

Denotational Semantics

10 lectures for Part II CST 2016/17

Marcelo Fiore

Course web page:

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1617/DenotSem/>

Topic 1

Introduction

What is this course about?

- General area.

Formal methods: Mathematical techniques for the specification, development, and verification of software and hardware systems.

- Specific area.

Formal semantics: Mathematical theories for ascribing meanings to computer languages.

Why do we care?

- Rigour.
 - ... specification of programming languages
 - ... justification of program transformations
- Insight.
 - ... generalisations of notions computability
 - ... higher-order functions
 - ... data structures

- Feedback into language design.
 - ... continuations
 - ... monads
- Reasoning principles.
 - ... Scott induction
 - ... Logical relations
 - ... Co-induction

Styles of formal semantics

Operational.

Meanings for program phrases defined in terms of the *steps of computation* they can take during program execution.

Axiomatic.

Meanings for program phrases defined indirectly via the *axioms and rules* of some logic of program properties.

Denotational.

Concerned with giving *mathematical models* of programming languages. Meanings for program phrases defined abstractly as elements of some suitable mathematical structure.

Basic idea of denotational semantics

Syntax	$\xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket}$	Semantics
Recursive program	\mapsto	Partial recursive function
Boolean circuit	\mapsto	Boolean function
P	\mapsto	$\llbracket P \rrbracket$

Concerns:

- Abstract models (*i.e.* implementation/machine independent).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 2, 3 and 4.
- Compositionality.
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 5 and 6.
- Relationship to computation (*e.g.* operational semantics).
 \rightsquigarrow Lectures 7 and 8.

Characteristic features of a denotational semantics

- Each phrase (= part of a program), P , is given a **denotation**, $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ — a mathematical object representing the contribution of P to the meaning of *any* complete program in which it occurs.
- The denotation of a phrase is determined just by the denotations of its subphrases (one says that the semantics is **compositional**).

Basic example of denotational semantics (I)

IMP⁻ syntax

Arithmetic expressions

$A \in \mathbf{Aexp} ::= \underline{n} \mid L \mid A + A \mid \dots$

where n ranges over *integers* and

L over a specified set of *locations* \mathbb{L}

Boolean expressions

$B \in \mathbf{Bexp} ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid A = A \mid \dots$
 $\mid \neg B \mid \dots$

Commands

$C \in \mathbf{Comm} ::= \mathbf{skip} \mid L := A \mid C; C$
 $\mid \mathbf{if } B \mathbf{ then } C \mathbf{ else } C$

Basic example of denotational semantics (II)

Semantic functions

$$A: \mathbf{Aexp} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

where

def:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{in } \mathbb{L} \\ | \\ \Delta \in \text{State} = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) \\ | \\ \Delta(\mathbb{L}) = \text{value of} \\ \quad \mathbb{L} \text{ in state } \Delta \end{array}$$

the set of functions
from the set of locations
to the set of integers.

Basic example of denotational semantics (II)

Semantic functions

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Aexp} \rightarrow (State \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\mathcal{B} : \mathbf{Bexp} \rightarrow (State \rightarrow \mathbb{B})$$

where

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{ \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots \}$$

$$\mathbb{B} = \{ true, false \}$$

$$State = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

idea: $\llbracket C \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$ s.t. $\llbracket C \rrbracket(s)$ undefined

Basic example of denotational semantics (II) *if C loops*

Semantic functions

from s or gives the new state obtained after executing C.

$$A : \mathbf{Aexp} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

$$B : \mathbf{Bexp} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{B})$$

$$C : \mathbf{Comm} \rightarrow (\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State})$$

The set of state transformers

i.e. partial functions from states to states.

where

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{ \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots \}$$

$$\mathbb{B} = \{ \text{true}, \text{false} \}$$

$$\text{State} = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}](s) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Basic example of denotational semantics (III)

Semantic function \mathcal{A}

└ lambda notation / anonymous function notation

$$\mathcal{A}[\underline{n}] = \lambda s \in \text{State}. n$$

$$\mathcal{A}[L] = \lambda s \in \text{State}. s(L)$$

$$\mathcal{A}[A_1 + A_2] = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \mathcal{A}[A_1](s) + \mathcal{A}[A_2](s)$$

└ addition notation

└ mathematical addition on \mathbb{Z}

Basic example of denotational semantics (IV)

Semantic function \mathcal{B}

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathcal{B}](s) \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{true, false\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[true] = \lambda s \in State. true$$

$$\mathcal{B}[false] = \lambda s \in State. false$$

$$\mathcal{B}[A_1 = A_2] = \lambda s \in State. eq(\mathcal{A}[A_1](s), \mathcal{A}[A_2](s))$$

$$\text{where } eq(a, a') = \begin{cases} true & \text{if } a = a' \\ false & \text{if } a \neq a' \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket \in (\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State})$

$\llbracket _ \rrbracket$ state transformers.

Basic example of denotational semantics (V)

Semantic function \mathcal{C}

the identity function

$$\llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. s$$

NB: From now on the names of semantic functions are omitted!

A simple example of compositionality

Given partial functions $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : State \rightarrow State$ and a function $\llbracket B \rrbracket : State \rightarrow \{true, false\}$, we can define

$$\llbracket \text{if } B \text{ then } C \text{ else } C' \rrbracket = \\ \lambda s \in State. \text{if} (\llbracket B \rrbracket(s), \llbracket C \rrbracket(s), \llbracket C' \rrbracket(s))$$

where

$$\text{if}(b, x, x') = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } b = true \\ x' & \text{if } b = false \end{cases}$$

Basic example of denotational semantics (VI)

Semantic function \mathcal{C}

$$\llbracket L := A \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \lambda \ell \in \mathbb{L}. \text{if } (\ell = L, \llbracket A \rrbracket(s), s(\ell))$$

\uparrow

$$\text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}, \quad \text{State} = (\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

Denotational semantics of sequential composition

Denotation of sequential composition $C; C'$ of two commands

$$\llbracket C; C' \rrbracket = \llbracket C' \rrbracket \circ \llbracket C \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \llbracket C' \rrbracket (\llbracket C \rrbracket (s))$$

given by composition of the partial functions from states to states $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$ which are the denotations of the commands.



Denotational semantics of sequential composition

Denotation of sequential composition $C; C'$ of two commands

$$\llbracket C; C' \rrbracket = \llbracket C' \rrbracket \circ \llbracket C \rrbracket = \lambda s \in \text{State}. \llbracket C' \rrbracket (\llbracket C \rrbracket (s))$$

given by composition of the partial functions from states to states $\llbracket C \rrbracket, \llbracket C' \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$ which are the denotations of the commands.

Cf. operational semantics of sequential composition:

$$\frac{C, s \Downarrow s' \quad C', s' \Downarrow s''}{C; C', s \Downarrow s''} .$$

Result

$$\forall C, s, s' : C, s \Downarrow s' \iff \llbracket C \rrbracket (s) = s'$$

$\llbracket \text{while } B \text{ do } C \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$

$\llbracket B \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$\llbracket C \rrbracket : \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State}$

$\llbracket \text{while } B \text{ do } C \rrbracket (\Delta)$

$= \dots \llbracket B \rrbracket (\Delta) \dots \llbracket C \rrbracket (\Delta) \dots$

$= \exists (\llbracket B \rrbracket \Delta, \llbracket C \rrbracket) (\dots \llbracket \text{while } B \text{ do } C \rrbracket \dots)$
 Δ