{x, x', t, t'\}}{\lambda x : A. t =_{\alpha} \lambda x' : A. t'}
\end{array}$$

In the last rule $(y x) \cdot t$ indicates the syntax tree obtained from t by swapping occurrences of y and x ; given the condition that y does not occur in t , this is the same as replacing all occurrences of x in t by y . Thus the last rule says that $\lambda x : A. t$ and $\lambda x' : A. t'$ are α -equivalent if t and t' become α -equivalent once we replace all occurrences of x in t and all occurrences of x' in t' by some common “fresh” variable y .

It is conventional to not make a notational distinction between a tree t and the α -equivalence class that it determines. That convention can be made mathematically precise via the use of nominal sets; see for example Pitts [2013, Chapter 8]. An alternative to working with λ -terms as α -equivalence classes of abstract syntax trees is to use a nameless representation due to de Bruijn [1972] instead of explicitly named bound variables. For typed λ -calculi, especially when using systems like Agda [wiki.portal.chalmers.se/agda/agda.php] or Coq [coq.inria.fr], so-called *well-scoped de Bruijn indices* are very convenient (if not very human-readable); see for example Keller and Altenkirch [2010, Section 2].

2 Typing relation

We assume that the set Con comes with a function mapping each constant $c \in Con$ to its type $A \in ST(Gnd)$. We some times write c as c^A to indicate that A is its type.

In order to extend this typing function from constants to compound simply typed λ -terms we have to assign types to (free) variables. We do so via **typing environments** Γ :

$$\Gamma ::= \diamond \mid \Gamma, x : A \quad (\text{where } x \in \mathbb{V}, A \in ST(Gnd))$$

Thus the set of typing environments is in bijection with $(\mathbb{V} \times ST(Gnd))^*$, the set of finite lists of (variable,type)-pairs. The domain $\boxed{\text{dom } \Gamma}$ of a typing environment Γ is the finite set of variables occurring in it:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{dom } \diamond &= \emptyset \\
\text{dom}(\Gamma, x : A) &= \text{dom } \Gamma \cup \{x\}
\end{aligned}$$

We only use the Γ that are well-formed $\boxed{\Gamma \text{ ok}}$ in the sense that no variable occurs more than once in the list:

$$\frac{}{\diamond \text{ ok}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ ok} \quad x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ ok}}$$

Then the **typing relation** $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : A}$ for assigning types A to terms t in a given typing environment Γ is inductively defined by:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \text{ ok} \quad x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \text{ (VAR)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash x : A \quad x' \notin \text{dom } \Gamma}{\Gamma, x' : A' \vdash x : A} \text{ (VAR')} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash c^A : A} \text{ (CONST)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash () : \text{unit}} \text{ (UNIT)} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : A'}{\Gamma \vdash (t, t') : A \times A'} \text{ (PAIR)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \times A'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } t : A} \text{ (FST)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \times A'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } t : A'} \text{ (SND)} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : A'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t : A \rightarrow A'} \text{ (\lambda)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A' \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : A}{\Gamma \vdash t t' : A'} \text{ (APP)}
\end{array}$$

Here are some simple properties of the typing relation $\Gamma \vdash t : A$, proved by induction on its derivation. The second property makes use of the finite set $\boxed{\text{fv } t}$ of **free variables** of a term t , which is well-defined by:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{fv } c = \text{fv } () = \emptyset & \text{fv } (t, t') = \text{fv } t t' = \text{fv } t \cup \text{fv } t' \\
\text{fv } x = \{x\} & \text{fv } \lambda x : A. t = \{x' \in \text{fv } t \mid x' \neq x\}
\end{array}$$

Lemma 2.1. 1. If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$, then $\Gamma \text{ ok}$.

2. If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$, then $\text{fv } t \subseteq \text{dom } \Gamma$.

3. If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t : A'$, then $A = A'$.

□

Property 3 says that terms have at most one type in any (well-formed) typing environment. Of course some terms have no type; for example $\diamond \vdash () () : A$ is not derivable from the rules for any type A (why?).

Because we have formulated typing environments as ordered lists (rather than, say, finite maps from variables to types), the important property of the typing relation that it is preserved under **weakening** typing environments (that is, adding extra (variable, type)-pairs while preserving the property of being well-formed) has to be formulated carefully. Here is a particular inductive definition of a **weakening relation** $\boxed{w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma}$ (where $w ::= \iota \mid w \pi \mid w x$), inspired by Chapman [2009, Section 4.5], that interacts well with the typing relation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ ok}}{\iota : \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma} \qquad \frac{w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma'}{w \pi : (\Gamma', x : A) \triangleright \Gamma} \qquad \frac{w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma'}{w x : (\Gamma', x : A) \triangleright \Gamma, x : A}$$

Lemma 2.2. 1. If $w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \text{ ok}$, then $\Gamma' \text{ ok}$.

2. If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$, then $\Gamma' \vdash t : A$.

Proof. Property 1 is proved by induction on the derivation of $w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$.

For property 2, which is the desired weakening property of the typing relation, one proceeds by induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : A$. For the base case when t is a variable, one proves

$$\Gamma \vdash x : A \quad \text{and} \quad w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad \text{implies} \quad \Gamma' \vdash x : A$$

by induction on the derivation of $w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$, using part 1; for the induction step when t is a λ -abstraction one uses the fact that λ -terms are α -equivalence classes of syntax trees, so that a representative λ -bound variable can be chosen to not be in $\text{dom } \Gamma'$, allowing the third rule for the $w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ relation to be applied. \square

3 Cartesian closed categories

Recall that a category \mathbf{C} is **cartesian closed** if it has

A terminal object: a \mathbf{C} -object \top with the property that for every $Z \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ there is a unique morphism $\langle \rangle \in \mathbf{C}(Z, \top)$. The uniqueness part of this property is:

$$f \in \mathbf{C}(Z, \top) \Rightarrow f = \langle \rangle$$

Binary products: for all $X, Y \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ there is a \mathbf{C} -object $X \times Y$ and morphisms $\pi_1 \in \mathbf{C}(X \times Y, X)$, $\pi_2 \in \mathbf{C}(X \times Y, Y)$ with the property that for every $Z \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$, $f \in \mathbf{C}(Z, X)$ and $g \in \mathbf{C}(Z, Y)$, there is a unique morphism $\langle f, g \rangle \in \mathbf{C}(Z, X \times Y)$ satisfying $\pi_1 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = f$ and $\pi_2 \circ \langle f, g \rangle = g$. The uniqueness part of this property is equivalent to requiring:

$$h \in \mathbf{C}(Z, X \times Y) \Rightarrow h = \langle \pi_1 \circ h, \pi_2 \circ h \rangle$$

As a matter of notation, if $f \in \mathbf{C}(Z, X)$ and $g \in \mathbf{C}(W, Y)$ we define $f \times g \in \mathbf{C}(Z \times W, X \times Y)$ to be $f \times g \triangleq \langle f \circ \pi_1, g \circ \pi_2 \rangle$.

Exponentials: for all $X, Y \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ there is a \mathbf{C} -object Y^X and a morphism $\text{app} \in \mathbf{C}(Y^X \times X, Y)$ with the property that for every $Z \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ and $f \in \mathbf{C}(Z \times X, Y)$ there is a unique morphism $\text{cur } f \in \mathbf{C}(Z, Y^X)$ satisfying $\text{app} \circ (\text{cur } f \times \text{id}_X) = f$. The uniqueness part of this property is equivalent to requiring:

$$h \in \mathbf{C}(Z, Y^X) \Rightarrow h = \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ (h \times \text{id}_X))$$

4 Semantics in a cartesian closed category

Let \mathbf{C} be a cartesian closed category. Any function $M : \text{Gnd} \rightarrow \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ assigning \mathbf{C} -objects to ground types can be extended to a function mapping types $A \in \text{ST}(\text{Gnd})$ to objects

$M[[A]] \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$, by recursion over the structure of A :

$$\begin{aligned} M[[G]] &= M(G) \\ M[[\text{unit}]] &= 1 && \text{(terminal object in } \mathbf{C}) \\ M[[A \times A']] &= M[[A]] \times M[[A']] && \text{(product in } \mathbf{C}) \\ M[[A \rightarrow A']] &= M[[A']]^{M[[A]]} && \text{(exponential in } \mathbf{C}) \end{aligned}$$

