

λ -bound variables in ML cannot be used polymorphically within a function abstraction

For example, $\lambda f ((f \text{ true}) :: (f \text{ nil}))$ and $\lambda f (f f)$ are not typeable in the Mini-ML type system.

λ -bound variables in ML cannot be used polymorphically within a function abstraction

For example, $\lambda f ((f \text{ true}) :: (f \text{ nil}))$ and $\lambda f (f f)$ are not typeable in the Mini-ML type system.

Syntactically, because in rule

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

the abstracted variable has to be assigned a *trivial* type scheme (recall $x : \tau_1$ stands for $x : \forall \{ \} (\tau_1)$).

$$\frac{}{\{f: \forall \{\} \tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_4} \text{(var)}$$
$$\frac{}{\{f: \forall \{\} \tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_5} \text{(var)}$$
$$\frac{}{\{f: \forall \{\} \tau_2\} \vdash ff: \tau_3} \text{(lam)}$$
$$\{ \} \vdash \lambda f (ff): \tau_1$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \textcircled{1} \frac{}{\{f: \forall \{\} \tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_4} \text{(var)} \quad \textcircled{2} \frac{}{\{f: \forall \{\} \tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_5} \text{(var)} \\
 \textcircled{3} \frac{}{} \text{(app)} \\
 \textcircled{4} \frac{\{f: \forall \{\} \tau_2\} \vdash ff: \tau_3}{\{\} \vdash \lambda f(f): \tau_1} \text{(lam)}
 \end{array}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \forall \{\} \tau_2 > \tau_4$$

$$\textcircled{2} \forall \{\} \tau_2 > \tau_5$$

$$\textcircled{3} \tau_4 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_3$$

$$\textcircled{4} \tau_1 = \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$$

$$\frac{\textcircled{1} \quad \{f: \forall\{\}\tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_4 \quad \textcircled{2} \quad \{f: \forall\{\}\tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_5}{\textcircled{3} \quad \{f: \forall\{\}\tau_2\} \vdash ff: \tau_3} \text{(app)}$$

$$\frac{\textcircled{4} \quad \{f: \forall\{\}\tau_2\} \vdash ff: \tau_3}{\{\}\vdash \lambda f(ff): \tau_1} \text{(lam)}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall\{\}\tau_2 > \tau_4 \quad \text{so} \quad \tau_2 = \tau_4$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \forall\{\}\tau_2 > \tau_5 \quad \text{so} \quad \tau_2 = \tau_5$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \tau_4 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_3$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \tau_1 = \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$$

$$\textcircled{1} \frac{}{\{f: \forall\{\}\tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_4} \text{(var)} \quad \textcircled{2} \frac{}{\{f: \forall\{\}\tau_2\} \vdash f: \tau_5} \text{(var)}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \frac{}{} \text{(app)}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \frac{\{f: \forall\{\}\tau_2\} \vdash ff: \tau_3}{\{\}\vdash \lambda f(ff): \tau_1} \text{(lam)}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \forall\{\}\tau_2 > \tau_4 \quad \text{so} \quad \tau_2 = \tau_4$$

$$\textcircled{2} \forall\{\}\tau_2 > \tau_5 \quad \text{so} \quad \tau_2 = \tau_5$$

$$\textcircled{3} \tau_4 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \tau_3$$

$$\textcircled{4} \tau_1 = \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$$

$$\tau_2 = \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3 \quad \text{X}$$

No such τ_2 & τ_3 can exist
(by counting \rightarrow symbols on
LHS & RHS of the equation).

λ -bound variables in ML cannot be used polymorphically within a function abstraction

For example, $\lambda f ((f \text{ true}) :: (f \text{ nil}))$ and $\lambda f (f f)$ are not typeable in the Mini-ML type system.

Syntactically, because in rule

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

the abstracted variable has to be assigned a *trivial* type scheme (recall $x : \tau_1$ stands for $x : \forall \{ \} (\tau_1)$).

Semantically, because $\forall A (\tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2$ is not semantically equivalent to an ML type when $A \neq \{ \}$.

