Computer Graphics & Image Processing



Computer Laboratory


Computer Science Tripos Part IB

Neil Dodgson & Peter Robinson

Michaelmas Term 2015

William Gates Building 15 JJ Thomson Avenue Cambridge CB3 0FD

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/




Computer Graphics & Image Processing

Peter Robinson

www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~pr

Sixteen lectures for Part IB CST Four supervisions suggested Two exam questions on Paper 4



Why bother with CG & IP?

- +All visual computer output depends on CG
 - printed output (laser/ink jet/phototypesetter)
 - monitor (CRT/LCD/plasma/DMD)
 - all visual computer output consists of real images generated by the computer from some internal digital image
- → Much other visual imagery depends on CG & IP
 - TV & movie special effects & post-production
 - most books, magazines, catalogues, brochures, junk mail, newspapers, packaging, posters, flyers



What are CG & IP used for?

- +2D computer graphics
 - graphical user interfaces: Mac, Windows, X...
 - graphic design: posters, cereal packets...
 - typesetting: book publishing, report writing...
- Image processing
 - photograph retouching: publishing, posters...
 - photocollaging: satellite imagery...
 - art: new forms of artwork based on digitised images
- → 3D computer graphics
 - visualisation: scientific, medical, architectural...
 - Computer Aided Design (CAD)
 - entertainment: special effect, games, movies...

Course Structure

- + Background [2L]
 - images, colour, human vision, resolution
- → Simple rendering [2L]
 - perspective, surface reflection, geometric models, ray tracing
- → Graphics pipeline [4L]
 - polygonal models, transformations, projection (3D→2D), hardware and OpenGL, lighting and shading, texture
- ◆ Underlying algorithms [4L]
 - drawing lines and curves, clipping, filling, depth, anti-aliasing
- + Colour and displays [2L]
- Image processing [2L]
 - filtering, compositing, half-toning, dithering, encoding

Course books + Fundamentals of Computer Graphics - Shirley & Marschner CRC Press 2009 (3rd edition) + Computer Graphics: Principles & Practice

- Hughes, van Dam, McGuire, Skalar, Foley, Feiner & Akele Addison-Wesley 2013 (3rd edition)
- → Computer Graphics & Virtual Environments
 - Slater, Steed, & Chrysanthou Addison Wesley 2001
- **→** Digital Image Processing
 - Gonzalez & Woods Prentice Hall 2007 (3rd edition)



Computer Graphics & Image Processing

- → Background
 - Digital images
 - Lighting and colour
 - Human vision
- +Simple rendering
- → Graphics pipeline
- → Underlying algorithms
- ◆ Colour and displays
- → Image processing

Background

- + what is a digital image?
 - what are the constraints on digital images?
- → how does human vision work?
 - what are the limits of human vision?
 - what can we get away with given these constraints & limits?
- + what are the implications?

Later on in the course we will ask:

- → how do we represent colour?
- + how do displays & printers work?
 - how do we fool the human eye into seeing what we want?


What is an image?

- +two dimensional function
- → value at any point is an intensity or colour
- → not digital!



What is a digital image?

- → a contradiction in terms
 - if you can see it, it's not digital
 - if it's digital, it's just a collection of numbers
- → a sampled and quantised version of a real image
- → a rectangular array of intensity or colour values







Image display

13


15

- +a digital image is an array of integers, how do you display it?
- reconstruct a real image on some sort of display device
 - ◆ LCD portable computer, video projector
 - DMD video projector
 - EPS electrophoretic display "e-paper"
 - printer ink jet, laser printer, dot matrix, dye sublimation, commercial typesetter




Sampling


- a digital image is a rectangular array of intensity values
- +each value is called a pixel
 - "picture element"
- sampling resolution is normally measured in pixels per inch (ppi) or dots per inch (dpi)
 - computer monitors have a resolution around 100 ppi
 - laser and ink jet printers have resolutions between 300 and 1200 ppi
 - typesetters have resolutions between 1000 and 3000 ppi




Quantisation


- +each intensity value is a number
- for digital storage the intensity values must be quantised
 - limits the number of different intensities that can be stored
 - limits the brightest intensity that can be stored
- how many intensity levels are needed for human consumption
 - 8 bits often sufficient
 - some applications use 10 or 12 or 16 bits
 - more detail later in the course
- → colour is stored as a set of numbers
 - usually as 3 numbers of 5-16 bits each
 - more detail later in the course