Typing environments also denote \mathbf{C} -objects, by recursion over the length of the list Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} M[[\diamond]] &= 1 \\ M[[\Gamma, x : A]] &= M[[\Gamma]] \times M[[A]] \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if in addition to $M : \text{Gnd} \rightarrow \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ we also have a function assigning to each constant $c \in \text{Con}$, of type A say, a global section¹ $M(c) \in \mathbf{C}(1, M[[A]])$, then for each derivable instance of the typing relation $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ we define a \mathbf{C} -morphism

$$\boxed{M[[\Gamma \vdash t : A]] \in \mathbf{C}(M[[\Gamma]], M[[A]])}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M[[\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A]] &= M[[\Gamma]] \times M[[A]] \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M[[A]] \\ M[[\Gamma, x' : A' \vdash x : A]] &= M[[\Gamma]] \times M[[A']] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{M[[\Gamma \vdash x : A]]} M[[A]] \quad \text{if } x' \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \\ M[[\Gamma \vdash c^A : A]] &= M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} 1 \xrightarrow{M(c)} M[[A]] \\ M[[\Gamma \vdash () : \text{unit}]] &= M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} 1 \\ M[[\Gamma \vdash (t, t') : A \times A']] &= M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{\langle M[[\Gamma \vdash t : A]], M[[\Gamma \vdash t' : A']] \rangle} M[[A]] \times M[[A']] \\ M[[\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } t : A]] &= M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{M[[\Gamma \vdash t : A \times A']]} M[[A]] \times M[[A']] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[[A]] \\ &\quad \text{where } A' \text{ is the unique type for which } \Gamma \vdash t : A \times A' \text{ holds} \\ M[[\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } t : A']] &= M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{M[[\Gamma \vdash t : A \times A']]} M[[A]] \times M[[A']] \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M[[A']] \\ &\quad \text{where } A \text{ is the unique type for which } \Gamma \vdash t : A \times A' \text{ holds} \\ M[[\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t : A \rightarrow A']] &= \text{cur} \left(M[[\Gamma]] \times M[[A]] \xrightarrow{M[[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : A']]} M[[A']] \right) \\ M[[\Gamma \vdash t t' : A']] &= M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{\langle f, f' \rangle} M[[A']]^{M[[A]]} \times M[[A]] \xrightarrow{\text{app}} M[[A']] \\ &\quad \text{where } A \text{ is the unique type for which } \Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A' \text{ holds} \\ &\quad \text{and where } f = M[[\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A']] \text{ and } f' = M[[\Gamma \vdash t' : A]]. \end{aligned}$$

Summary: given an interpretation of ground types as objects of \mathbf{C} and constants as global sections of objects in \mathbf{C} , we give meaning to simple types as \mathbf{C} -objects and meaning to simply-typed λ terms (in a given typing environment) as \mathbf{C} -morphisms.

We will need the following property of this semantics with respect to weakening typing environments:

¹In a category \mathbf{C} with terminal object 1 , morphisms $f \in \mathbf{C}(1, X)$ are called **global sections** of the \mathbf{C} -object X .

Lemma 4.1 (Semantics of weakening). *For each instance of the weakening relation $w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ we get a \mathbf{C} -morphism*

$$M[[w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma]] : M[[\Gamma']] \rightarrow M[[\Gamma]]$$

by defining:

$$\begin{aligned} M[[\iota : \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma]] &= M[[\Gamma]] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} M[[\Gamma]] \\ M[[w \pi : (\Gamma', x : A) \triangleright \Gamma]] &= M[[\Gamma']] \times M[[A]] \xrightarrow{\pi_1} M[[\Gamma']] \xrightarrow{M[[w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma]]} M[[\Gamma]] \\ M[[w \times : (\Gamma', x : A) \triangleright \Gamma, x : A]] &= M[[\Gamma']] \times M[[A]] \xrightarrow{M[[w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma]] \times \text{id}} M[[\Gamma]] \times M[[A]] \end{aligned}$$

If $w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ holds, then for all derivable $\Gamma \vdash t : A$, the meaning of $\Gamma' \vdash t : A$ (valid by Lemma 2.2(2)) in \mathbf{C} is the morphism $M[[\Gamma']] \rightarrow M[[A]]$ equal to the morphism given by composing $M[[w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma]]$ with $M[[\Gamma \vdash t : A]]$.