Monomorphic types . . .

$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \mathit{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \tau \mathit{list}$

. . . and *type schemes*

$\sigma ::= \tau \mid \forall \alpha (\sigma)$

Monomorphic types . . .

$$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \mathit{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \tau \mathit{list}$$

. . . and *type schemes*

$$\sigma ::= \tau \mid \forall \alpha (\sigma)$$

Polymorphic types

$$\pi ::= \alpha \mid \mathit{bool} \mid \pi \rightarrow \pi \mid \pi \mathit{list} \mid \forall \alpha (\pi)$$

Monomorphic types . . .

$$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \text{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \tau \text{ list}$$

. . . and *type schemes*

$$\sigma ::= \tau \mid \forall \alpha (\sigma)$$

Polymorphic types

$$\pi ::= \alpha \mid \text{bool} \mid \pi \rightarrow \pi \mid \pi \text{ list} \mid \forall \alpha (\pi)$$

E.g. $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'$ is a type, $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha')$ is a type scheme and a polymorphic type (but not a monomorphic type), $\forall \alpha (\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha'$ is a polymorphic type, but not a type scheme.

Identity, Generalisation and Specialisation

$$\text{(gen)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \pi}{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\pi)} \text{ if } \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\pi)}{\Gamma \vdash M : \pi[\pi'/\alpha]}$$

Identity, Generalisation and Specialisation

$$\text{(id)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \pi} \text{ if } (x : \pi) \in \Gamma$$

$$\text{(gen)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \pi}{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\pi)} \text{ if } \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\pi)}{\Gamma \vdash M : \pi[\pi'/\alpha]}$$

[Example 7, p35]

(id)

 $f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)$

(id)

 $f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)$

[Example 7, p35]

(id) $\frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$

(Spec) $\frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}$

(id) $\frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$

(Spec) $\frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \alpha}$

[Example 7, p35]

(id)

 $f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)$

(Spec)

 $f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

(app)

 $f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash ff : \alpha$

(id)

 $f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)$

(Spec)

 $f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \alpha$

[Example 7, p35]

$$\frac{(\text{id})}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\frac{(\text{Spec})}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}$$

$$\frac{(\text{app})}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash ff : \alpha}$$

$$\frac{(\text{gen})}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash ff : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\frac{(\text{fn})}{\{ \} \vdash \lambda f (ff) : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \rightarrow \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\frac{(\text{id})}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\frac{(\text{Spec})}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \alpha}$$

ML + full polymorphic types has undecidable type-checking

Fact (Wells, 1994). For the modified Mini-ML type system with

- ▶ full polymorphic types replacing types and type schemes
- ▶ **(id)** + **(gen)** + **(spec)** replacing **(var \succ)**

the type checking and typeability problems are undecidable.

Explicitly versus implicitly typed languages

Implicit: little or no type information is included in program phrases and typings have to be inferred, ideally, entirely at compile-time. (E.g. Standard ML.)

Explicitly versus implicitly typed languages

Implicit: little or no type information is included in program phrases and typings have to be inferred, ideally, entirely at compile-time. (E.g. Standard ML.)

Explicit: most, if not all, types for phrases are explicitly part of the syntax. (E.g. Java.)

Explicitly versus implicitly typed languages

Implicit: little or no type information is included in program phrases and typings have to be inferred, ideally, entirely at compile-time. (E.g. Standard ML.)

Explicit: most, if not all, types for phrases are explicitly part of the syntax. (E.g. Java.)

E.g. self application function of type $\forall \alpha (\alpha) \rightarrow \forall \alpha (\alpha)$

(cf. Example 7)

Implicitly typed version: $\lambda f (f f)$

Explicitly type version: $\lambda f : \forall \alpha_1 (\alpha_1) (\Lambda \alpha_2 (f(\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2)(f \alpha_2)))$ in PLC...