Light: wavelengths & spectra Ight: wavelengths & spectra Ight is electromagnetic radiation Visible light is a tiny part of the electromagnetic spectrum Visible light ranges in wavelength from 700nm (red end of spectrum) to 400nm (violet end) Vevery light has a spectrum of wavelengths that it emits Vevery object has a spectrum of wavelengths that it reflects (or transmits) the combination of the two gives the spectrum of wavelengths that arrive at the eye




























Foveal vision 150,000 cones per square millimetre in the fovea high resolution colour outside fovea: mostly rods lower resolution many rods' inputs are combined to produce one signal to the visual cortex in the brain principally monochromatic there are very few cones, so little input available to provide colour information to the brain provides peripheral vision allows you to keep the high resolution region in context without peripheral vision you would walk into things, be unable to find things easily, and generally find life much more difficult



















Some of the processing in the eye discrimination discriminates between different intensities and colours adaptation dapts to changes in illumination level and colour can see about 1:100 contrast at any given time but can adapt to see light over a range of 10¹⁰ persistence integrates light over a period of about 1/30 second dege detection and edge enhancement visible in e.g. Mach banding effects












46

48



Summary of what human eyes do...

- → sample the image that is projected onto the retina
- → adapt to changing conditions
- → perform non-linear pre-processing
 - makes it very hard to model and predict behaviour
- combine a large number of basic inputs into a much smaller set of signals
 - which encode more complex data
 - e.g. presence of an edge at a particular location with a particular orientation rather than intensity at a set of locations
- → pass pre-processed information to the visual cortex
 - · which performs extremely complex processing
 - discussed in the Computer Vision course

Implications of vision on resolution


- +The acuity of the eye is measured as the ability to see a white gap, I minute wide, between two black lines
 - about 300dpi at 30cm
 - the corresponds to about 2 cone widths on the fovea
- → Resolution decreases as contrast decreases
- → Colour resolution is lower than intensity resolution
 - this is exploited in video encoding
 - the colour information in analogue television has half the spatial resolution of the intensity information
 - the colour information in digital television has less spatial resolution and fewer quantisation levels than the intensity information


Implications of vision on quantisation


- Humans can distinguish, at best, about a 2% change in intensity
 - not so good at distinguishing colour differences
- +We need to know what the brightest white and darkest black are
 - most modern display technologies (LCD or DLP) have static contrast ratios quoted in the thousands
 - actually in the hundreds other in a completely dark room
 - movie film has a contrast ratio of about 1000:1
- →⇒ 12–16 bits of intensity information
 - · assuming intensities are distributed linearly
 - this allows for easy computation
 - 8 bits are often acceptable, except in the dark regions


Colour images


- tend to be 24 bits per pixel
 - 3 bytes: one red, one green, one blue
 - increasing use of 48 bits per pixel, 2 bytes per colour plane
- can be stored as a contiguous block of memory
- of size $W \times H \times 3$
- more common to store each colour in a separate "plane"
 - \blacksquare each plane contains just $W \times H$ values
- the idea of planes can be extended to other attributes associated with each pixel
 - alpha plane (transparency), z-buffer (depth value), A-buffer (pointer to a data structure containing depth and coverage information), overlay planes (e.g. for displaying pop-up menus) — see later in the course for details















































Illumination and shading


 Dürer's method allows us to calculate what part of the scene is visible in any pixel


- ◆ But what colour should it be?
- → Depends on:
 - lighting
 - shadows
 - properties of surface material


66
















Equations for lighting Rather than using a BRDF look-up table, we might prefer a simple equation This is the sort of trade-off that has occurred often in the history of computing Early years: memory is expensive, so use a calculated approximation to the truth More recently: memory is cheap, so use a large look-up table captured from the real world to give an accurate answer Examples include: surface properties in graphics, sounds for electric pianos/organs, definitions of 3D shape















82



The gross assumptions revisited only diffuse reflection now have a method of approximating specular reflection no shadows need to do ray tracing or shadow mapping to get shadows lights at infinity can add local lights at the expense of more calculation need to interpolate the L vector

- no interaction between surfaces
 - cheat!
 - assume that all light reflected off all other surfaces onto a given surface can be amalgamated into a single constant term: "ambient illumination", add this onto the diffuse and specular illumination

Shading: overall equation

 the overall shading equation can thus be considered to be the ambient illumination plus the diffuse and specular reflections from each light source

$$I = I_a k_a + \sum_i I_i k_d (L_i \cdot N) + \sum_i I_i k_s (R_i \cdot V)^n$$




81


the more lights there are in the scene, the longer this calculation will take


Illumination & shading: comments


how good is this shading equation?