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : A$, following the proof of Lemma 2.2(2). For the induction step for λ -abstractions, one uses the fact that in a cartesian closed category the Currying operation satisfies $\text{cur}(f \circ (g \times \text{id})) = (\text{cur } f) \circ g$. \square

When M is understood from the context one sometimes just writes $[[A]]$ for $M[[A]]$ and similarly for $[[\Gamma]]$ and $[[\Gamma \vdash t : A]]$. Also, since the type A in $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ is uniquely determined (Lemma 2.1(3)), it is common to just write $[[\Gamma \vdash t]]$ for $[[\Gamma \vdash t : A]]$.

If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t' : A$, then a typed equation

$$\Gamma \vdash t = t' : A$$

is satisfied by this semantics if $M[[\Gamma \vdash t : A]]$ and $M[[\Gamma \vdash t' : A]]$ are equal morphisms from $M[[\Gamma]]$ to $M[[A]]$ in \mathbf{C} . It is natural to ask which typed equations are always satisfied, whatever the ccc \mathbf{C} . This turns out to be the notion of $\beta\eta$ -equality given in Section 6. To describe it we first have to define (capture-avoiding) substitution of terms for free variables and its semantics.

5 Substitution

Substitutions σ are finite lists of (variable, term)-pairs, written with the following notation:

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, x := t$$

The domain $\boxed{\text{dom } \sigma}$ of a substitution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } \diamond &= \emptyset \\ \text{dom}(\sigma, x := t) &= \text{dom } \sigma \cup \{x\} \end{aligned}$$

and its set of free variables $\boxed{\text{fv } \sigma}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fv } \diamond &= \emptyset \\ \text{fv}(\sigma, x := t) &= \text{fv } \sigma \cup \text{fv } t \end{aligned}$$

Write $x \# \sigma$ to mean that $x \notin \text{dom } \sigma \cup \text{fv } \sigma$.

Then the simply-typed λ -term $t[\sigma]$ resulting from applying the substitution σ to the simply-typed λ -term t is well-defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
x[\diamond] &= x \\
x[\sigma, x := t] &= t \\
x[\sigma, x' := t] &= x[\sigma] && \text{if } x \neq x' \\
c[\sigma] &= c \\
(t, t')[\sigma] &= (t[\sigma], t'[\sigma]) \\
(\text{fst } t)[\sigma] &= \text{fst}(t[\sigma]) \\
(\text{snd } t)[\sigma] &= \text{snd}(t[\sigma]) \\
(\lambda x : A. t)[\sigma] &= \lambda x : A. (t[\sigma]) && \text{if } x \# \sigma \\
(tt')[\sigma] &= (t[\sigma])(t'[\sigma])
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that simply-typed λ -terms are α -equivalence classes of syntax trees. One has to check that not only does the above definition respect α -equivalence, but also it gives a totally defined function; it does so because in the penultimate clause, modulo α -equivalence we can always choose the λ -bound variable x so that $x \# \sigma$ holds.

Note that $t[\diamond, x_1 := t_1, \dots, x_n := t_n]$ is a **simultaneous substitution** of t_i for free occurrences of x_i in t for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and that may be different from an iterated single-substitution. For example $x[\diamond, x := y, y := z] = y$, whereas $(x[\diamond, x := y])[\diamond, y := z] = z$. We write $t'[t/x]$ for the **single-substitution** $t'[\diamond, x := t]$.

The relation $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ that σ is a well-formed substitution between the typing environments Γ' and Γ is inductively defined by:

$$\frac{\Gamma' \text{ ok}}{\Gamma' \vdash \diamond : \diamond} \qquad \frac{\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \quad \Gamma' \vdash t : A}{\Gamma' \vdash (\sigma, x := t) : (\Gamma, x : A)}$$

Here are some simple properties of this relation that we need, and that can be proved by induction on its derivation:

Lemma 5.1. *If $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$, then*

1. Γ ok and Γ' ok
2. $w : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma'$ implies $\Gamma'' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$
3. $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \cup \text{dom } \Gamma'$ implies $\Gamma', x : A \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$

□

Lemma 5.2. *If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$, then $\Gamma' \vdash t[\sigma] : A$.*

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : A$. The induction step for λ -abstractions uses Lemma 5.1(3) together with the easily proved property of substitution that $x \# \sigma$ implies $x[\sigma] = x$ and $t[\sigma, x := x] = t[\sigma]$. □

