Ann Copestake

Computer Laboratory University of Cambridge

October 2013

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Outline of today's lecture

Lecture 1: Introduction

Overview of the course Why NLP is hard Scope of NLP A sample application: sentiment classification More NLP applications NLP components

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Overview of the course

NLP and linguistics

NLP: the computational modelling of human language.

- 1. Morphology the structure of words: lecture 2.
- 2. Syntax the way words are used to form phrases: lectures 3, 4 and 5.
- 3. Semantics
 - Compositional semantics the construction of meaning based on syntax: lecture 6.
 - Lexical semantics the meaning of individual words: lecture 7 and 8.
- 4. Pragmatics meaning in context: lecture 9.
- 5. Language generation lecture 10.
- 6. Humans vs machines lecture 11.

Overview of the course

Also note:

- Exercises: pre-lecture and post-lecture
- Glossary
- Recommended Book: Jurafsky and Martin (2008).

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Natural Language Processing
Lecture 1: Introduction
Why NLP is hard

Querying a knowledge base

User query:

Has my order number 4291 been shipped yet?
 Database:

ORDER Date ordered Date shipped 4290 2/2/13 2/2/13 4291 2/2/13 2/2/13 4292 2/2/13 2/2/13

USER: Has my order number 4291 been shipped yet? **DB QUERY:** order(number=4291,date_shipped=?) **RESPONSE:** Order number 4291 was shipped on 2/2/13

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

1. How fast is the TZ?

2. How fast will my TZ arrive?

3. Please tell me when I can expect the TZ I ordered. Ambiguity:

- Do you sell Sony laptops and disk drives?
- Do you sell (Sony (laptops and disk drives))?
- Do you sell (Sony laptops) and disk drives)?

Natural Language Processing
Lecture 1: Introduction
Why NLP is hard

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- 1. How fast is the TZ?
- 2. How fast will my TZ arrive?

3. Please tell me when I can expect the TZ I ordered. Ambiguity:

- Do you sell Sony laptops and disk drives?
- Do you sell (Sony (laptops and disk drives))?
- Do you sell (Sony laptops) and disk drives)?

Natural Language Processing
Lecture 1: Introduction
Why NLP is hard

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- 1. How fast is the TZ?
- 2. How fast will my TZ arrive?
- 3. Please tell me when I can expect the TZ I ordered.

Ambiguity:

- Do you sell Sony laptops and disk drives?
- Do you sell (Sony (laptops and disk drives))?
- Do you sell (Sony laptops) and disk drives)?

Natural Language Processing
Lecture 1: Introduction
Why NLP is hard

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- 1. How fast is the TZ?
- 2. How fast will my TZ arrive?

3. Please tell me when I can expect the TZ I ordered. Ambiguity:

- Do you sell Sony laptops and disk drives?
- Do you sell (Sony (laptops and disk drives))?
- Do you sell (Sony laptops) and disk drives)?

Natural Language Processing
Lecture 1: Introduction
Why NLP is hard

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- 1. How fast is the TZ?
- 2. How fast will my TZ arrive?

3. Please tell me when I can expect the TZ I ordered. Ambiguity:

- Do you sell Sony laptops and disk drives?
- Do you sell (Sony (laptops and disk drives))?

Do you sell (Sony laptops) and disk drives)?

Natural Language Processing
Lecture 1: Introduction
Why NLP is hard

Similar strings mean different things, different strings mean the same thing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- 1. How fast is the TZ?
- 2. How fast will my TZ arrive?

3. Please tell me when I can expect the TZ I ordered. Ambiguity:

- Do you sell Sony laptops and disk drives?
- Do you sell (Sony (laptops and disk drives))?
- Do you sell (Sony laptops) and disk drives)?

Wouldn't it be better if ...?

The properties which make natural language difficult to process are essential to human communication:

- Flexible
- Learnable but compact
- Emergent, evolving systems

Synonymy and ambiguity go along with these properties.

Natural language communication can be indefinitely precise:

- Ambiguity is mostly local (for humans)
- Semi-formal additions and conventions for different genres

Wouldn't it be better if ...?

