L11 : Interdomain Routing
Lectures 8 & 9
November 2014

Timothy G. Griffin
Computer Lab
Cambridge UK

CL Network



JANET



JANET in the Internet



Geant = EU Research and Education Backbone



Planned Backbone Topology by the end of 2010. GÉANT is operated by DANTE on behalf of Europe's NRENs.

Architecture of Dynamic Routing



BGP



IGP = Interior Gateway Protocol. Metric based.

OSPF, IS-IS, RIP, EIGRP (cisco)

EGP = Exterior Gateway Protocol. Policy Based.

Only one: BGP

The Routing Domain of BGP is the entire Internet

Technology of Distributed Routing

Link State

- Topology information is flooded within the routing domain
- Best end-to-end paths are computed locally at each router.
- Best end-to-end paths determine next-hops.
- Based on minimizing some notion of distance
- Works only if policy is shared and uniform
- Examples: OSPF, IS-IS

Vectoring

- Each router knows little about network topology
- Only best next-hops are chosen by each router for each destination network.
- Best end-to-end paths result from composition of all next-hop choices
- Does not require any notion of distance
- Does not require uniform policies at all routers
- Examples: RIP, BGP

The Gang of Four

	Link State	Vectoring
IGP	OSPF IS-IS	RIP
EGP		BGP

Happy Packets: The Internet Does Not Exist Only to Populated Routing Tables



Autonomous Routing Domains

A collection of physical networks glued together using IP, that have a unified administrative routing policy.

- **Campus networks**
- **Corporate networks**
- **ISP Internal networks**
- **…**

Autonomous Systems (ASes)

An autonomous system is an autonomous routing domain that has been assigned an Autonomous System Number (ASN).

… the administration of an AS appears to other ASes to have a single coherent interior routing plan and presents a consistent picture of what networks are reachable through it.

RFC 1930: Guidelines for creation, selection, and registration of an Autonomous System

AS Numbers (ASNs)

ASNs are 16 bit values (soon to be 32 bits)

64512 through 65535 are "private"

Currently nearly 30,000 in use.

- **JANET: 786**
- **MIT: 3**
- **Harvard: 11**
- **UC San Diego: 7377**
- **AT&T: 7018, 6341, 5074, ...**
- **UUNET: 701, 702, 284, 12199, ...**
- **Sprint: 1239, 1240, 6211, 6242, ...**
- **...**

ASNs represent units of routing policy

How many prefixes are used today?



How many ASNs are used today?



Policy-Based vs. Distance-Based Routing?

Minimizing "hop count" can violate commercial relationships that constrain inter-domain routing.



15

Why not minimize "AS hop count"?



16

Customers and Providers



Customer pays provider for access to the Internet

The "Peering" Relationship







Peers provide transit between their respective customers

Peers do not provide transit between peers

Peers (often) do not exchange $$$

Peering Provides Shortcuts



Peering also allows connectivity between the customers of "Tier 1" providers.

peer ↔ peer
provider ↔ customer

Peering Wars

Peer

- Reduces upstream transit costs
- Can increase end-to-end performance
- May be the only way to connect your customers to some part of the Internet ("Tier 1")

Don't Peer

- You would rather have customers
- Peers are usually your competition
- Peering relationships may require periodic renegotiation

Peering struggles are by far the most contentious issues in the ISP world!

Peering agreements are often confidential.

BGP-4

- **BGP** = **B**order **G**ateway **P**rotocol
- Is a **Policy-Based** routing protocol
- Is the **de facto EGP** of today's global Internet
- Relatively simple protocol, but configuration is complex and the entire world can see, and be impacted by, your mistakes.

- **1989 : BGP-1 [RFC 1105]**
  - **Replacement for EGP (1984, RFC 904)**
- **1990 : BGP-2 [RFC 1163]**
- **1991 : BGP-3 [RFC 1267]**
- **1995 : BGP-4 [RFC 1771]**
  - **Support for Classless Interdomain Routing (CIDR)**
- **2006 : BGP-4 [RFC 4271]**

BGP Operations (Simplified)



Two Types of BGP Sessions

- **External Neighbor (EBGP) in a different Autonomous Systems**
- **Internal Neighbor (IBGP) in the same Autonomous System**



IBGP is routed (using IGP!)

BGP Next Hop Attribute



Every time a route announcement crosses an AS boundary, the Next Hop attribute is changed to the IP address of the border router that announced the route.