Given a function M mapping ground types and constants to objects and global sections in a ccc \mathbf{C} , we can interpret substitutions $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ as morphisms $M[\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma] : M[\Gamma'] \rightarrow M[\Gamma]$ like so:

$$M[\Gamma' \vdash \diamond : \diamond] = M[\Gamma'] \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} 1$$

$$M[\Gamma' \vdash (\sigma, x := t) : (\Gamma, x : A)] = M[\Gamma'] \xrightarrow{\langle M[\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma], M[\Gamma' \vdash t : A] \rangle} M[\Gamma] \times M[A]$$

Lemma 5.3. *If $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ and $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \cup \text{dom } \Gamma'$, then the meaning of $\Gamma', x : A \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$ (which is valid by Lemma 5.1(3)) is $M[\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma] \times \text{id} : M[\Gamma'] \times M[A] \rightarrow M[\Gamma] \times M[A]$.*

Proof. By the definition of $M[\Gamma', x : A \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)]$, Lemma 4.1 and the fact that in a cartesian category one always has $f \times \text{id} = \langle f \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$. \square

Theorem 5.4 (Semantics of simultaneous substitution). *If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$, then the following diagram commutes in \mathbf{C} :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M[\Gamma'] & \xrightarrow{M[\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma]} & M[\Gamma] \\ & \searrow M[\Gamma' \vdash t[\sigma] : A] & \downarrow M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] \\ & & M[A] \end{array}$$

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t : A$. For the induction step for λ -abstractions one uses Lemma 5.3 and the fact that in a cartesian closed category the Currying operation satisfies $\text{cur}(f \circ (g \times \text{id})) = (\text{cur } f) \circ g$. \square

Lemma 5.5 (Identity substitution). *For each typing environment Γ , define the substitution id_Γ by:*

$$\text{id}_\diamond = \diamond$$

$$\text{id}_{\Gamma, x : A} = (\text{id}_\Gamma, x := x)$$

1. *If Γ ok, then $\Gamma \vdash \text{id}_\Gamma : \Gamma$.*
2. *If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t' : A'$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma \vdash (\text{id}_\Gamma, x := t) : (\Gamma, x : A), \\ & t'[t/x] = t'[\text{id}_\Gamma, x := t] \\ \text{and } & \Gamma \vdash t'[t/x] : A' \end{aligned}$$

3. *$M[\Gamma \vdash \text{id}_\Gamma : \Gamma]$ is equal to the identity morphism on $M[\Gamma]$.*

Proof. By induction on the derivation of Γ ok, using Lemma 5.2 for part (2). \square

Corollary 5.6 (Semantics of single substitution). *If $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t' : A'$, then the following diagram commutes in \mathbf{C} :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M[\Gamma] & \xrightarrow{\langle \text{id}, M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] \rangle} & M[\Gamma] \times M[A] \\
 & \searrow M[\Gamma \vdash t' [t/x] : A'] & \downarrow M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t' : A'] \\
 & & M[A']
 \end{array}$$

Proof. The result is a special case of Theorem 5.4 for the simultaneous substitution $\Gamma \vdash (\text{id}_\Gamma, x := t) : (\Gamma, x : A)$, using Lemma 5.5. \square

6 $\beta\eta$ -Equality of simply-typed λ -terms

The relation $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A}$ is inductively defined by the following rules:

equivalence relation

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash t_2 =_{\beta\eta} t_1 : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 =_{\beta\eta} t_3 : A}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_3 : A}$$

β -conversions

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : A' \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t) t' =_{\beta\eta} t[t'/x] : A'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : A'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst}(t, t') =_{\beta\eta} t : A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : A'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd}(t, t') =_{\beta\eta} t' : A'}$$

η -conversions

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A' \quad x \notin \text{fv } t}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} \lambda x : A. (t x) : A \rightarrow A'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \times A'}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} (\text{fst } t, \text{snd } t) : A \times A'} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{unit}}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} () : \text{unit}}$$

congruence rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t'_1 =_{\beta\eta} t'_2 : A'}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1, t'_1) =_{\beta\eta} (t_2, t'_2) : A \times A'} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 : A \times A'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } t_1 =_{\beta\eta} \text{fst } t_2 : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 : A \times A'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } t_1 =_{\beta\eta} \text{snd } t_2 : A'} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 : A'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. t_1 =_{\beta\eta} \lambda x : A. t_2 : A \rightarrow A'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 : A \rightarrow A' \quad \Gamma \vdash t'_1 =_{\beta\eta} t'_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t'_1 =_{\beta\eta} t_2 t'_2 : A'}$$