The properties which make natural language difficult to process are essential to human communication:

- Flexible
- Learnable but compact
- Emergent, evolving systems

Synonymy and ambiguity go along with these properties. Natural language communication can be indefinitely precise:

- Ambiguity is mostly local (for humans)
- Semi-formal additions and conventions for different genres

Scope of NLP

Some NLP applications

- spelling and grammar checking
- optical character recognition (OCR)
- screen readers
- augmentative and alternative communication
- machine aided translation
- lexicographers' tools

- information retrieval
- document classification
- document clustering
- information extraction
- sentiment classification
- question answering

- Scope of NLP

More NLP applications ...

- summarization
- text segmentation
- exam marking
- language teaching
- report generation

- machine translation
- natural language interfaces to databases

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- email understanding
- dialogue systems

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment classification: finding out what people think about you

- Task: scan documents for positive and negative opinions on people, products etc.
- Find all references to entity in some document collection: list as positive, negative (possibly with strength) or neutral.
- Summaries plus text snippets.
- Fine-grained classification:
 e.g., for phone, opinions about: overall design, keypad, camera.
- Still often done by humans ...

A sample application: sentiment classification

Samsung Galaxy Note 3 (from the Guardian)

If you're after a phablet, the Samsung Galaxy Note 3 is the best one available right now.

It's a snappy, lag-free experience, with great battery life and fast charging, but it's just not big enough to be a proper 7in tablet replacement.

It's also likely be too big for most users looking for a smartphone, who will struggle to fit it in their pockets and will find it near-on impossible to use one-handed. Samsung's TouchWiz customisations to Android are often gimmicky and confusing, but they can be turned off to save frustration and battery life....

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment classification: the research task

 Full task: information retrieval, cleaning up text structure, named entity recognition, identification of relevant parts of text. Evaluation by humans.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- Research task: preclassified documents, topic known, opinion in text along with some straightforwardly extractable score.
- Movie review corpus, with ratings.

A sample application: sentiment classification

IMDb: An American Werewolf in London (1981)

Rating: 9/10

Ooooo. Scary.

The old adage of the simplest ideas being the best is once again demonstrated in this, one of the most entertaining films of the early 80's, and almost certainly Jon Landis' best work to date. The script is light and witty, the visuals are great and the atmosphere is top class. Plus there are some great freeze-frame moments to enjoy again and again. Not forgetting, of course, the great transformation scene which still impresses to this day. In Summary: Top banana

A sample application: sentiment classification

Bag of words technique

- Treat the reviews as collections of individual words.
- Classify reviews according to positive or negative words.
- Could use word lists prepared by humans, but machine learning based on a portion of the corpus (training set) is preferable.
- Use star rankings for training and evaluation.
- Pang et al, 2002: Chance success is 50% (movie database was artificially balanced), bag-of-words gives 80%.

Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words

thanks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

-Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

from Potts and Schwarz (2008)

Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words

never

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

-Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words



never

from Potts and Schwarz (2008)

Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words

quite

-Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words

Turned-U shape: 'quite' -2.6 -1.7 station 201 œ, 7 읆 _2 -1 Rating (centered around 0) quad coef--0.1129; quad p=0; in coef--0.0142; in p=0.3924 (b)

quite

from Potts and Schwarz (2008)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words: ever

ever

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

-Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sentiment words: ever



ever

from Potts and Schwarz (2008)

A sample application: sentiment classification

Some sources of errors for bag-of-words

Negation:

Ridley Scott has never directed a bad film.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- Overfitting the training data: e.g., if training set includes a lot of films from before 2005, *Ridley* may be a strong positive indicator, but then we test on reviews for 'Kingdom of Heaven'?
- Comparisons and contrasts.

A sample application: sentiment classification

Contrasts in the discourse

This film should be brilliant. It sounds like a great plot, the actors are first grade, and the supporting cast is good as well, and Stallone is attempting to deliver a good performance. However, it can't hold up.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

A sample application: sentiment classification

More contrasts

AN AMERICAN WEREWOLF IN PARIS is a failed attempt ... Julie Delpy is far too good for this movie. She imbues Serafine with spirit, spunk, and humanity. This isn't necessarily a good thing, since it prevents us from relaxing and enjoying AN AMERICAN WEREWOLF IN PARIS as a completely mindless, campy entertainment experience. Delpy's injection of class into an otherwise classless production raises the specter of what this film could have been with a better script and a better cast ... She was radiant. charismatic, and effective ...

