L41: Lab 3 - Micro-architectural implications of IPC

Dr Robert N. M. Watson

12 November 2015

# L41: Lab 3 - Micro-architectural implications of IPC

- ▶ Hardware performance counters
- ▶ Extending Lab 2 from OS effects to architecture/micro-architecture
- ▶ Gather further data for assessed *Lab Report 2*

Hardware performance counters

- ▶ Seems simple enough:
  - ▶ Source code compiles to instructions
  - ▶ Instructions are executed by the processor

- ▶ But some instructions take longer than others:
  - ▶ Register-register operations generally single-cycle (or less)
  - ▶ Multiply and divide may depend on the specific numeric values
  - ▶ Floating point may take quite a while
  - ▶ Loads/stores cost different amounts depending on TLB/cache use

- ▶ Optimisation is therefore not just about reducing instruction count
  - ▶ Optimisation must take into account micro-architectural effects
  - ▶ TLB/cache effects tricky as they vary with memory footprint
  - ▶ How can we tell when the cache overflows?

- ▶ Hardware performance counters let us directly ask the processor about *architectural* and *micro-architectural* events
  - ▶ #instructions, #memory accesses, #cache misses, DRAM traffic...

Sketch of ARM Cortex A8 memory hierarchy

- *Architectural* refers to an ISA-level view of execution
- *Micro-architectural* refers to behaviours below the ISA

This is a very, very rough sketch indeed!

The benchmark – now with PMC

```
root@beaglebone:/data/ipc # ./ipc-static
ipc-static [-Bqsv] [-b buffersize] [-i pipe|socket]
  [-P l1d|l1i|l2|mem|tlb|axi] [-t totalsize] mode

Modes (pick one - default 1thread):
    1thread              IPC within a single thread
    2thread              IPC between two threads in one process
    2proc                IPC between two threads in two different proces

Optional flags:
    -B                   Run in bare mode: no preparatory activities
    -i pipe|local        Select pipe or socket for IPC (default: pipe)
    -P l1d|l1i|l2|mem|tlb|axi  Enable hardware performance counters
    -q                   Just run the benchmark, don't print stuff out
    -s                   Set send/receive socket-buffer sizes to buffers
    -v                   Provide a verbose benchmark description
    -b buffersize        Specify a buffer size (default: 131072)
    -t totalsize         Specify total I/O size (default: 16777216)
```

  ▶ -P argument requests profiling of load/store instructions, L1
    D-cache, L1 I-cache, L2 cache, I-TLB, D-TLB, and AXI traffic

Example: Profile memory instructions

```
root@beaglebone:/data/ipc # ./ipc-static -vP mem -b 1048576 -i local
  1thread
Benchmark configuration:
  buffersize: 1048576
  totalsize: 16777216
  blockcount: 16
  mode: 1thread
  ipctype: socket
  time: 0.084140708

  pmctype: mem
  INSTR_EXECUTED: 25463397
  CLOCK_CYCLES: 46233168
  CLOCK_CYCLES/INSTR_EXECUTED: 1.815672
  MEM_READ: 8699699
  MEM_READ/INSTR_EXECUTED: 0.341655
  MEM_READ/CLOCK_CYCLES: 0.188170
  MEM_WRITE: 7815423
  MEM_WRITE/INSTR_EXECUTED: 0.306928
  MEM_WRITE/CLOCK_CYCLES: 0.169044

194721.45 KBytes/sec
```

Example: Profile memory instructions

- ▶ Benchmark run pushed 16M data through a socket using 1M buffers for reads and writes
- ▶ Reasonable expectation of load and store memory footprints to be 16M $\times 2 + \epsilon$ reflecting copies to and from kernel buffers

- ▶ Word size in ARMv7 is 32 bits
- ▶ Memory reads (8,699,699) $\times$ 4 = $\approx$32M – sum of buffer accesses in user and kernel memory

- ▶ Could now query L1, L2 caches: how many of those accesses are in each cache, and how does it affect performance?
- ▶ How does L1, L2 cache miss rate relate to cycles/instruction?
- ▶ How would DTrace profiling show changed behaviour as cycles/instruction goes up?

Exploratory questions

- ▶ How do requested memory access vary across our six benchmark configurations?
- ▶ How does varying the buffer size (and kernel socket-buffer size) impact L1, L2 cache effectiveness?
- ▶ Under what circumstances would increasing buffer size improve performance?
- ▶ Under what cirucmstances would decreasing buffer size improve performance?

Experimental questions for the lab report

- ► How does changing the IPC buffer size affect architectural and micro-architectural memory behaviour?
- ► Can we reach causal conclusions about the scalability of pipes vs. sockets from processor performance counters?

This lab session

Use this session to continue to build experience:

- ▶ Ensure that you can use PMC to collect information about the memory subsystem: instructions, cache behaviour, AXI behaviour
- ▶ Continue data collection for Lab Report 2
- ▶ Identify *inflection points* where performance trends change as a result of architectural or micro-architectural thresholds
- ▶ Do ask us if you have any questions or need help

Do ask us if you have any questions or need help