Join EGP with IGP For Connectivity



Four Types of BGP Messages

- **Open** : Establish a peering session.
- **Keep Alive** : Handshake at regular intervals.
- **Notification** : Shuts down a peering session.
- **Update** : Announcing new routes or withdrawing previously announced routes.

announcement
=
prefix + attributes values

BGP Attributes

ue	Code	Reference
--	---	---
1	ORIGIN	[RFC1771]
2	AS_PATH	[RFC1771]
3	NEXT_HOP	[RFC1771]
4	MULTI_EXIT_DISC	[RFC1771]
5	LOCAL_PREF	[RFC1771]
6	ATOMIC_AGGREGATE	[RFC1771]
7	AGGREGATOR	[RFC1771]
8	COMMUNITY	[RFC1997]
9	ORIGINATOR_ID	[RFC2796]
0	CLUSTER_LIST	[RFC2796]
1	DPA	[Chen]
2	ADVERTISER	[RFC1863]
3	RCID_PATH / CLUSTER_ID	[RFC1863]
4	MP_REACH_NLRI	[RFC2283]
5	MP_UNREACH_NLRI	[RFC2283]
6	EXTENDED COMMUNITIES	[Rosen]
.		
5	reserved for development	

Most important attributes

From IANA: http://www.iana.org/assignments/bgp-parameters

Not all attributes need to be present in every announcement

BGP Route Processing

Open ended programming.
Constrained only by vendor configuration language

Receive BGP Updates

Apply Policy = filter routes & tweak attributes

Based on Attribute Values

Best Routes

Apply Policy = filter routes & tweak attributes

Transmit BGP Updates



Route Selection Summary



ASPATH Attribute



Interdomain Loop Prevention

BGP at AS YYY will never accept a route with ASPATH containing YYY.



Shorter Doesn't Always Mean Shorter

In fairness: could you do this "right" and still scale?

Exporting internal state would dramatically increase global instability and amount of routing state



BGP Routing Tables

```
show ip bgp
BGP table version is 0, local router ID is 203.119.0.116
Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, * valid, > best, i - internal,
              r RIB-failure, S Stale, R Removed
Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

   Network          Next Hop            Metric LocPrf Weight Path
*> 0.0.0.0          193.0.4.28                             0 12654 34225 1299 i
*  3.0.0.0          193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 701 703 80 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 703 80 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 703 80 i
*  4.0.0.0          193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 3356 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 3356 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 3356 i
*  4.0.0.0/9        193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 3356 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 3356 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 3356 i
*  4.23.112.0/24    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 174 21889 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 174 21889 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 174 21889 i
*  4.23.113.0/24    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 174 21889 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 174 21889 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 174 21889 i
*  4.23.114.0/24    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 174 21889 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 174 21889 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 174 21889 i
*  4.36.116.0/23    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 174 21889 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 174 21889 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 174 21889 i
*  4.36.116.0/24    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 174 21889 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 174 21889 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 174 21889 i
*  4.36.117.0/24    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 174 21889 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 174 21889 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 174 21889 i
*  4.36.118.0/24    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 7018 174 21889 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 174 21889 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 174 21889 i
*> 4.78.22.0/23     193.0.4.28                             0 12654 3257 19151 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 i
*                   203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 1299 1239 19151 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 1299 1239 19151 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 1
*> 4.78.56.0/23     193.0.4.28                             0 12654 3257 19151 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 i
*                   203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 1299 1239 19151 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 1299 1239 19151 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 13909 1
*  4.79.181.0/24    193.0.4.28                             0 12654 3741 10310 14780 i
*>                  203.50.0.33                            0 65056 4637 10310 14780 i
*                   202.12.29.79                           0 4608 1221 4637 10310 14780 i
```

Thanks to Geoff Huston.
http://bgp.potaroo.net on Feb 1, 2008

AS Graphs Can Be Fun



The subgraph showing all ASes that have more than 100 neighbors in full graph of 11,158 nodes. July 6, 2001. **Point of view: AT&T route-server**

AS Graphs Depend on Point of View



AS Graphs Do Not Show "Topology"!

BGP was designed to throw away information!



The AS graph may look like this.



Reality may be closer to this…

Implementing Customer/Provider and Peer/Peer relationships

Two parts:

- Enforce transit relationships
  - Export all (best) routes to customers
  - Send only own and customer routes to all others
- Enforce order of route preference
  - provider < peer < customer

So Many Choices



Which route should Frank pick to 13.13.0.0./16?

LOCAL PREFERENCE

Local preference used ONLY in iBGP



Higher Local preference values are more preferred

How Can Routes be Classified? BGP Communities!

A community value is 32 bits



By convention, first 16 bits is ASN indicating who is giving it an interpretation

community number

Used for signally within and between ASes

Very powerful BECAUSE it has no (predefined) meaning

Community Attribute = a list of community values. (So one route can belong to multiple communities)

RFC 1997 (August 1996)

Reserved communities

no_export = 0xFFFFFF01: don't export out of AS

no_advertise 0xFFFFFF02: don't pass to BGP neighbors

Tweak Tweak Tweak (TE)

- For inbound traffic
  - Filter outbound routes
  - Tweak attributes on outbound routes in the hope of influencing your neighbor's best route selection
- For outbound traffic
  - Filter inbound routes
  - Tweak attributes on inbound routes to influence best route selection



In general, an AS has more control over outbound traffic

Implementing Backup Links with Local Preference (Outbound Traffic)



Forces outbound traffic to take primary link, unless link is down.

We'll talk about inbound traffic soon …

Multihomed Backups (Outbound Traffic)



Forces outbound traffic to take primary link, unless link is down.

Shedding Inbound Traffic with ASPATH Padding. Yes, this is a Glorious Hack ...



Padding will (usually) force inbound traffic from AS 1 to take primary link

... But Padding Does Not Always Work



AS 3 will send traffic on "backup" link because it prefers customer routes and local preference is considered before ASPATH length!

Padding in this way is often used as a form of load balancing

COMMUNITY Attribute to the Rescue!



AS 3: normal customer local pref is 100, peer local pref is 90

Customer import policy at AS 3:
If 3:90 in COMMUNITY then
set local preference to 90
If 3:80 in COMMUNITY then
set local preference to 80
If 3:70 in COMMUNITY then
set local preference to 70

What is a BGP Wedgie?



- BGP policies make sense locally
- Interaction of local policies allows multiple stable routings
- Some routings are consistent with intended policies, and some are not
  - If an unintended routing is installed (BGP is "wedged"), then manual intervention is needed to change to an intended routing
- When an unintended routing is installed, no single group of network operators has enough knowledge to debug the problem

¾ Wedgie Example



- AS 1 implements backup link by sending AS 2 a "depref me" community.
- AS 2 implements this community so that the resulting local pref is below that of routes from it's upstream provider (AS 3 routes)

And the Routings are…



Intended Routing

Note: this would be the ONLY routing if AS2 translated its "depref me" community to a "depref me" community of AS 3



Unintended Routing

Note: This is easy to reach from the intended routing just by "bouncing" the BGP session on the primary link.

Recovery



- Requires manual intervention
- Can be done in AS 1 or AS 2

What the heck is going on?

- There is no guarantee that a BGP configuration has a unique routing solution.
  - When multiple solutions exist, the (unpredictable) order of updates will determine which one is wins.
- There is no guarantee that a BGP configuration has any solution!
  - And checking configurations NP-Complete
  - Lab demonstrations of BGP configs never converging
- Complex policies (weights, communities setting preferences, and so on) increase chances of routing anomalies.
  - … yet this is the current trend!

Load Balancing Example



Simple session reset my not work!!


Can’t un-wedge with session resets!
all up
BOTH
P1 & P2
wedged
all up
1—2 & 1—5
down
P2
wedged
Note that when bringing
all up we could actually land
the system in any one of the
4 stable states --- depends
on message order....
1—2 & 1—5
down
P1
wedged
1—2 up
Reset 1
—2
Reset 1
—5
1—5 up
INTENDED
1—2 down
1—5 down


Recovery
P2
wedged
Temporarily
filter P2 from
1—5 session
Temporarily
filter P1 from
1—2 session
P1
wedged
1—2 up
1—5 up
INTENDED
1—2 down
1—5 down
Who among us could figure this one out?
When 1—2 is in New York and 1—5 is in Tokyo?

Full Wedgie Example



- AS 1 implements backup links by sending AS 2 and AS 3 a "depref me" communities.
- AS 2 implements its community so that the resulting local pref is below that of its upstream providers and it's peers (AS 3 and AS 5 routes)
- AS 5 implements its community so that the resulting local pref is below its peers (AS 2) but above that of its providers (AS 3)

And the Routings are...



Intended Routing



Unintended Routing

Resetting 1—2 does not help!!



Recovery



A lot of "non-local" knowledge is required to arrive at this recovery strategy!

Try to convince AS 5 and AS 1 that their session has be reset (or filtered) even though it is not associated with an active route!

That Can't happen in MY network!!



An "normal" global global backbone (ISP or Corporate Intranet) implemented with 5 regional ASes

The Full Wedgie Example, in a new Guise



Intended Routing for some prefixes in AU, implemented with communities.

DOES THIS LOOK FAMILIAR??

Message: Same problems can arise with "traffic engineering" across regional networks.