-Lecture 1: Introduction

A sample application: sentiment classification

Sample data

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~aac10/sentiment/
(linked from
http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~aac10/stuff.html)
See test data texts in:
http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~aac10/sentiment/test/

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

classified into positive/negative.

A sample application: sentiment classification

Doing sentiment classification 'properly'?

- Morphology, syntax and compositional semantics: who is talking about what, what terms are associated with what, tense ...
- Lexical semantics: are words positive or negative in this context? Word senses (e.g., *spirit*)?
- Pragmatics and discourse structure: what is the topic of this section of text? Pronouns and definite references.
- But getting all this to work well on arbitrary text is very hard.
- Ultimately the problem is Al-complete, but can we do well enough for NLP to be useful?

A sample application: sentiment classification

Doing sentiment classification 'properly'?

- Morphology, syntax and compositional semantics: who is talking about what, what terms are associated with what, tense ...
- Lexical semantics: are words positive or negative in this context? Word senses (e.g., *spirit*)?
- Pragmatics and discourse structure: what is the topic of this section of text? Pronouns and definite references.
- But getting all this to work well on arbitrary text is very hard.
- Ultimately the problem is Al-complete, but can we do well enough for NLP to be useful?

More NLP applications

IR, IE and QA

- Information retrieval: return documents in response to a user query (Internet Search is a special case)
- Information extraction: discover specific information from a set of documents (e.g. company joint ventures)
- Question answering: answer a specific user question by returning a section of a document:

What is the capital of France?

Paris has been the French capital for many centuries.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

More NLP applications

MT

- Earliest attempted NLP application
- High quality only if the domain is restricted
- Utility greatly increased with increase in availability of electronic text
- Good applications for bad MT ...
- Spoken language translation is viable for limited domains

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○
-Lecture 1: Introduction

More NLP applications

Human translation?



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 ・ のへぐ

-Lecture 1: Introduction

More NLP applications

Human translation?



I am not in the office at the moment. Please send any work to be translated.

More NLP applications

Natural language interfaces and dialogue systems

All rely on a limited domain:

- LUNAR: classic example of a natural language interface to a database (NLID): 1970–1975
- SHRDLU: (text-based) dialogue system: 1973
- Current spoken dialogue systems

Limited domain allows disambiguation: e.g., in LUNAR, *rock* had one sense.

-Lecture 1: Introduction

LNLP components

Generic NLP modules

- input preprocessing: speech recogniser, text preprocessor or gesture recogniser.
- morphological analysis
- part of speech tagging
- parsing: this includes syntax and compositional semantics
- disambiguation
- context module
- text planning
- tactical generation
- morphological generation
- output processing: text-to-speech, text formatter, etc.



NLP components

Natural language interface to a knowledge base



└─NLP components

General comments

- Even 'simple' applications might need complex knowledge sources
- Applications cannot be 100% perfect
- Applications that are < 100% perfect can be useful</p>
- Aids to humans are easier than replacements for humans
- NLP interfaces compete with non-language approaches
- Shallow processing on arbitrary input or deep processing on narrow domains
- Limited domain systems require extensive and expensive expertise to port
- External influences on NLP are very important

-Lecture 1: Introduction

-NLP components

Outline of the next lecture

Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques A brief introduction to morphology Using morphology Spelling rules Finite state techniques More applications for finite state techniques

Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Outline of today's lecture

Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques A brief introduction to morphology Using morphology Spelling rules Finite state techniques More applications for finite state techniques

- -Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques
 - A brief introduction to morphology

Some terminology

- morpheme: the minimal information carrying unit
- affix: morpheme which only occurs in conjunction with other morphemes
- words are made up of a stem (more than one in the case of compounds) and zero or more affixes. e.g., dog plus plural suffix +s
- affixes: prefixes, suffixes, infixes and circumfixes
- in English: prefixes and suffixes (prefixes only for derivational morphology)
- productivity: whether affix applies generally, whether it applies to new words

- -Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques
 - A brief introduction to morphology

Inflectional morphology

- e.g., plural suffix +s, past participle +ed
- sets slots in some paradigm
- e.g., tense, aspect, number, person, gender, case
- inflectional affixes are not combined in English
- generally fully productive (modulo irregular forms)

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

A brief introduction to morphology

Derivational morphology

- e.g., un-, re-, anti-, -ism, -ist etc
- broad range of semantic possibilities, may change part of speech

