

Mini-ML expressions

$M ::= x$	variable
true	boolean values
false	
if M then M else M	conditional
$\lambda x (M)$	function abstraction
$M M$	function application
let $x = M$ in M	local declaration
nil	nil list
$M :: M$	list cons
case M of nil $\Rightarrow M$ $x :: x \Rightarrow M$	case expression

(abstract Syntax trees)

Mini-ML types and type schemes

Types

τ	$::=$	α	type variable
		$bool$	type of booleans
		$\tau \rightarrow \tau$	function type
		$\tau list$	list type

Mini-ML types and type schemes

Types

τ	$::=$	α	type variable
		$ $ <i>bool</i>	type of booleans
		$ $ $\tau \rightarrow \tau$	function type
		$ $ τ <i>list</i>	list type

where α ranges over a fixed, countably infinite set **TyVar**.

Mini-ML types and type schemes

Types

$$\tau ::= \begin{array}{l} \alpha \quad \text{type variable} \\ | \quad \mathit{bool} \quad \text{type of booleans} \\ | \quad \tau \rightarrow \tau \quad \text{function type} \\ | \quad \tau \mathit{list} \quad \text{list type} \end{array}$$

where α ranges over a fixed, countably infinite set **TyVar**.

Type Schemes

$$\sigma ::= \forall A (\tau)$$

where A ranges over finite subsets of the set **TyVar**.

Mini-ML types and type schemes

Types

$$\begin{array}{l} \tau ::= \alpha \quad \text{type variable} \\ \quad | \quad \mathit{bool} \quad \text{type of booleans} \\ \quad | \quad \tau \rightarrow \tau \quad \text{function type} \\ \quad | \quad \tau \mathit{list} \quad \text{list type} \end{array}$$

where α ranges over a fixed, countably infinite set **TyVar**.

Type Schemes

$$\sigma ::= \forall A (\tau)$$

where A ranges over finite subsets of the set **TyVar**.

When $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ (mutually distinct type variables) we write $\forall A (\tau)$ as

$$\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n (\tau).$$

E.g.s of type schemes :

$$\forall \alpha, \beta (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \quad \forall \alpha (\alpha \mathit{list} \rightarrow \beta)$$

Mini-ML types and type schemes

Types

$\tau ::= \alpha$	type variable
$bool$	type of booleans
$\tau \rightarrow \tau$	function type
$\tau list$	list type

where α ranges over a fixed, countably infinite set **TyVar**.

Type Schemes

$$\sigma ::= \forall A (\tau)$$

where A ranges over finite subsets of the set **TyVar**.

When $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ (mutually distinct type variables) we write $\forall A (\tau)$ as

$$\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n (\tau).$$

possibly empty,
e.g. \downarrow

E.g.s of type schemes:

$$\forall \alpha, \beta (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \quad \forall \alpha (\alpha list \rightarrow \beta) \quad \forall \{\} (\alpha \rightarrow bool)$$

Mini-ML types and type schemes

Types

$$\tau ::= \begin{array}{l} \alpha \quad \text{type variable} \\ | \quad \mathit{bool} \quad \text{type of booleans} \\ | \quad \tau \rightarrow \tau \quad \text{function type} \\ | \quad \tau \mathit{list} \quad \text{list type} \end{array}$$

where α ranges over a fixed, countably infinite set **TyVar**.

Type Schemes

$$\sigma ::= \forall A (\tau)$$

where A ranges over finite subsets of the set **TyVar**.

When $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ (mutually distinct type variables) we write $\forall A (\tau)$ as

$$\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n (\tau).$$

When $A = \{\}$ is empty, we write $\forall A (\tau)$ just as τ . In other words, **we regard the set of types as a subset of the set of type schemes by identifying the type τ with the type scheme $\forall \{\} (\tau)$.**

Mini-ML typing judgement

takes the form

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$$

where

- ▶ the *typing environment* Γ is a finite function from variables to *type schemes*.
(We write $\Gamma = \{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\}$ to indicate that Γ has domain of definition $dom(\Gamma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ (mutually distinct variables) and maps each x_i to the type scheme σ_i for $i = 1 \dots n$.)
- ▶ M is a Mini-ML expression
- ▶ τ is a Mini-ML type.

Mini-ML type system, I

$$\text{(var } \succ) \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{ if } (x : \sigma) \in \Gamma \text{ and } \sigma \succ \tau$$

$$\text{(bool)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash B : \mathit{bool}} \text{ if } B \in \{\mathit{true}, \mathit{false}\}$$

$$\text{(if)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \mathit{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash M_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{if } M_1 \text{ then } M_2 \text{ else } M_3) : \tau}$$

Specialising type schemes to types

A type τ is a *specialisation* of a type scheme $\sigma = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n (\tau')$ if τ can be obtained from the type τ' by simultaneously substituting some types τ_i for the type variables α_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$):

$$\tau = \tau'[\tau_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \tau_n/\alpha_n]$$

In this case we write $\boxed{\sigma \succ \tau}$

E.g. $\forall \alpha, \beta (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \succ \beta \rightarrow \text{bool}$

& $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \succ \text{bool} \rightarrow \beta$

but $\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \not\succeq \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

Specialising type schemes to types

A type τ is a *specialisation* of a type scheme $\sigma = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n (\tau')$ if τ can be obtained from the type τ' by simultaneously substituting some types τ_i for the type variables α_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$):

$$\tau = \tau'[\tau_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \tau_n/\alpha_n]$$

In this case we write $\boxed{\sigma \succ \tau}$

(N.B. The relation is unaffected by the particular choice of names of bound type variables in σ .)

