

Non-example of a ccc

Category of monoids \mathbf{Mon} is not a ccc,
because:

free monoid on $Z = \{0, 1\}$

by univ. prop. of
free monoid

$$\mathbf{N} \cong 2^* \times 2^* \cong \mathbf{Set}(2, 2^*) \cong \mathbf{Mon}(2^*, 2^*)$$

because $1 \times M \cong M$

$$\cong \mathbf{Mon}(1 \times 2^*, 2^*)$$

(Here I'm writing X^* instead of $\text{List}(X)$ for
the set of finite lists of elements of
a set X .)

Non-example of a ccc

Category of monoids \mathbf{Mon} is not a ccc,
because:

free monoid on $Z = \{0, 1\}$

by univ. prop. of
free monoid

$$\mathbf{N} \cong 2^* \times 2^* \cong \mathbf{Set}(2, 2^*) \cong \mathbf{Mon}(2^*, 2^*)$$

because $1 \times M \cong M$

$$\cong \mathbf{Mon}(1 \times 2^*, 2^*)$$

whereas for any M , $\mathbf{Mon}(1, M) \cong 1$

since 1 is
initial in \mathbf{Mon}

Non-example of a ccc

Category of monoids Mon is not a ccc,
because:

free monoid on $Z = \{0, 1\}$

by univ. prop. of
free monoid

$$\mathbb{N} \cong 2^* \times 2^* \cong \text{Set}(2, 2^*) \cong \text{Mon}(2^*, 2^*)$$

because $1 \times M \cong M$

$$\cong \text{Mon}(1 \times 2^*, 2^*)$$

Whereas for any M , $\text{Mon}(1, M) \cong 1$

so $\text{Mon}(1 \times 2^*, 2^*) \not\cong \text{Mon}(1, M)$

for any M , and hence

the exponential of 2^* & 2^* can't exist in Mon .

since 1 is
initial in Mon

Examples of ccc's

A pre-ordered set (X, \leq) regarded as a category is Cartesian iff it has

- a greatest element $\top : (\forall p \in P) p \leq \top$
- binary meets $p \wedge q : (\forall r \in P) r \leq p \wedge q \iff r \leq p \ \& \ r \leq q$

\mathbb{I} is a ccc iff it has

- Heyting implications $p \rightarrow q :$
 $(\forall r \in P) r \leq p \rightarrow q \iff r \wedge p \leq q$

Examples of ccc's

A pre-ordered set (X, \leq) regarded as a category is Cartesian iff it has

- a greatest element $\top : (\forall p \in P) p \leq \top$
- binary meets $p \wedge q : (\forall r \in P) r \leq p \wedge q \iff r \leq p \ \& \ r \leq q$

\mathbb{I} is a ccc iff it has

- Heyting implications $p \rightarrow q :$
 $(\forall r \in P) r \leq p \rightarrow q \iff r \wedge p \leq q$

E.g. any Boolean algebra ($p \rightarrow q = \neg p \vee q$)
Also $([0, 1], \leq)$, for which $p \rightarrow q = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \leq q \\ q & \text{if } q \leq p \end{cases}$

Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

- "natural deduction" style
- only conjunction & implication fragment

Formulas :

$\varphi, \psi, \theta, \dots ::= p, q, r, \dots$

propositional
identifiers

\top

truth

$\varphi \& \psi$

conjunction

$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$

implication

Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

Entailment relation $\Phi \vdash \varphi$

hypotheses,
a finite multiset
(= unordered list)
of formulas

conclusion,
a formula

is inductively defined by the following rules:

Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \varphi \quad \Phi, \varphi \vdash \psi}{\Phi \vdash \psi} \text{ (Cut)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Phi, \varphi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (Ax)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \top} \text{ (T)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Phi \vdash \varphi \\ \Phi \vdash \psi \end{array}}{\Phi \vdash \varphi \& \psi} \text{ (}\wedge\text{I)}$$

$$\frac{\Phi, \varphi \vdash \psi}{\Phi \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \psi} \text{ (}\Rightarrow\text{I)}$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \varphi \& \psi}{\Phi \vdash \varphi} \text{ (}\wedge\text{E}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \varphi \& \psi}{\Phi \vdash \psi} \text{ (}\wedge\text{E}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Phi \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \psi \\ \Phi \vdash \varphi \end{array}}{\Phi \vdash \psi} \text{ (}\Rightarrow\text{E)}$$

For example $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta$ holds :

$$\frac{\boxed{\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta, \varphi} \vdash \theta}{\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta} (\Rightarrow I)$$

For example $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta$ holds :

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash \theta}{\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta} (\Rightarrow I)$$

$$(\Phi \triangleq \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta, \varphi)$$

For example $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta$ holds :

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \psi \Rightarrow \theta} (Ax)}{\Phi \vdash \psi} (\Rightarrow E)}{\Phi \vdash \theta} (\Rightarrow E)}{\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \theta} (\Rightarrow I)$$

$$(\Phi \triangleq \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta, \varphi)$$

Semantics of IPL in a cartesian closed pre-order (P, \leq)

Given a meaning for each propositional identifier p as an element $\llbracket p \rrbracket \in P$, we get a semantics for formulas $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \in P$:

$$\llbracket \top \rrbracket = 1 \leftarrow \text{greatest element}$$

$$\llbracket \varphi \& \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \varphi \Rightarrow \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \multimap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$$

binary meet

Heyting implication

Semantics of IPL in a
cartesian closed pre-order (P, \leq)

$$\llbracket \top \rrbracket = 1 \leftarrow \text{greatest element}$$

$$\llbracket \varphi \& \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \varphi \Rightarrow \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \multimap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$$

binary meet

Heyting
implication

and a semantics for multisets of formulas

$$\llbracket \Phi \rrbracket \in P :$$

$$\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = 1$$

$$\llbracket \Phi, \varphi \rrbracket = \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$$

Semantics of IPL in a cartesian closed pre-order (P, \leq)

Soundness theorem

If $\Phi \vdash \varphi$ is provable from the rules of IPL, then $\llbracket \Phi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ holds in any cartesian closed pre-order.

Proof - exercise.

Example

application of the Soundness Theorem :

Peirce's Law $\vdash ((\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow \varphi) \Rightarrow \varphi$
is not provable in IPL

(whereas $((\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow \varphi) \Rightarrow \varphi$ is a classical tautology)

because in the c.c. pre-order $([0, 1], \leq)$
taking $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket = \frac{1}{2}$, $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket ((\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow \varphi) \Rightarrow \varphi \rrbracket &= ((\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \\ &= (0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Semantics of IPL in a cartesian closed poset (P, \leq)

Completeness Theorem

If $\llbracket \Phi \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ holds in all c.c. pre-orders
then $\Phi \vdash \varphi$ is provable in IPL.

Proof ...

Proof

Define

$$P \triangleq \{\text{formulas of IPL}\}$$

$$\psi \leq \varphi \triangleq \{\emptyset\} \vdash \psi$$

Then (P, \leq) is a c.c. pre-ordered set with an interpretation of IPL given by $\llbracket p \rrbracket = p$.

Can show that $\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ in this (P, \leq)

iff $\emptyset \vdash \varphi$ is valid in IPL.