Lemma 6.1. *If $\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A$, then $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t' : A$.*

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A$, using Lemma 5.2 for the first β -conversion rule and Lemma 2.2(2) for first η -conversion rule. \square

Theorem 6.2 (Soundness). *For any function M mapping ground types and constants to objects and global sections in a cartesian closed category \mathbf{C} , the associated semantics of types and terms (Section 4) satisfies that if $\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A$ is derivable, then $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A]$ and $M[\Gamma \vdash t' : A]$ are equal morphisms in $\mathbf{C}(M[\Gamma], M[A])$.*

Proof. One has to check that the relation

$$\Gamma \vdash t : A \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash t' : A \text{ and } M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t' : A]$$

is closed under the above rules inductively generating the relation $\beta\eta$ -equality relation. Here is the argument for the β -conversion involving λ -abstraction

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : A' \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t) t' =_{\beta\eta} t[t'/x] : A'}$$

Given $\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : A'$ and $\Gamma \vdash t' : A$, define

$$\begin{aligned} X &= M[A] \\ Y &= M[\Gamma] \\ Z &= M[A'] \\ f &= M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : A'] \\ g &= M[\Gamma \vdash t' : A] \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f : Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ in the ccc \mathbf{C} and

$$\begin{aligned} M[\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t) t' : A'] &= \text{app} \circ \langle \text{cur } f, g \rangle : Y \rightarrow Z \\ &\quad \text{(by definition of the semantics of terms)} \\ M[\Gamma \vdash t[t'/x] : A'] &= f \circ \langle \text{id}_Y, g \rangle : Y \rightarrow Z \\ &\quad \text{(by Corollary 5.6)} \end{aligned}$$

But in any ccc we have $\text{app} \circ \langle \text{cur } f, g \rangle = \text{app} \circ (\text{cur } f \times \text{id}_X) \circ \langle \text{id}_Y, g \rangle = f \circ \langle \text{id}_Y, g \rangle$. Therefore $M[\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t) t' : A'] = M[\Gamma \vdash t[t'/x] : A']$, as required.

Here is the argument for the η -conversion involving λ -abstraction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A' \quad x \notin \text{fv } t}{\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} \lambda x : A. (tx) : A \rightarrow A'}$$

Given $\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A'$ and $x \notin \text{fv}(t)$, without loss of generality we may assume also that $x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma$ (since $\lambda x : A. (tx) =_{\alpha} \lambda x' : A. (tx')$ for any $x' \notin \text{fv } t \cup \text{dom } \Gamma$). Define

$$\begin{aligned} X &= M[A] \\ Y &= M[\Gamma] \\ Z &= M[A'] \\ h &= M[\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A'] \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h : Y \rightarrow Z^X$ in \mathbf{C} and

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : A \rightarrow A'] = h \circ \pi_1 : Y \times X \rightarrow Z^X$$

(by Lemma 4.1)

$$M[\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A] = \pi_2 : Y \times X \rightarrow X$$

(by definition of the semantics of terms)

Hence $M[\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. (tx) : A \rightarrow A'] = \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ \langle h \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle)$. But in any ccc we have $\text{cur}(\text{app} \circ \langle h \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle) = \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ (h \times \text{id}_X)) = h$ and therefore $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow A'] = M[\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. (tx) : A \rightarrow A']$, as required.

We leave checking closure under the other rules of $\beta\eta$ -equivalence as an exercise. \square

7 The internal language of a cartesian closed category

Given a particular cartesian closed category \mathbf{C} , we can take $\text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ to be the set of ground types and take each global element $f \in \mathbf{C}(1, X)$ (for any \mathbf{C} -object X) to be a constant of type X . Taking the interpretation M to be the identity function, then the simple types and the simply typed λ -terms over this collection of ground types and constants provides a convenient language for describing the objects and morphisms of \mathbf{C} and their (equational) properties.