- indefinite combinations
 e.g., antiantidisestablishmentarianism anti-anti-dis-establish-ment-arian-ism
- generally semi-productive
- zero-derivation (e.g. tango, waltz)

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

A brief introduction to morphology

Internal structure and ambiguity

Morpheme ambiguity: stems and affixes may be individually ambiguous: e.g. *dog* (noun or verb), +s (plural or 3persg-verb) Structural ambiguity: e.g., *shorts/short -s unionised* could be *union -ise -ed* or *un- ion -ise -ed* Bracketing:

- un- ion is not a possible form
- un- is ambiguous:
 - with verbs: means 'reversal' (e.g., untie)
 - with adjectives: means 'not' (e.g., unwise)
- internal structure of un- ion -ise -ed has to be (un- ((ion -ise) -ed))

Temporarily skip 2.3

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

A brief introduction to morphology

Internal structure and ambiguity

Morpheme ambiguity: stems and affixes may be individually ambiguous: e.g. *dog* (noun or verb), +s (plural or 3persg-verb) Structural ambiguity: e.g., *shorts/short -s unionised* could be *union -ise -ed* or *un- ion -ise -ed* Bracketing:

- un- ion is not a possible form
- un- is ambiguous:
 - with verbs: means 'reversal' (e.g., untie)
 - with adjectives: means 'not' (e.g., unwise)
- internal structure of un- ion -ise -ed has to be (un- ((ion -ise) -ed))

Temporarily skip 2.3

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Using morphology

Applications of morphological processing

- compiling a full-form lexicon
- stemming for IR (not linguistic stem)
- lemmatization (often inflections only): finding stems and affixes as a precursor to parsing
 NB: may use parsing to filter results (see lecture 5)
 e.g., *feed* analysed as *fee-ed* (as well as *feed*)
 but parser blocks (assuming lexicon does not have *fee* as a verb)
- generation

Morphological processing may be bidirectional: i.e., parsing and generation.

```
sleep + PAST_VERB <-> slept
```

Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Using morphology

Lexical requirements for morphological processing

- affixes, plus the associated information conveyed by the affix
 - ed PAST_VERB
 - ed PSP_VERB
 - s PLURAL_NOUN
- irregular forms, with associated information similar to that for affixes

```
began PAST_VERB begin
```

```
begun PSP_VERB begin
```

stems with syntactic categories (plus more)

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Using morphology

Mongoose

A zookeeper was ordering extra animals for his zoo. He started the letter:

"Dear Sir, I need two mongeese."

This didn't sound right, so he tried again: *"Dear Sir, I need two mongooses."*

But this sounded terrible too. Finally, he ended up with: "Dear Sir, I need a mongoose, and while you're at it, send me another one as well."

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Using morphology

Mongoose

A zookeeper was ordering extra animals for his zoo. He started the letter:

"Dear Sir, I need two mongeese."

This didn't sound right, so he tried again:

"Dear Sir, I need two mongooses."

But this sounded terrible too. Finally, he ended up with:

"Dear Sir, I need a mongoose, and while you're at it, send me another one as well."

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Using morphology

Mongoose

A zookeeper was ordering extra animals for his zoo. He started the letter:

"Dear Sir, I need two mongeese."

This didn't sound right, so he tried again:

"Dear Sir, I need two mongooses."

But this sounded terrible too. Finally, he ended up with: "Dear Sir, I need a mongoose, and while you're at it, send me another one as well."

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Spelling rules

Spelling rules (sec 2.3)

- English morphology is essentially concatenative
- irregular morphology inflectional forms have to be listed
- regular phonological and spelling changes associated with affixation, e.g.
 - s is pronounced differently with stem ending in s, x or z
 - spelling reflects this with the addition of an e (boxes etc)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

 in English, description is independent of particular stems/affixes

Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Spelling rules

e-insertion

e.g. box^s to boxes

$$arepsilon
ightarrow \mathbf{e} / \left\{ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{array} \right\}^{*} \mathbf{s}$$

- map 'underlying' form to surface form
- mapping is left of the slash, context to the right
- notation:

^

- position of mapping
- ε empty string
 - affix boundary stem ^ affix
- same rule for plural and 3sg verb
- formalisable/implementable as a finite state transducer

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Spelling rules

e-insertion

e.g. box^s to boxes

$$arepsilon
ightarrow \mathbf{e} / \left\{ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{array} \right\}^{*} \mathbf{s}$$

