

Universal quantification

Universal statements are of the form

for all individuals x of the universe of discourse,
the property $P(x)$ holds

or, in other words,

no matter what individual x in the universe of discourse
one considers, the property $P(x)$ for it holds

or, in symbols,

$$\forall x. P(x)$$

Example 18

2. For every positive real number x , if x is irrational then so is \sqrt{x} .
3. For every integer n , we have that n is even iff so is n^2 .

The main proof strategy for universal statements:

To prove a goal of the form

$$\forall x. P(x)$$

let x stand for an arbitrary individual and prove $P(x)$.

Proof pattern:

In order to prove that

$$\forall x. P(x)$$

1. **Write:** Let x be an arbitrary individual.

2. Show that $P(x)$ holds.

Proof pattern:

In order to prove that

$$\forall x. P(x)$$

1. **Write:** Let x be an arbitrary individual.

Warning: Make sure that the variable x is new (also referred to as fresh) in the proof! If for some reason the variable x is already being used in the proof to stand for something else, then you must use an unused variable, say y , to stand for the arbitrary individual, and prove $P(y)$.

2. Show that $P(x)$ holds.

Scratch work:

Before using the strategy

Assumptions

⋮

Goal

$\forall x. P(x)$

After using the strategy

Assumptions

⋮

Goal

$P(x)$ (for a new (or fresh) x)

The use of universal statements:

To use an assumption of the form $\forall x. P(x)$, you can plug in any value, say a , for x to conclude that $P(a)$ is true and so further assume it.

This rule is called *universal instantiation*.

Proposition 19 Fix a positive integer m . For integers a and b , we have that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if, and only if, for all positive integers n , we have that $n \cdot a \equiv n \cdot b \pmod{n \cdot m}$.

PROOF:

Equality axioms

Just for the record, here are the axioms for *equality*.

- ▶ Every individual is equal to itself.

$$\forall x. x = x$$

- ▶ For any pair of equal individuals, if a property holds for one of them then it also holds for the other one.

$$\forall x. \forall y. x = y \implies (P(x) \implies P(y))$$

NB From these axioms one may deduce the usual intuitive properties of equality, such as

$$\forall x. \forall y. x = y \implies y = x$$

and

$$\forall x. \forall y. \forall z. x = y \implies (y = z \implies x = z) .$$

However, in practice, you will not be required to formally do so; rather you may just use the properties of equality that you are already familiar with.

Conjunction

Conjunctive statements are of the form

P and Q

or, in other words,

both P and also Q hold

or, in symbols,

$P \wedge Q$

or

$P \& Q$

The proof strategy for conjunction:

To prove a goal of the form

$$P \wedge Q$$

first prove P and subsequently prove Q (or vice versa).

Proof pattern:

In order to prove

$$P \wedge Q$$

1. **Write:** Firstly, we prove P . and provide a proof of P .
2. **Write:** Secondly, we prove Q . and provide a proof of Q .

Scratch work:

Before using the strategy

Assumptions

⋮

Goal

$P \wedge Q$

After using the strategy

Assumptions

⋮

Goal

P

Assumptions

⋮

Goal

Q

The use of conjunctions:

To use an assumption of the form $P \wedge Q$,
treat it as two separate assumptions: P and Q .

Theorem 20 *For every integer n , we have that $6 \mid n$ iff $2 \mid n$ and $3 \mid n$.*

PROOF: