# Compiler Construction Lent Term 2016

# Part III : Lectures 13 - 16

- 13 : Compilers in their OS context
- 14 : Assorted Topics
- 15 : Runtime memory management
- 16 : Bootstrapping a compiler

## Timothy G. Griffin tgg22@cam.ac.uk Computer Laboratory University of Cambridge

















.symtab symbo	l table:
List of external	symbols (as triples) used by the module.
1. undef: extern 2. defined in co	ate, offset, symname) with attribute: nally defined, offset is ignored; de segment (with offset of definition); ata segment (with offset of definition).
•	are given as offsets within .strtab ntries of the same size.
.strtab string	table:
the string form	of all external names used in the module

#### **The Linker**

What does a linker do?

- takes some object files as input, notes all undefined symbols.
- recursively searches libraries adding ELF files which define such symbols until all names defined ("library search").
- whinges if any symbol is undefined or multiply defined.

Then what?

- concatenates all code segments (forming the output code segment).
- concatenates all data segments.
- performs relocations (updates code/data segments at specified offsets.

Recently there had been renewed interest in optimization at this stage.

#### **Dynamic vs. Static Loading**

There are two approaches to linking:

Static linking (described on previous slide).

Problem: a simple "hello world" program may give a 10MB executable if it refers to a big graphics or other library.

#### **Dynamic linking**

Don't incorporate big libraries as part of the executable, but load them into memory on demand. Such libraries are held as ".DLL" (Windows) or ".so" (Linux) files.

Pros and Cons of dynamic linking:

- (+) Executables are smaller
- (+) Bug fixes to a library don't require re-linking as the new versior is automatically demand-loaded every time the program is run.
- (-) Non-compatible changes to a library wreck previously working programs "DLL hell".









A Crash	Course in x86 assembler
<ul> <li>Special purpos</li> <li>EBP : norm</li> <li>ESP : norm</li> <li>EDI : often</li> <li>EIP : the c</li> <li>Segment and fl</li> <li>64 bit version:</li> <li>Rename 32-bit</li> </ul>	nd 64 bit versions se registers : EAX EBX ECX EDX e registers : ESI EDI EBP EIP ESP nally used as the frame pointer nally used as the stack pointer used to pass (first) argument
Register names can indicate "width" of a value.	<ul> <li>rax : 64 bit version</li> <li>eax : 32 bit version (or lower 32 bits of rax)</li> <li>ax : 16 bit version (or lower 16 bits of eax)</li> <li>al : lower 8 bits of ax</li> <li>ah : upper 8 bits of ax</li> </ul>



<b>Examples (in GAS notation)</b>
<pre>movl \$4, %eax  # put 32 bit integer 4 in register eax movw \$4, %eax  # put 16 bit integer 4 in lower 16 bits of eax movb \$4, %eax  # put 4 bit integer 4 in lowest 4 bits of eax movl %esp, %ebp # put the contents of esp into ebp movl (%esp), %ebp # interpret contents of esp as a memory</pre>
# address. Add 4 to that address. Copy # the value in esp to this new address. 18

# A few more examples

call label $\#$ push return address on stack and jump to label		
ret # pop return address off stack and jump there		
# NC	)TE: managing other bits of the stack frame	
# such as stack and frame pointer must be done		
# ex	plicitly	
subl \$4, %esp # subtract 4 from <b>esp</b> . That is, adjust the		
# stack pointer to make room for one 32-bi		
# (4 byte) value. (stack grows downward!)		
Assume that w	ve have implemented a procedure in C called	

Assume that we have implemented a procedure in C called allocate that will manage heap memory. We will compile and link this in with code generated by the slang compiler. At the x86 level, allocate will expect a header in **edi** and return a heap pointer in **eax**.

Some Jargon VM instructions are "easy" to translate			
Remember: X86 is CISC, so RISC architectures may require more instructions .			
GOTO loc	jmp loc		
POP	addl \$4, %esp // move stack pointer 1 word = 4 bytes		
PUSH v	subl \$4, %esp// make room on top of stackmovl \$i, (%esp)// where i is an integer representing v		
FST	movl 4(%esp), %edx // 4 bytes, 1 word, after header movl %edx, (%esp) // replace "a" with "v1" at top of stack		
SND	movl 8(%esp), %edx // 8 bytes, 2 words, after header movl %edx, (%esp) // replace "a" with "v2" at top of stack		
sp —	$a \rightarrow a$ :header: : $a+1$ : $v1$ $FST$ : : : $a+2$ : $v2$		



	_			
	L	eft as exe	ercises for you :	
<b>Here's</b> OK, yo	a hint u need	. For things I to pull an ad	TURN CASE TEST ASSIGN RI you don't understand, just expe dress out of a closure and call ar get compiled from C?	eriment!
int func (	int (*f)(i	nt) ) {	(17); } /* pass a function pointer and a	ipply it /*
_fur				
	pushq	-	# save frame pointer	
X86,	movq	%rsp, %rbp \$16, %rsp	# set frame pointer to stack pointer # make some room on stack	
64 bit	subq movl	\$10, %1'sp \$17, %eax	# make some room on stack # put 17 in argument register <b>eax</b>	
04 01	movq	%rdi, -8(%rbp)		
	movl	%eax, %edi	# put 17 in register <b>edi</b> , so f will get it	
without	callq	*-8(%rbp)	# WOW, a computed address for fun	
-02	addq	\$16, %rsp	# restore stack pointer	
	popq	%rbp	# restore old frame pointer	
	ret		# restore stack	22

#### What about arithmetic?

Houston, we have a problem....