PLC syntax

Polymorphic
Lambda
Calculus

Types

τ	$::=$	α	type variable
		$\tau \rightarrow \tau$	function type
		$\forall \alpha (\tau)$	\forall -type

PLC syntax

Types

τ	$::=$	α	type variable
		$\tau \rightarrow \tau$	function type
		$\forall \alpha (\tau)$	\forall -type

Expressions

M	$::=$	x	variable
		$\lambda x : \tau (M)$	function abstraction
		$M M$	function application
		$\Lambda \alpha (M)$	type generalisation
		$M \tau$	type specialisation

PLC syntax

Types

τ	$::=$	α	type variable
		$\tau \rightarrow \tau$	function type
		$\forall \alpha (\tau)$	\forall -type

Expressions

M	$::=$	x	variable
		$\lambda x : \tau (M)$	function abstraction
		$M M$	function application
		$\Lambda \alpha (M)$	type generalisation
		$M \tau$	type specialisation

(α and x range over fixed, countably infinite sets **TyVar** and **Var** respectively.)

PLC typing judgement

takes the form $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ where

- ▶ the *typing environment* Γ is a finite function from variables to PLC types.
(We write $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n\}$ to indicate that Γ has domain of definition $dom(\Gamma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and maps each x_i to the PLC type τ_i for $i = 1 \dots n$.)
- ▶ M is a PLC expression
- ▶ τ is a PLC type.

PLC type system

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{ if } (x : \tau) \in \Gamma$$

PLC type system

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{ if } (x : \tau) \in \Gamma$$

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash M' : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash M M' : \tau_2}$$

PLC type system

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{ if } (x : \tau) \in \Gamma$$

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash M' : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash M M' : \tau_2}$$

$$\text{(gen)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha (M) : \forall \alpha (\tau)} \text{ if } \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

PLC type system

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{ if } (x : \tau) \in \Gamma$$

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash M' : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash M M' : \tau_2}$$

$$\text{(gen)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha (M) : \forall \alpha (\tau)} \text{ if } \alpha \notin \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha (\tau_1)}{\Gamma \vdash M \tau_2 : \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha]}$$

[Example 12, p 41]

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

[Example 12, p 41]

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f (\alpha \mapsto \alpha) : \alpha \mapsto \alpha}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f \alpha : \alpha}$$

[Example 12, p 41]

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f (\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha) : \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f \alpha : \alpha}$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f (\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha) (f \alpha) : \alpha}$$

[Example 12, p 41]

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f \alpha : \alpha}$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) (f \alpha) : \alpha}$$

$$\text{(gen)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash \bigwedge \alpha (f (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) (f \alpha)) : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

[Example 12, p 41]

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(var)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}$$

$$\text{(spec)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f \alpha : \alpha}$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash f (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) (f \alpha) : \alpha}$$

$$\text{(gen)} \frac{}{f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \vdash \Lambda \alpha (f (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) (f \alpha)) : \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{}{\{\} \vdash \lambda f : \forall \alpha (\alpha) (\Lambda \alpha (f (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) (f \alpha))) : \forall \alpha (\alpha) \rightarrow \forall \alpha (\alpha)}$$

PLC binding forms

$\forall \alpha (-)$

$\lambda x: \tau (-)$

$\Lambda \alpha (-)$

Eg.

$\lambda x: \forall \alpha (\beta) (\Lambda \alpha (x(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)))$

PLC binding forms

$$\forall \alpha (-) \quad \lambda x : \tau (-) \quad \Lambda \alpha (-)$$

Eg.

$$\lambda x : \forall \beta (\alpha) (\Lambda \alpha (x (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)))$$

The diagram illustrates the binding forms in the expression $\lambda x : \forall \beta (\alpha) (\Lambda \alpha (x (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)))$. The lambda binder λx is red. The universal quantifier $\forall \beta$ is blue. The type variable α is green and labeled "free" with a green arrow pointing to it. The lambda binder $\Lambda \alpha$ is red. The variable x is red. The type variable α is green and labeled "free" with a green arrow pointing to it. The type variable β is green and labeled "free" with a green arrow pointing to it. A red arrow points from the lambda binder λx to the variable x in the function body. A red bracket underlines the lambda abstraction $\Lambda \alpha (x (\alpha \rightarrow \beta))$.