- gives reasonable results but most objects tend to look as if they are made out of plastic
- Cook & Torrance have developed a more realistic (and more expensive) shading model which takes into account:
 - micro-facet geometry (which models, amongst other things, the roughness of the surface)
 - Fresnel's formulas for reflectance off a surface
- there are other, even more complex, models
- is there a better way to handle inter-object interaction?
 - "ambient illumination" is a gross approximation
 - distributed ray tracing can handle specular inter-reflection
 - radiosity can handle diffuse inter-reflection































Anti-aliasing • these artefacts (and others) are jointly known as aliasing • methods of ameliorating the effects of aliasing are known as anti-aliasing ■ in signal processing aliasing is a precisely defined technical term for a particular kind of artefact ■ in computer graphics its meaning has expanded to include most undesirable effects that can occur in the image ■ this is because the same anti-aliasing techniques which ameliorate true aliasing artefacts also ameliorate most of the other artefacts

































































Homogeneous 2D co-ordinates

• translations cannot be represented using simple 2D matrix multiplication on 2D vectors, so we switch to homogeneous co-ordinates $(x, y, w) \equiv \left(\frac{x}{w}, \frac{y}{w}\right)$ • an infinite number of homogeneous co-ordinates map to every 2D point
• w=0 represents a point at infinity
• usually take the inverse transform to be: $(x, y) \equiv (x, y, 1)$


118 Matrices in homogeneous co-ordinates + scale + rotate about origin, factor m about origin, angle θ $\begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} y' \\ w' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ $= |\sin \theta \cos \theta \quad 0|| y$ $\lfloor w' \rfloor \lfloor 0$ + do nothing + shear parallel to x axis, factor a identity $\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} x' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{vmatrix} y' \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} y$ $\begin{bmatrix} w' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} w' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w \end{bmatrix}$


Translation by matrix algebra $\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ w' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_o \\ 0 & 1 & y_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix}$ In homogeneous coordinates $x' = x + wx_o \qquad y' = y + wy_o \qquad w' = w$ In conventional coordinates $\frac{x'}{w'} = \frac{x}{w} + x_0 \qquad \frac{y'}{w'} = \frac{y}{w} + y_0$


Concatenating transformations


• often necessary to perform more than one transformation on the same object


• can concatenate transformations by multiplying their matrices e.g. a shear followed by a scaling: $\begin{bmatrix}
x'' \\ y'' \\ w''
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ y' \\ y'
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
shear \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x \\ y' \\ y''
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
shear \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x \\ y''
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
shear \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ y''
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
shear \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ y''
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
shear \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ y''
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
shear \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ y''
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
shear \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}$














Model transformation 3

- → rotation is a multi-step process
 - break the rotation into steps, each of which is rotation about a principal axis
 - work these out by taking the desired orientation back to the original axis-aligned position
 - the centres of its two ends located at (1,2,3) and (2,4,5)
 - desired axis: (2,4,5)-(1,2,3) = (1,2,2)
 - original axis: y-axis = (0,1,0)


Model transformation 4 • desired axis: (2,4,5)-(1,2,3)=(1,2,2)• original axis: y-axis = (0,1,0)• zero the z-coordinate by rotating about the x-axis $\mathbf{R}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\theta = -\arcsin\frac{2}{\sqrt{2^2+2^2}}$ $\theta = -\arcsin\frac{2}{\sqrt{2^2+2^2}}$

Model transformation 5

- ullet then zero the x-coordinate by rotating about the z-axis
- we now have the object's axis pointing along the y-axis

$$\mathbf{R}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\phi = \arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{1^2 + \sqrt{8}^2}}$$



127


129


Model transformation 6


- the overall transformation is:
 - first scale
 - then take the inverse of the rotation we just calculated
 - finally translate to the correct position


$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \\ w' \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R}_1^{-1} \times \mathbf{R}_2^{-1} \times \mathbf{S} \times \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix}$$