- map 'underlying' form to surface form
- mapping is left of the slash, context to the right
- notation:

^

- position of mapping
- ε empty string
 - affix boundary stem ^ affix
- same rule for plural and 3sg verb
- formalisable/implementable as a finite state transducer

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Spelling rules

e-insertion

e.g. box^s to boxes

$$arepsilon
ightarrow \mathbf{e} / \left\{ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{array}
ight\} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{s}$$

- map 'underlying' form to surface form
- mapping is left of the slash, context to the right
- notation:

^

- position of mapping
- ε empty string
 - affix boundary stem ^ affix
- same rule for plural and 3sg verb
- formalisable/implementable as a finite state transducer

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques

Finite state automata for recognition

day/month pairs:



non-deterministic — after input of '2', in state 2 and state 3.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 三回 - のへで

- double circle indicates accept state
- accepts e.g., 11/3 and 3/12
- also accepts 37/00 overgeneration

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques

Recursive FSA

comma-separated list of day/month pairs:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

- list of indefinite length
- e.g., 11/3, 5/6, 12/04

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques

Finite state transducer



Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques



Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques



-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques



-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques



-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques

Analysing b o x e s



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

- Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques

Analysing $b \circ x \in \epsilon$ s



-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques



-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques



-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

Finite state techniques

Using FSTs

- FSTs assume tokenization (word boundaries) and words split into characters. One character pair per transition!
- Analysis: return character list with affix boundaries, so enabling lexical lookup.
- Generation: input comes from stem and affix lexicons.
- One FST per spelling rule: either compile to big FST or run in parallel.
- FSTs do not allow for internal structure:
 - can't model un- ion -ize -d bracketing.
 - can't condition on prior transitions, so potential redundancy (cf 2006/7 exam q)

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

More applications for finite state techniques

Some other uses of finite state techniques in NLP

- Grammars for simple spoken dialogue systems (directly written or compiled)
- Partial grammars for named entity recognition
- Dialogue models for spoken dialogue systems (SDS) e.g. obtaining a date:
 - 1. No information. System prompts for month and day.

- 2. Month only is known. System prompts for day.
- 3. Day only is known. System prompts for month.
- 4. Month and day known.

-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

More applications for finite state techniques

Example FSA for dialogue



◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()
-Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques

More applications for finite state techniques

Example of probabilistic FSA for dialogue



◆ロト ◆御 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ● の々で

- Lecture 2: Morphology and finite state techniques
 - More applications for finite state techniques

Next lecture

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging Corpora in NLP Word prediction Part-of-speech (POS) tagging Evaluation in general, evaluation of POS tagging

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Outline of today's lecture

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging Corpora in NLP Word prediction Part-of-speech (POS) tagging Evaluation in general, evaluation of POS tagging

First of three lectures that concern syntax (i.e., how words fit together). This lecture: 'shallow' syntax: word sequences and POS tags. Next lectures: more detailed syntactic structures.

-Corpora in NLP

Corpora

Changes in NLP research over the last 15-20 years are largely due to increased availability of electronic corpora.

- corpus: text that has been collected for some purpose.
- balanced corpus: texts representing different genres genre is a type of text (vs domain)
- tagged corpus: a corpus annotated with POS tags
- treebank: a corpus annotated with parse trees
- specialist corpora e.g., collected to train or evaluate particular applications
 - Movie reviews for sentiment classification
 - Data collected from simulation of a dialogue system

-Corpora in NLP

Statistical techniques: NLP and linguistics

But it must be recognized that the notion 'probability of a sentence' is an entirely useless one, under any known interpretation of this term. (Chomsky 1969)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Whenever I fire a linguist our system performance improves. (Jelinek, 1988?)

-Corpora in NLP

Statistical techniques: NLP and linguistics

But it must be recognized that the notion 'probability of a sentence' is an entirely useless one, under any known interpretation of this term. (Chomsky 1969)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Whenever I fire a linguist our system performance improves. (Jelinek, 1988?)

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Word prediction

Prediction

Guess the missing words:

Illustrations produced by any package can be transferred with consummate _____ to another.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Wright tells her story with great _____.