An incorrect proof

cos!
(wrong!)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{(var)} \frac{}{} \\ \text{(fn)} \frac{x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha \vdash x_2 : \alpha}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \lambda x_2 : \alpha (x_2) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \end{array}}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \Lambda \alpha (\lambda x_2 : \alpha (x_2)) : \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)}$$

$\alpha \in \text{ftv} \{x_1 : \alpha\}$

An ~~incorrect~~ incorrect proof

gen
~~(wrong!)~~

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{(var)} \frac{}{x_1 : \alpha, x_2 : \alpha' \vdash x_2 : \alpha'} \\ \text{(fn)} \frac{}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \lambda x_2 : \alpha' (x_2) : \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'} \end{array}}{x_1 : \alpha \vdash \underbrace{\Lambda \alpha' (\lambda x_2 : \alpha' (x_2))}_{\parallel} : \underbrace{\forall \alpha' (\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha')}_{\parallel}}$$

$\Lambda \alpha (\lambda x_2 : \alpha (x_2))$ $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$

Decidability of the PLC typeability and type-checking problems

Theorem.

For each PLC typing problem, $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$, there is at most one PLC type τ for which $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is provable. Moreover there is an algorithm, *typ*, which when given any $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ as input, returns such a τ if it exists and *FAILs* otherwise.

(N.B. equality of PLC types up to alpha-conversion is decidable.)

Decidability of the PLC typeability and type-checking problems

Theorem.

For each PLC typing problem, $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$, there is at most one PLC type τ for which $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is provable. Moreover there is an algorithm, *typ*, which when given any $\Gamma \vdash M : ?$ as input, returns such a τ if it exists and *FAILs* otherwise.

Corollary.

The PLC type checking problem is decidable: we can decide whether or not $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is provable by checking whether $\text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) = \tau$.

(N.B. equality of PLC types up to alpha-conversion is decidable.)

PLC type-checking algorithm, I

Variables

$$\text{typ}(\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : ?) \triangleq \tau$$

PLC type-checking algorithm, I

Variables

$$\text{typ}(\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : ?) \triangleq \tau$$

Function abstractions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 (M) : ?) &\triangleq \\ \text{let } \tau_2 = \text{typ}(\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : ?) &\text{ in } \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \end{aligned}$$

PLC type-checking algorithm, I

Variables

$$\text{typ}(\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : ?) \triangleq \tau$$

Function abstractions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1 (M) : ?) &\triangleq \\ \text{let } \tau_2 = \text{typ}(\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : ?) &\text{ in } \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \end{aligned}$$

Function applications

$$\begin{aligned} \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : ?) &\triangleq \\ \text{let } \tau_1 = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M_1 : ?) &\text{ in} \\ \text{let } \tau_2 = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M_2 : ?) &\text{ in} \\ \text{case } \tau_1 \text{ of } \tau \rightarrow \tau' &\mapsto \text{if } \tau = \tau_2 \text{ then } \tau' \text{ else } \text{FAIL} \\ \quad \quad \quad | \quad \quad \quad - &\mapsto \text{FAIL} \end{aligned}$$

PLC type-checking algorithm, II

Type generalisations

$$\text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha (M) : ?) \triangleq$$
$$\text{let } \tau = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) \text{ in } \forall\alpha (\tau)$$

PLC type-checking algorithm, II

Type generalisations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha (M) : ?) &\triangleq \\ \text{let } \tau = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) &\text{ in } \forall\alpha (\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Type specialisations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M \tau_2 : ?) &\triangleq \\ \text{let } \tau = \text{typ}(\Gamma \vdash M : ?) &\text{ in} \\ \text{case } \tau \text{ of } \forall\alpha (\tau_1) &\mapsto \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha] \\ \quad \quad \quad | \quad \quad \quad - &\mapsto \text{FAIL} \end{aligned}$$