Application: display multiple instances • transformations allow you to define an object at one location and then place multiple instances in your scene















Viewing transformation 2


• translate eye point, (e_x, e_y, e_z) , to origin, (0,0,0) $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -e_x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -e_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -e_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ • scale so that eye point to look point distance, $|\mathbf{el}|$, is distance from origin to screen centre, d $|\mathbf{el}| = \sqrt{(l_x - e_x)^2 + (l_y - e_y)^2 + (l_z - e_z)^2} \qquad \mathbf{s} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt[6]{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt[6]{n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt[6]{n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$














Illumination & shading

Interpolating colours across polygons needs
colour at each vertex
algorithm to blend between the colours across the polygon
Works for ambient lighting and diffuse reflection
Specular reflection requires more information than just the colour
































































OpenGL shaders Compute Vertex Receives coordinates, colour and transformations Applies model and view transformations to vertices Outputs transformed coordinates and colour Tessellation control and evaluation Geometry Fragment Receives interpolated values from vertex shader Calculates lighting and shading for each visible pixel Outputs fragment colour






































Midpoint — comments this version only works for lines in the first octant extend to other octants as for Bresenham tit is not immediately obvious that Bresenham and Midpoint give identical results, but it can be proven that they do Midpoint algorithm can be generalised to draw arbitrary circles & ellipses Bresenham can only be generalised to draw circles with integer radii

Curves

circles & ellipses

Bézier cubics

Pierre Bézier, worked in CAD for Renault

de Casteljau invented them five years earlier at Citroën

but Citroën would not let him publish the results

widely used in graphic design & typography

NURBS

Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines

more powerful than Bezier & now more widely used

consider these in Part II

Midpoint circle algorithm I

+ equation of a circle is $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ • centred at the origin


+ decision variable can be $d = x^2 + y^2 - r^2$ • d = 0 on the circle, d > 0 outside, d < 0 inside

+ divide circle into eight octants


• on the next slide we consider only the second octant, the others are similar


Midpoint circle algorithm 2


• decision variable needed to make a decision at point $(x+1, y-\frac{1}{2})$ $d = (x+1)^2 + (y-\frac{1}{2})^2 - r^2$ • if go E then the new decision variable is at $(x+2, y-\frac{1}{2})$ $d' = (x+2)^2 + (y-\frac{1}{2})^2 - r^2$ = d+2x+3• if go SE then the new decision variable is at $(x+2, y-\frac{1}{2})$ $d' = (x+2)^2 + (y-\frac{1}{2})^2 - r^2$ = d+2x-2y+5



Taking circles further the algorithm can be easily extended to circles not centred at the origin a similar method can be derived for ovals but: cannot naively use octants use points of 45° slope to divide oval into eight sections and: ovals must be axis-aligned there is a more complex algorithm which can be used for non-axis aligned ovals







Bezier properties

+ Bezier is equivalent to Hermite $T_0 = 3(P_1 - P_0)$ $T_1 = 3(P_3 - P_2)$ + Weighting functions are Bernstein polynomials $b_0(t) = (1-t)^3$ $b_1(t) = 3t(1-t)^2$ $b_2(t) = 3t^2(1-t)$ $b_3(t) = t^3$ + Weighting functions sum to one $\sum_{i=0}^3 b_i(t) = 1$ + Bezier curve lies within convex hull of its control points

• because weights sum to 1 and all weights are non-negative

Types of curve join

+ each curve is smooth within itself

+ joins at endpoints can be:


- C₁ - continuous in both position and tangent vector
- smooth join in a mathematical sense


- G₁ - continuous in position, tangent vector in same direction
- smooth join in a geometric sense


- C₀ - continuous in position only
- "corner"

- discontinuous in position

- (mathematical continuity): continuous in all derivatives up to the nth derivative
- G₀ (geometric continuity): each derivative up to the nth has the same "direction" to its vector on either side of the join
- C₀ ⇒ G₀










Drawing a Bezier cubic – adaptive method

 + adaptive subdivision

 check if a straight line between P₀ and P₃ is an adequate approximation to the Bezier
 if so: draw the straight line
 if not: divide the Bezier into two halves, each a Bezier, and repeat for the two new Beziers
 need to specify some tolerance for when a straight line is an adequate approximation
 when the Bezier lies within half a pixel width of the straight line along its entire length