For example if X, Y and Z are three objects in a ccc \mathbf{C} , then there is always an isomorphism

$$Z^{X \times Y} \cong (Z^Y)^X$$

One can construct the morphisms that constitute this isomorphism and prove they are mutually inverse only using the universal properties of products and exponentials in \mathbf{C} . However, the internal language allows us describe the morphisms and prove that they are inverse via properties of $\beta\eta$ -equivalence; furthermore these descriptions look like what one expect when \mathbf{C} is the category of sets and functions:

$$s \triangleq \lambda f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow Z. \lambda x : X. \lambda y : Y. f(x, y)$$

$$t \triangleq \lambda g : X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z). \lambda z : X \times Y. g(\text{fst } z) (\text{snd } z)$$

satisfy

$$\diamond \vdash s : ((X \times Y) \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z))$$

$$\diamond \vdash t : (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z)) \rightarrow ((X \times Y) \rightarrow Z)$$

$$\diamond, f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow Z \vdash t(sf) =_{\beta\eta} f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow Z$$

$$\diamond, g : X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z) \vdash s(tg) =_{\beta\eta} g : X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z)$$

8 Free cartesian closed categories

Theorem 6.2 has a converse – a *completeness* theorem: given $\Gamma \vdash t : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash t' : A$, if $M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t' : A]$ holds for any interpretation M of the ground types and

constants in any ccc, then $\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A$ is derivable. In fact for any set of ground types and constants, there is a particular *freely generated* ccc \mathbf{F} containing an interpretation M of the ground types and constants satisfying

$$M[\Gamma \vdash t : A] = M[\Gamma \vdash t' : A] \Leftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A \quad (1)$$

\mathbf{F} is constructed from the syntax of the simply typed λ -calculus quotiented by $\beta\eta$ -equivalence. Specifically, one can take $\text{obj } \mathbf{F} = ST(\text{Gnd})$. For two such objects $A, A' \in ST(\text{Gnd})$, we take $\mathbf{F}(A, A')$ to be the quotient of the set $\{t \mid \diamond \vdash t : A \rightarrow A'\}$ of closed terms (i.e. those with no free variables) of type $A \rightarrow A'$ by the equivalence relation relating two such terms t and t' if $\diamond \vdash t =_{\beta\eta} t' : A \rightarrow A'$ holds. The identity morphism in \mathbf{F} on A is the equivalence class of $\lambda x : A. x$. The composition of two morphisms represented by terms $\diamond \vdash t : A \rightarrow A'$ and $\diamond \vdash t' : A' \rightarrow A''$ is well-defined by taking the equivalence class of the term $\diamond \vdash \lambda x : A. t'(tx) : A \rightarrow A''$. One has to check that this recipe does give a category and that it is cartesian closed; unsurprisingly, the terminal object is unit , the product of objects $A, A' \in ST(\text{Gnd})$ is the simple type $A \times A'$ (equipped with the obvious projection morphisms) and their exponential is the simple type $A \rightarrow A'$ (equipped with the obvious application morphism).

Taking M to map each ground type $G \in \text{Gnd}$ to $G \in \text{obj } \mathbf{F}$ and each constant c^A to the global element $M c \in \mathbf{F}(\text{unit}, A)$ given by the equivalence class of the term $\diamond \vdash \lambda x : \text{unit}. c : \text{unit} \rightarrow A$, one can show that this interpretation has property (1).

\mathbf{F} is a *free* ccc in a similar sense to Σ^* being the free monoid on a set Σ – there is a universal property that characterises it, whose statement in terms of morphisms of cartesian closed categories is beyond the scope of these notes (see [Crole \[1993, Section 4.8\]](#)).

References

- J. M. Chapman. *Type Checking and Normalisation*. PhD thesis, University of Nottingham, 2009. URL <http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/id/eprint/10824>. [Cited on page 3.]
- R. L. Crole. *Categories for Types*. Cambridge University Press, 1993. [Cited on page 12.]
- N. G. de Bruijn. Lambda calculus notation with nameless dummies, a tool for automatic formula manipulation, with application to the Church-Rosser theorem. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 34:381–392, 1972. [Cited on page 2.]
- C. Keller and T. Altenkirch. Hereditary substitutions for simple types, formalized. In *Proceedings of the Third ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Mathematically Structured Functional Programming*, MSFP '10, pages 3–10, New York, NY, USA, 2010. ACM. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1863597.1863601>. [Cited on page 2.]
- A. M. Pitts. *Nominal Sets: Names and Symmetry in Computer Science*, volume 57 of *Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science*. Cambridge University Press, 2013. [Cited on page 2.]