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Word prediction

Prediction

Guess the missing words:

Illustrations produced by any package can be transferred with consummate <u>ease</u> to another.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Wright tells her story with great _____.

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Word prediction

Prediction

Guess the missing words:

Illustrations produced by any package can be transferred with consummate <u>ease</u> to another.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Wright tells her story with great professionalism

-Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Word prediction

Prediction

Prediction is relevant for:

- language modelling for speech recognition to disambiguate results from signal processing: e.g., using n-grams. (Alternative to finite state grammars, suitable for large-scale recognition.)
- word prediction for communication aids (augmentative and alternative communication). e.g., to help enter text that's input to a synthesiser
- text entry on mobile phones and similar devices
- OCR, spelling correction, text segmentation
- estimation of entropy

-Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Word prediction

bigrams (n-gram with N=2)

A probability is assigned to a word based on the previous word:

$$P(w_n|w_{n-1})$$

where w_n is the nth word in a sentence.

Probability of a sequence of words (assuming independence):

$$P(W_1^n) \approx \prod_{k=1}^n P(w_k | w_{k-1})$$

Probability is estimated from counts in a training corpus:

$$\frac{C(w_{n-1}w_n)}{\sum_w C(w_{n-1}w)} \approx \frac{C(w_{n-1}w_n)}{C(w_{n-1})}$$

i.e. count of a particular bigram in the corpus divided by the count of all bigrams starting with the prior word.

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Word prediction

Calculating bigrams

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle s \rangle \ good \ morning \ \langle /s \rangle \ \langle s \rangle \ good \ afternoon \ \langle /s \rangle \ \langle s \rangle \ good \ afternoon \ \langle /s \rangle \ \langle s \rangle \ it \ is \ good \ \langle /s \rangle \end{array}$

sequence	count	bigram probability	
$\langle s \rangle$	5		-
⟨s⟩ good	3	.6	-
$\langle s \rangle$ it	2	.4	-
good	5		-
good morning	1	.2	-
good afternoon	2	.4	
good $\langle /s \rangle$	2	.4	_
(/s)	5		-
$\langle /s \rangle \langle s \rangle$	4	1	
		< □	ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 4 回 9 0 0 0

-Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

-Word prediction

Sentence probabilities

Probability of $\langle s \rangle$ it is good afternoon $\langle /s \rangle$ is estimated as: $P(it|\langle s \rangle)P(is|it)P(good|is)P(afternoon|good)P(\langle /s \rangle|afternoon)$ = .4 × 1 × .5 × .4 × 1 = .08

Problems because of sparse data (cf Chomsky comment):

 smoothing: distribute 'extra' probability between rare and unseen events

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

 backoff: approximate unseen probabilities by a more general probability, e.g. unigrams

-Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

-Word prediction

Practical application

- Word prediction: guess the word from initial letters. User confirms each word, so we predict on the basis of individual bigrams consistent with letters.
- Speech recognition: given an input which is a lattice of possible words, we find the sequence with maximum likelihood.

Implemented efficiently using dynamic programming (Viterbi algorithm).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Part of speech tagging

They can fish .

They_PNP can_VM0 fish_VVI ._PUN

They_PNP can_VVB fish_NN2 ._PUN

They_PNP can_VM0 fish_NN2 ._PUN no full parse

POS lexicon fragment:

they PNP

can VM0 VVB VVI NN1

fish NN1 NN2 VVB VVI

tagset (CLAWS 5) includes:

- NN1 singular noun
- PNP personal pronoun
- VVB base form of verb

2 plural noun2 modal auxiliary verb infinitive form of verb

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Part of speech tagging

- They_PNP can_VM0 fish_VVI ._PUN
- They_PNP can_VVB fish_NN2 ._PUN
- They_PNP can_VM0 fish_NN2 ._PUN no full parse

POS lexicon fragment:

- they PNP
- can VM0 VVB VVI NN1
- fish NN1 NN2 VVB VVI

tagset (CLAWS 5) includes:

- NN1 singular noun
- PNP personal pronoun
- VVB base form of verb

 plural noun
 modal auxiliary verb infinitive form of verb

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Part of speech tagging

- They_PNP can_VM0 fish_VVI ._PUN
- They_PNP can_VVB fish_NN2 ._PUN
- They_PNP can_VM0 fish_NN2 ._PUN no full parse

POS lexicon fragment:

- they PNP
- can VM0 VVB VVI NN1
- fish NN1 NN2 VVB VVI
- tagset (CLAWS 5) includes:
 - NN1 singular noun
 - PNP personal pronoun
 - VVB base form of verb
- NN2 plural nounVM0 modal auxiliary verbVVI infinitive form of verb

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Why POS tag?

