## Natural Numbers and mathematical induction

We have mentioned in passing that the natural numbers are generated from zero by succesive increments. This is in fact the defining property of the set of natural numbers, and endows it with a very important and powerful reasoning principle, that of *Mathematical Induction*, for establishing universal properties of natural numbers.

## Principle of Induction

Let P(m) be a statement for m ranging over the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ .

lf

BASE CASE

INDUCTION STEP

- $\blacktriangleright$  the statement P(0) holds, and
- ▶ the statement

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. (P(n) \implies P(n+1))$$

also holds

then

▶ the statement

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. P(m)$$

holds.

Proposition HnEN. Even(n) or Odd(n). where Even (n) = (] keW. n=2k) and  $Odd(n) = (\exists R \in \mathbb{N} . n = 2k+1)$ PROOF: We proceed by induction for P(n) = Even(n) or Odd(n)base cool: n=0 RTP: Even (0) or Odd (0) In feet Even (0) holds, so 0=2.0, hence re are done.

Induction step. Let n be an ar h frag natural number

Assume: Even (n) or Odd (n)

RTP: Even (n+1) n Odd (n+1)

We proceed by com:

- DEven (n) holds, That is n=2k for an in Tegerk

  Then, n+1=2k+1 and hence Odd(n+1) holds

  and we are done.
- 2) Odden holds, that is n=2kH for an ityerk
  The n+1=2(k+1) and her a Ever(n+1) holds
  and M sedone.

NB: Induction from bossol for a property P is in fact againstant to Induction (from basis) for the property

Principle of Induction

from basis  $\ell$   $Q(n) = P(\ell + n)$ 

Let P(m) be a statement for m ranging over the natural numbers greater than or equal a fixed natural number \( \ell. \). ►  $P(\ell)$  holds, and  $\frac{\text{BASE CASE}}{\text{INDUCTION STEP}}$ lf

- ▶  $\forall n \ge \ell \text{ in } \mathbb{N}. (P(n)) \Longrightarrow P(n+1)) \text{ also holds}$

then

 $ightharpoonup \forall m \geq \ell \text{ in } \mathbb{N}. \ P(m) \text{ holds.}$ 

WB: The principle of Strong Induction from bosis l for a
property P is the principle of Induction from bossis l
for the rinciple of Strong Induction exercises.

from basis  $\ell$  and Induction Hypothesis P(m).

Let P(m) be a statement for m ranging over the natural numbers greater than or equal a fixed natural number \( \ell. \).

If both

BASE CASE INDUCTION STEP

- $ightharpoonup P(\ell)$  and
- ▶  $\forall n \ge \ell \text{ in } \mathbb{N}. \left( \left( \forall k \in [\ell..n]. P(k) \right) \implies P(n+1) \right)$

hold, then

▶  $\forall$  m  $\geq$   $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . P(m) holds.

( $\forall k$ .  $\ell \leq k \leq n \Rightarrow P(k)$ )

## Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Proposition 76 Every positive integer greater than or equal 2 is a prime or a product of primes. PROOF: We proceed by a duction from borns 2 for the predicate P(n) = (n is a prime n is a product of prime)Son cont: n=2 Snue 2 is a prihe, me se done.

Inductive step: Let n7,2 be sibilitaly.

(IH) Asome: H & C[2..n]. Kis prime or Kis a product
of prime.

RTP: P(nH) holds; That is, nH is a prime n (nH) is a product of prines. Cose 1: not is a prine, Then we are done. Cost 2: Not is not a prive, The not = k.l for some k and I greater than negural 2 and les Non or equal or. Hence, by Induction Hypothesis (Ite), kis prime a a product of primes and las prome a a product of primes.

Therefore nH=R.l is a product of prives.

And we are done

Theorem 77 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) For every positive integer n there is a unique finite ordered sequence of primes  $(p_1 \le \cdots \le p_\ell)$  with  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

 $n = \prod(p_1, \ldots, p_\ell)$ . Proof: notation for proper .... pe  $N.B. + n (20, \pi(1) = 1$ Since we know that a mober in prime of a product of prime we need only prove the uniqueness of prime de con pori tron.

We show That for all n? 1.  $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$   $J \quad N = T(p_1 \dots p_e) \quad \text{for } p_i \text{ primes}$ We proceed by induction: BARE CARE: N=1, N= TT (p,-pe) = TT (q,-qn) mN l= k=0. Thefre ne are done.

INDUCTIVE STEP Connoder 17,1 (STRONG INDUCTION) Assure P(m) for all 15m < n RTD: P(n+1)i.e if  $n_{H} = T(p_{1}-...p_{e}) = T(q_{1}-...q_{b})$ The l=R, p=9, --- pl=qe. Assume nous TT (p, pe) = TT (q, -9k). RTP: l=R, p=91,--, Pl=91.

We Know p1/n+1 = TT (91--- 9R) & P1/93 for some J. EUCHO'S THEOREM By The ordering assurption on the sequence, we hare 9j = 91 Andløgon sty, ne show That 91/pm ord so filgi Henre p1=91. Consider Then TI(p2,--, pe) = TI(q2---, qx).

By Induction thy pothesis opplied to TT (p2--- pe) = TT (92--- 9n)  $(\leq n)$ Alluce ne are some.