- It may not be obvious now, but if we want to have automated memory management we need to be able to distinguish between values (say integers) and pointers at runtime.
- Have you ever noticed that integers in SML or Ocaml are either 31 (or 63) bits rather than the native 32 (or 64) bits?
  - That is because these compilers use a the least significant bit to distinguish integers (bit = 1) from pointers (bit = 0).
  - OK, this works. But it may complicate every arithmetic operation!
  - This is another exercise left for you to ponder

• • •

Lecture 14 Assorted Topics	
<ol> <li>Stacks are slow, registers are fast         <ol> <li>Stack frames still needed</li> <li> but try to shift work into registers</li> <li>Caller/callee save/restore policies</li> <li>Register spilling</li> </ol> </li> <li>Simple optimisations         <ol> <li>Peep hole (sliding window)</li> <li>Constant propagation</li> <li>Inlining</li> </ol> </li> <li>Representing objects (as in OOP)         <ol> <li>At first glance objects look like a closure containing multiple function (methods)</li> <li> but complications arise with method dispatch</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	
	24



# Main dilemma : registers are fast, but are fixed in number. And that number is rather small.

- Manipulating the stack involves RAM access, which can be orders of magnitude slower than register access (the "von Neumann Bottleneck")
- Fast registers are (today) a scarce resource, shared by many code fragments
- · How can registers be used most effectively?
  - Requires a careful examination of a program's structure
  - Analysis phase: building data structures (typically directed graphs) that capture definition/use relationships
  - Transformation phase : using this information to rewrite code, attempting to most efficiently utilise registers
  - Problem is NP-complete
  - One of the central topics of Part II Optimising Compilers.
- Here we focus <u>only</u> on general issues : <u>calling conventions</u> and <u>register spilling</u>

## **Caller/callee conventions**

- · Caller and callee code may use overlapping sets of registers
- · An agreement is needed concerning use of registers
  - · Are some arguments passed in specific registers?
  - · Is the result returned in a specific register?
  - If the caller and callee are both using a set of registers for "scratch space" then caller or callee must save and restore these registers so that the caller's registers are not obliterated by the callee.
- Standard calling conventions identify specific subsets of registers as "caller saved" or "callee saved"
  - **Caller saved**: if caller cares about the value in a register, then must save it before making any call
  - Callee saved: The caller can be assured that the callee will leave the register intact (perhaps by saving and restoring it)

27

# Another C example. X86, 64 bit, with gcc

	caller:	
int	_caller. pushq	%rbp # save frame pointer
callee(int, int, int,	movq	%rsp, %rbp # set new frame pointer
	subq	\$16, %rsp # make room on stack
int,int,int,int);	movl	\$7, (%rsp) # put 7th arg on stack
	movl	\$1, %edi # put 1st arg on in edi
int collen(moid)	movl	\$2, %esi # put 2nd arg on in esi
int caller(void)	movl	\$3, %edx # put 3rd arg on in edx
{	movl	\$4, %ecx # put 4th arg on in ecx
int ret;	movl	\$5, %r8d # put 5th arg on in r8d
,	movl	\$6, %r9d # put 6th arg on in r9d
ret = callee $(1,2,3,4,5,6,7)$ ;	callq	_callee #will put resut in eax
ret += 5;	addl	\$5, %eax # add 5
,	addq	\$16, %rsp #adjust stack
return ret;	popq	%rbp # restore frame pointer
1	ret	# pop return address, go there
1		















gcc example (-O<m> turns on optimisation)
g.c
int h(int n) { return (0 < n) ? n : 101 ; }
int g(int n) { return 12 \* h(n + 17); }
The compiler must have done something similar to this:
int g(int n) { return 12 \* h(n + 17); }
int g(int n) { int t := n+ 17; return 12 \* h(t); }
int g(int n) { int t := n+ 17; return 12 \* ((0 < t) ? t : 101 ); }
int g(int n) { int t := n+ 17; return (0 < t) ? 12 \* t : 1212; }
...</pre>























Possi	ble pseudo-code implementation
e handle f	<pre>let fun _h27 () =    build special "handle frame"    save address of f in frame;    code for e    return value of e    in _h27 () end</pre>
raise e	<pre> code for e save v, the value of e; unwind stack until first fp found pointing at a handle frame Replace handle frame with frame for call to (extracted) f using v as argument.</pre>





# **Memory Management**

- Many programming languages allow programmers to (implicitly) allocate new storage dynamically, with no need to worry about reclaiming space no longer used.
  - New records, arrays, tuples, objects, closures, etc.
  - Java, SML, OCaml, Python, JavaScript, Python, Ruby, Go, Swift, SmallTalk, ...
- Memory could easily be exhausted without some method of reclaiming and recycling the storage that will no longer be used.
  - Often called "garbage collection"
  - Is really "automated memory management" since it deals with allocation, de-allocation, compaction, and memory-related interactions with the OS.



















# Copying GC Pros Simple & collects cycles Run-time proportional to # live objects Automatic compaction eliminates fragmentation Cons Usually, we anticipate live data will only be a small fragment of store Allocate until 70% full From-space = 70% heap; to-space = 30% Long GC pauses = bad for interactive, real-time apps