Subdividing a Bezier cubic into two halves

 a Bezier cubic can be easily subdivided into two smaller Bezier cubics

$$\begin{array}{ll} Q_0 = P_0 & R_0 = \frac{1}{8}P_0 + \frac{3}{8}P_1 + \frac{3}{8}P_2 + \frac{1}{8}P_3 \\ Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}P_0 + \frac{1}{2}P_1 & R_1 = \frac{1}{4}P_1 + \frac{1}{2}P_2 + \frac{1}{4}P_3 \\ Q_2 = \frac{1}{4}P_0 + \frac{1}{2}P_1 + \frac{1}{4}P_2 & R_2 = \frac{1}{2}P_2 + \frac{1}{2}P_3 \\ Q_3 = \frac{1}{8}P_0 + \frac{3}{8}P_1 + \frac{3}{8}P_2 + \frac{1}{8}P_3 & R_3 = P_3 \end{array}$$

Exercise: prove that the Bezier cubic curves defined by Q_0 , Q_1 , Q_2 , Q_3 and R_0 , R_1 , R_2 , R_3 match the Bezier cubic curve defined by P_0 , P_1 , P_2 , P_3 over the ranges $\iota \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\iota \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ respectively



• base each cubic piece on the four surrounding data points

• at each data point the curve must depend solely on the three surrounding data points

• define the tangent at each point as the direction from the preceding point to the succeeding point

• tangent at P₁ is ½(P₂-P₀), at P₂ is ½(P₃-P₁)

• this is the basis of Overhauser's cubic


203 Overhauser's cubic method for generating Bezier curves which match Overhauser's model simply calculate the appropriate Bezier control point locations from the given points e.g. given points A, B, C, D, the Bezier control points are: $P_1 = B + (C-A)/6$ $P_3 = C$ $P_2 = C - (D - B)/6$ • Overhauser's cubic interpolates its controlling data points good for control of movement in animation not so good for industrial design because moving a single point modifies the surrounding four curve segments compare with Bezier where moving a single point modifies just the two segments connected to that point Overhauser worked for the Ford motor company in the 1960s


Simplifying line chains


- this can be thought of as an inverse problem to the one of drawing Bezier curves
- problem specification: you are given a chain of line segments at a very high resolution, how can you reduce the number of line segments without compromising quality
 - e.g. given the coastline of Britain defined as a chain of line segments at one metre resolution, draw the entire outline on a 1280x1024 pixel screen
- the solution: Douglas & Peucker's line chain simplification algorithm


This can also be applied to chains of Bezier curves at high resolution: most of the curves will each be approximated (by the previous algorithm) as a single line segment, Douglas & Peucker's algorithm can then be used to further simplify the line chain


204





















Scanline polygon fill algorithm

1 take all polygon edges and place in an edge list (EL), sorted on lowest y value
2 start with the first scanline that intersects the polygon, get all edges which intersect that scan line and move them to an active edge list (AEL)
3 for each edge in the AEL: find the intersection point with the current scanline; sort these into ascending order on the x value
4 fill between pairs of intersection points
5 move to the next scanline (increment y); move new edges from EL to AEL if start point ≤ y; remove edges from the AEL if endpoint < y; if any edges remain in the AEL go back to step



Scanline polygon fill details

• how do we efficiently calculate the intersection points?

■ use a line drawing algorithm to do incremental calculation

■ store current x value, increment value dx, starting and ending y values


■ on increment do a single addition x=x+dx


• what if endpoints exactly intersect scanlines?


■ need to ensure that the algorithm handles this properly


• what about horizontal edges?


■ can throw them out of the edge list, they contribute nothing

































Application 3: Postscript

industry standard rendering language for printers
developed by Adobe Systems
stack-based interpreted language
basic features
object outlines made up of lines, arcs & Bezier curves
objects can be filled or stroked
whole range of 2D transformations can be applied to objects
typeface handling built in
typefaces are defined using Bezier curves
halftoning
can define your own functions in the language










Bezier patch definition

• the Bezier patch defined by the sixteen control points, $P_{0,0}, P_{0,1}, \dots, P_{3,3}$, is: $P(s,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} b_i(s)b_j(t)P_{i,j}$ where: $b_0(t) = (1-t)^3$ $b_i(t) = 3t(1-t)^2$ $b_2(t) = 3t^2(1-t)$ $b_3(t) = t^3$ • compare this with the 2D version: $P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} b_i(t)P_i$