Identify type schemes up to renaming \forall -bound type variables, e.g.

$$\forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \beta) \neq \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma)$$

Specialising type schemes to types

A type τ is a *specialisation* of a type scheme $\sigma = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n (\tau')$ if τ can be obtained from the type τ' by simultaneously substituting some types τ_i for the type variables α_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$):

$$\tau = \tau' [\tau_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \tau_n/\alpha_n]$$

In this case we write $\boxed{\sigma \succ \tau}$

(N.B. The relation is unaffected by the particular choice of names of bound type variables in σ .)

The converse relation is called *generalisation*: a type scheme σ generalises a type τ if $\sigma \succ \tau$.

Mini-ML type system, III

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \tau_2}$$

Mini-ML type system, III

$$\text{(fn)} \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x (M) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\text{(app)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash MN : \tau_2}$$

abbreviation for $x : \forall \{\} \tau_1$

Mini-ML type system, II

$$\text{(nil)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{nil} : \tau \text{ list}}$$

$$\text{(cons)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash L : \tau \text{ list}}{\Gamma \vdash M :: L : \tau \text{ list}}$$

$$\text{(case)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : \tau \text{ list} \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \tau' \quad \Gamma, x : \tau, \ell : \tau \text{ list} \vdash C : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{case } L \text{ of nil} \Rightarrow N \mid x :: \ell \Rightarrow C) : \tau'} \text{ if } x \neq \ell \text{ and } x, \ell \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

Mini-ML type system, III

$$\text{(let)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \text{ and } A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

Mini-ML type system, III

$$\text{(let)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \forall A(\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'} \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \text{ and } A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

$\text{ftv}(\tau)$ = all type variables occurring in τ

$\text{ftv}\{x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n\} = \text{ftv}(\sigma_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{ftv}(\sigma_n)$

where if $\sigma = \forall A(\tau)$, then $\text{ftv}(\sigma) = \text{ftv}(\tau) - A$

Example of using the (let) rule

$$\text{(let)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \text{ and } A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

If $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau$ is $y : \beta, z : \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{bool}) \vdash \lambda u (y) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

Example of using the (let) rule

$$\text{(let)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \text{ and } A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

If $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau$ is $y : \beta, z : \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{bool}) \vdash \lambda u (y) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

then $A = \{\alpha, \beta\} - \{\beta\} = \{\alpha\}$ and $\forall A (\tau) = \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$.

Example of using the (let) rule

$$\text{(let)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \text{ and } A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

If $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau$ is $y : \beta, z : \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{bool}) \vdash \lambda u (y) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

then $A = \{\alpha, \beta\} - \{\beta\} = \{\alpha\}$ and $\forall A (\tau) = \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$.

So if $\Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'$ is

$y : \beta, z : \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{bool}), x : \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \vdash z (x y) (x \text{nil}) : \text{bool}$

Example of using the **(let)** rule

$$\text{(let)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \text{ and } A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

If $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau$ is $y : \beta, z : \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{bool}) \vdash \lambda u (y) : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

then $A = \{\alpha, \beta\} - \{\beta\} = \{\alpha\}$ and $\forall A (\tau) = \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$.

So if $\Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'$ is

$y : \beta, z : \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{bool}), x : \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \vdash z (x y) (x \text{nil}) : \text{bool}$

then applying **(let)** yields

$y : \beta, z : \forall \gamma (\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{bool}) \vdash \text{let } x = \lambda u (y) \text{ in } z (x y) (x \text{nil}) : \text{bool}$

Mini-ML type system, III

Definition. We write $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall A (\tau)}$ to mean $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is derivable from the Mini-ML typing rules and that $A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$.

Mini-ML type system, III

$$\text{(let)} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \forall A (\tau) \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'} \text{ if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \text{ and } A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$$

Definition. We write $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall A (\tau)}$ to mean $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is derivable from the Mini-ML typing rules and that $A = \text{ftv}(\tau) - \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$.

(So (let) is equivalent to $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2) : \tau'}$ if $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.)

(Cf. Slide 6)

Mini-ML **type-checking** problem:

a given Γ , M & σ , does $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ hold?

Mini-ML **type-inference** problem:

b given Γ & M , does there exist σ such that $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$ holds?

Solving **a** entails solving **b**, because of the form of the (let) typing rule.