- Coarse-grained syntax / word sense disambiguation: fast, so applicable to very large corpora.
- Some linguistic research and lexicography: e.g., how often is tango used as a verb? dog?
- Named entity recognition and similar tasks (finite state patterns over POS tagged data).
- Features for machine learning e.g., sentiment classification. (e.g., stink_V vs stink_N)
- Preliminary processing for full parsing: cut down search space or provide guesses at unknown words.

Note: tags are more fine-grained than conventional part of speech. Different possible tagsets.

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Stochastic part of speech tagging using Hidden Markov Models (HMM)

- 1. Start with untagged text.
- 2. Assign all possible tags to each word in the text on the basis of a lexicon that associates words and tags.
- 3. Find the most probable sequence (or n-best sequences) of tags, based on probabilities from the training data.
 - lexical probability: e.g., is can most likely to be VM0, VVB, VVI or NN1?
 - and tag sequence probabilities: e.g., is VM0 or NN1 more likely after PNP?

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Training stochastic POS tagging

They_PNP used_VVD to_TO0 can_VVI fish_NN2 in_PRP those_DT0 towns_NN2 ._PUN But_CJC now_AV0 few_DT0 people_NN2 fish_VVB in_PRP these_DT0 areas_NN2 ._PUN

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

sequence	count	bigram probability
NN2	4	
NN2 PRP	1	0.25
NN2 PUN	2	0.5
NN2 VVB	1	0.25

Also lexicon: fish NN2 VVB

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Training stochastic POS tagging

They_PNP used_VVD to_TO0 can_VVI fish_NN2 in_PRP those_DT0 towns_NN2 ._PUN But_CJC now_AV0 few_DT0 people_NN2 fish_VVB in_PRP these_DT0 areas_NN2 ._PUN

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

sequence	count	bigram probability
NN2	4	
NN2 PRP	1	0.25
NN2 PUN	2	0.5
NN2 VVB	1	0.25

Also lexicon: fish NN2 VVB

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Training stochastic POS tagging

They_PNP used_VVD to_TO0 can_VVI fish_NN2 in_PRP those_DT0 towns_NN2 ._PUN But_CJC now_AV0 few_DT0 people_NN2 fish_VVB in_PRP these_DT0 areas_NN2 ._PUN

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

sequence	count	bigram probability
NN2	4	
NN2 PRP	1	0.25
NN2 PUN	2	0.5
NN2 VVB	1	0.25

Also lexicon: fish NN2 VVB

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Assigning probabilities

Our estimate of the sequence of n tags is the sequence of n tags with the maximum probability, given the sequence of n words:

$$\hat{t}_1^n = rgmax_{t_1^n} P(t_1^n | w_1^n)$$

By Bayes theorem:

$$P(t_1^n|w_1^n) = \frac{P(w_1^n|t_1^n)P(t_1^n)}{P(w_1^n)}$$

We're tagging a particular sequence of words so $P(w_1^n)$ is constant, giving:

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(w_1^n | t_1^n) P(t_1^n)$$

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Assigning probabilities, continued

Bigram assumption: probability of a tag depends on the previous tag, hence approximate by the product of bigrams:

$$P(t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i|t_{i-1})$$

Probability of the word estimated on the basis of its own tag alone:

$$P(w_1^n|t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i|t_i)$$

Hence:

$$\hat{t}_{1}^{n} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_{1}^{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_{i}|t_{i}) P(t_{i}|t_{i-1})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Example

Tagging: *they fish* Assume PNP is the only tag for *they*, and that *fish* could be NN2 or VVB. Then the estimate for PNP NN2 will be:

P(they|PNP) P(NN2|PNP) P(fish|NN2)

and for PNP VVB:

P(they|PNP) P(VVB|PNP) P(fish|VVB)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging

Part-of-speech (POS) tagging

Assigning probabilities, more details

- Maximise the overall tag sequence probability e.g., use Viterbi.
- Actual systems use trigrams smoothing and backoff are critical.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Unseen words: these are not in the lexicon, so use all possible open class tags, possibly restricted by morphology.