Drawing Bezier patches


in a similar fashion to Bezier curves, Bezier patches can be drawn by approximating them with planar polygons


simple method
select appropriate increments in s and t and render the resulting quadrilaterals


tolerance-based adaptive method
check if the Bezier patch is sufficiently well approximated by a quadrilateral, if so use that quadrilateral
if not then subdivide it into two smaller Bezier patches and repeat on each
subdivide in different dimensions on alternate calls to the subdivision function
having approximated the whole Bezier patch as a set of (non-planar) quadrilaterals, further subdivide these into (planar) triangles
be careful to not leave any gaps in the resulting surface!


























3D polygon drawing

• given a list of 3D polygons we draw them by:

• projecting vertices onto the 2D screen

• but also keep the z information

• using a 2D polygon scan conversion algorithm on the resulting 2D polygons

• in what order do we draw the polygons?

• some sort of order on z


• depth sort


• Binary Space-Partitioning tree

• is there a method in which order does not matter?

• z-buffer

Depth sort algorithm Otransform all polygon vertices into viewing co-ordinates and project these into 2D, keeping z information calculate a depth ordering for polygons, based on the most distant z co-ordinate in each polygon resolve any ambiguities caused by polygons overlapping in z draw the polygons in depth order from back to front "painter's algorithm": later polygons draw on top of earlier polygons steps and are simple, step is 2D polygon scan conversion, step requires more thought





Split a polygon by a plane • remember the Sutherland-Hodgman algorithm • splits a 2D polygon against a 2D line • do the same in 3D: split a (planar) polygon by a plane • line segment defined by (x_s, y_s, z_s) and (x_e, y_e, z_e) • clipping plane defined by ax+by+cz+d=0• test to see which side of plane a point is on: • k=ax+by+cz+d• k negative: inside, k positive: outside, k=0: on edge • apply this test to all vertices of a polygon; if all have the same sign then the polygon is entirely on one side of the plane

Depth sort: comments

• the depth sort algorithm produces a list of polygons which can be scan-converted in 2D, backmost to frontmost, to produce the correct image

• it is reasonably cheap for small number of polygons, but becomes expensive for large numbers of polygons

• the ordering is only valid from one particular viewpoint

Back face culling: a time-saving trick

• if a polygon is a face of a closed polyhedron and faces backwards with respect to the viewpoint then it need not be drawn at all because front facing faces would later obscure it anyway

■ saves drawing time at the the cost of one extra test per polygon

■ assumes that we know which way a polygon is oriented

• back face culling can be used in combination with any 3D scan-conversion algorithm

Binary Space-Partitioning trees

BSP trees provide a way of quickly calculating the correct depth order:

for a collection of static polygons
from an arbitrary viewpoint

the BSP tree trades off an initial time- and space-intensive preprocessing step against a linear display algorithm (O(N)) which is executed whenever a new viewpoint is specified

the BSP tree allows you to easily determine the correct order in which to draw polygons by traversing the tree in a simple way

254

256

BSP tree: basic idea

• a given polygon will be correctly scan-converted if:

• all polygons on the far side of it from the viewer are scan-converted first

• then it is scan-converted

• then all the polygons on the near side of it are scan-converted

Making a BSP tree

- given a set of polygons
 - select an arbitrary polygon as the root of the tree
 - divide all remaining polygons into two subsets:
 - * those in front of the selected polygon's plane
 - $\ensuremath{\diamondsuit}$ those behind the selected polygon's plane
 - any polygons through which the plane passes are split into two polygons and the two parts put into the appropriate subsets
 - make two BSP trees, one from each of the two subsets
 - these become the front and back subtrees of the root
- may be advisable to make, say, 20 trees with different random roots to be sure of getting a tree that is reasonably well balanced

You need to be able to tell which side of an arbitrary plane a vertex lies on and how to split a polygon by an arbitrary plane – both of which were discussed for the depth-sort algorithm.

Drawing a BSP tree

 if the viewpoint is in front of the root's polygon's plane then:

- draw the BSP tree for the back child of the root
- draw the root's polygon
- draw the BSP tree for the front child of the root
- otherwise:
 - draw the BSP tree for the front child of the root
 - draw the root's polygon
 - draw the BSP tree for the back child of the root

Scan-line algorithms

- instead of drawing one polygon at a time: modify the 2D polygon scan-conversion algorithm to handle all of the polygons at once
- the algorithm keeps a list of the active edges in all polygons and proceeds one scan-line at a time
 - there is thus one large active edge list and one (even larger) edge list
 - enormous memory requirements
- still fill in pixels between adjacent pairs of edges on the scan-line but:
 - need to be intelligent about which polygon is in front and therefore what colours to put in the pixels
 - every edge is used in two pairs: one to the left and one to the right of it

257

255


z-buffer polygon scan conversion


- depth sort & BSP-tree methods involve clever sorting algorithms followed by the invocation of the standard 2D polygon scan conversion algorithm
- by modifying the 2D scan conversion algorithm we can remove the need to sort the polygons
 - makes hardware implementation easier
 - this is the algorithm used on graphics cards


z-buffer basics


- ★store both colour and depth at each pixel
- + scan convert one polygon at a time in any order
- ★when scan converting a polygon:
 - calculate the polygon's depth at each pixel
 - if the polygon is closer than the current depth stored at that pixel
 - then store both the polygon's colour and depth at that pixel
 - otherwise do nothing


258



















Putting it all together - a summary • a 3D polygon scan conversion algorithm needs to include: • a 2D polygon scan conversion algorithm • 2D or 3D polygon clipping • projection from 3D to 2D • either: • ordering the polygons so that they are drawn in the correct order or: • calculating the z value at each pixel and using a depth-buffer












274

Making the A-buffer more efficient

271

273

- if a polygon totally covers a pixel then:
 - do not need to calculate a mask, because the mask is all Is
 - all masks currently in the list which are behind this polygon can be discarded
 - any subsequent polygons which are behind this polygon can be immediately discounted (without calculating a mask)
- in most scenes, therefore, the majority of pixels will have only a single entry in their list of masks
- the polygon scan-conversion algorithm can be structured so that it is immediately obvious whether a pixel is totally or partially within a polygon



A-buffer: comments

 the A-buffer algorithm essentially adds anti-aliasing to the zbuffer algorithm in an efficient way

most operations on masks are AND, OR, NOT, XOR

- very efficient boolean operations
- why 4×8?
 - algorithm originally implemented on a machine with 32-bit registers (VAX 11/780)
 - on a 64-bit register machine, 8×8 is more sensible
- what does the A stand for in A-buffer?
 - anti-aliased, area averaged, accumulator

A-buffer: extensions

- as presented the algorithm assumes that a mask has a constant depth (z value)
 - can modify the algorithm and perform approximate intersection between polygons
- can save memory by combining fragments which start life in the same primitive
- e.g. two triangles that are part of the decomposition of a Bezier patch
- can extend to allow transparent objects

275


276


Computer Graphics & Image Processing


+ Background
+ Simple rendering
+ Graphics pipeline
+ Underlying algorithms
+ Colour and displays
- Colour models for display and printing
- Display technologies
- Colour printing
+ Image processing


Representing colour


we need a mechanism which allows us to represent colour in the computer by some set of numbers
preferably a small set of numbers which can be quantised to a fairly small number of bits each
we will discuss:
Munsell's artists' scheme
which classifies colours on a perceptual basis
the mechanism of colour vision
how colour perception works
various colour spaces
which quantify colour based on either physical or perceptual models of colour














































Colour spaces for user-interfaces

295

→ RGB and CMY are based on the physical devices which produce the coloured output

- → RGB and CMY are difficult for humans to use for selecting colours
- → Munsell's colour system is much more intuitive:
 - hue what is the principal colour?
 - value how light or dark is it?
 - chroma how vivid or dull is it?
- computer interface designers have developed basic transformations of RGB which resemble Munsell's human-friendly system





al., Figs 13.36 and 13.37

298 **Summary of colour spaces** the eye has three types of colour receptor • therefore we can validly use a three-dimensional co-ordinate system to represent colour XYZ is one such co-ordinate system ■ Y is the eye's response to intensity (luminance) ■ X and Z are, therefore, the colour co-ordinates same Y , change X or $\mathit{Z} \Longrightarrow$ same intensity, different colour same X and Z, change Y \Longrightarrow same colour, different intensity • there are other co-ordinate systems with a luminance axis L*a*b*, L*u*v*, HSV, HLS some other systems use three colour co-ordinates RGB, CMY Iuminance can then be derived as some function of the three e.g. in RGB: Y = 0.299 R + 0.587 G + 0.114 B

299 **Image display** → a handful of technologies cover over 99% of all display devices active displays cathode ray tube standard for late 20th century liquid crystal display most common today plasma displays briefly popular but power-hungry digital mirror displays increasing use in video projectors printers (passive displays) laser printers the traditional office printer ink jet printers the traditional home printer commercial printers for high volume


Liquid crystal displays I


I liquid crystals can twist the polarisation of light


basic control is by the voltage that is applied across the liquid crystal: either on or off, transparent or opaque


greyscale can be achieved with some types of liquid crystal by varying the voltage


colour is achieved with colour filters


























































321





323
























































































Morphology with grey scales

- **→** Consider images as functions $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$
 - \bullet still with structuring element $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$
- → Dilation: $(f \oplus S)(p) = \max_{s \in S} f(p + s)$
 - ullet largest value in S-shaped region
- + Erosion: $(f \ominus S)(p) = \min_{s \in S} f(p+s)$
 - smallest value in S-shaped region
- +Same opening and closing

Halftoning & dithering

- → mainly used to convert greyscale to binary
 - e.g. printing greyscale pictures on a laser printer
 - 8-bit to 1-bit

357

- → is also used in colour printing, normally with four colours:
 - cyan, magenta, yellow, black



358

Halftoning

→ each greyscale pixel maps to a square of binary pixels

→ e.g. five intensity levels can be approximated by a 2x2 pixel square

■ I-to-4 pixel mapping

0-51 52-102 103-153 154-204 205-255

8-bit values that map to each of the five possibilities

Halftoning dither matrix


one possible set of patterns for the 3×3 case is:

these patterns can be represented by the dither matrix:

7 9 5
2 1 4
6 3 8

Rules for halftone pattern design

mustn't introduce visual artefacts in areas of constant intensity
e.g. this won't work very well:
every on pixel in intensity level j must also be on in levels > j
i.e. on pixels form a growth sequence
pattern must grow outward from the centre
simulates a dot getting bigger
all on pixels must be connected to one another
this is essential for printing, as isolated on pixels will not print very well (if at all)





363

365

- a simple modification of the ordered dither method can be used
 - turn a pixel on if its intensity is greater than (or equal to) the value of the corresponding cell in the dither matrix

e.g. $\begin{array}{c} \text{quantise 8 bit pixel value} \\ q_{i,j} = p_{i,j} \text{ div 15} \\ \text{find binary value} \\ b_{i,j} = (q_{i,j} \geq d_{i \mod 4, j \mod 4}) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} m \\ d_{m,n} & 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \ 9 \ 3 \ 11 \\ 1 \ 15 \ 5 \ 13 \ 7 \\ n \ 2 & 4 \ 12 \ 2 \ 10 \\ \hline 3 & 14 \ 8 \ 16 \ 6 \\ \end{array}$

Frror diffusion reror diffusion gives a more pleasing visual result than ordered dither method: work left to right, top to bottom map each pixel to the closest quantised value pass the quantisation error on to the pixels to the right and below, and add in the errors before quantising these pixels

Error diffusion - example (I)


- → map 8-bit pixels to 1-bit pixels
 - quantise and calculate new error values


8-bit value	1-bit value	error
$f_{i,j}$	$b_{i,j}$	$e_{i,j}$
0-127	0	$f_{i,j}$
128-255	1	$f_{i,j} - 255$


• each 8-bit value is calculated from pixel and error values:


$$f_{i,j} = p_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2}e_{i-1,j} + \frac{1}{2}e_{i,j-1}$$


in this example the errors from the pixels to the left and above are taken into account











What next?

+ Advanced graphics

• Modelling, splines, subdivision surfaces, complex geometry, more ray tracing, radiosity, animation

+ Human-computer interaction

• Interactive techniques, quantitative and qualitative evaluation, application design

+ Information theory and coding

• Fundamental limits, transforms, coding

+ Computer vision

• Inferring structure from images

And then?

+ Graphics
- multi-resolution modelling
- animation of human behaviour
- æsthetically-inspired image processing
+ HCI
- large displays and new techniques for interaction
- emotionally intelligent interfaces
- applications in education and for special needs
- design theory
+ http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/rainbow/