- -Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging
 - Evaluation in general, evaluation of POS tagging

Evaluation of POS tagging

- percentage of correct tags
- one tag per word (some systems give multiple tags when uncertain)
- over 95% for English on normal corpora (but note punctuation is unambiguous)
- baseline of taking the most common tag gives 90% accuracy
- different tagsets give slightly different results: utility of tag to end users vs predictive power (an open research issue)

- -Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging
 - Evaluation in general, evaluation of POS tagging

Evaluation in general

- Training data and test data Test data must be kept unseen, often 90% training and 10% test data.
- Baseline
- Ceiling Human performance on the task, where the ceiling is the percentage agreement found between two annotators (interannotator agreement)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- Error analysis Error rates are nearly always unevenly distributed.
- Reproducibility

- -Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging
 - Evaluation in general, evaluation of POS tagging

Representative corpora and data sparsity

- test corpora have to be representative of the actual application
- POS tagging and similar techniques are not always very robust to differences in genre
- balanced corpora may be better, but still don't cover all text types
- communication aids: extreme difficulty in obtaining data, text corpora don't give good prediction for real data

- -Lecture 3: Prediction and part-of-speech tagging
 - Evaluation in general, evaluation of POS tagging

Outline of next lecture

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing Generative grammar Simple context free grammars Simple chart parsing with CFGs More advanced chart parsing

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Formalism power requirements

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Parsing

Syntactic structure in analysis:

- as a step in assigning semantics
- checking grammaticality
- corpus-based investigations, lexical acquisition etc

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Generative grammar Simple context free grammars Simple chart parsing with CFGs More advanced chart parsing Formalism power requirements

Next lecture — beyond simple CFGs

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Generative grammar

Generative grammar

a formally specified grammar that can generate all and only the acceptable sentences of a natural language Internal structure:

the big dog slept

can be bracketed

((the (big dog)) slept)

constituent a phrase whose components 'go together' ... weak equivalence grammars generate the same strings strong equivalence grammars generate the same strings with same brackets -Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

Context free grammars

- 1. a set of non-terminal symbols (e.g., S, VP);
- 2. a set of terminal symbols (i.e., the words);
- a set of rules (productions), where the LHS (mother) is a single non-terminal and the RHS is a sequence of one or more non-terminal or terminal symbols (daughters);

- V -> fish
- 4. a start symbol, conventionally S, which is a non-terminal.

Exclude empty productions, NOT e.g.:

NP ->
$$\epsilon$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

A simple CFG for a fragment of English

rules

- S -> NP VP VP -> VP PP
- VP -> V
- VP -> V NP
- VP -> V VP
- NP -> NP PP
- PP -> P NP

lexicon

- V -> can
- V -> fish
- NP -> fish
- NP -> rivers
- NP -> pools
- NP -> December
- NP -> Scotland
- NP -> it
- NP -> they
- P -> in

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

Analyses in the simple CFG

they fish

(S (NP they) (VP (V fish)))

they can fish

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (VP (V fish))))

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (NP fish)))

they fish in rivers

```
(S (NP they) (VP (VP (V fish))
(PP (P in) (NP rivers))))
```

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

Analyses in the simple CFG

they fish

(S (NP they) (VP (V fish)))

they can fish

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (VP (V fish))))

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (NP fish)))

they fish in rivers

```
(S (NP they) (VP (VP (V fish))
(PP (P in) (NP rivers))))
```
Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

Analyses in the simple CFG

they fish

(S (NP they) (VP (V fish)))

they can fish

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (VP (V fish))))

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (NP fish)))

they fish in rivers

```
(S (NP they) (VP (V fish))
(PP (P in) (NP rivers))))
```

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

Structural ambiguity without lexical ambiguity

```
they fish in rivers in December
```

```
(S (NP they)
(VP (VP (V fish))
(PP (P in) (NP rivers)
(PP (P in) (NP December)))))
```

```
(S (NP they)
(VP (VP (VP (V fish))
(PP (P in) (NP rivers)))
(PP (P in) (NP December))))
```

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

Structural ambiguity without lexical ambiguity

```
they fish in rivers in December
```

```
(S (NP they)
(VP (VP (V fish))
(PP (P in) (NP rivers)
(PP (P in) (NP December)))))
(S (NP they)
(VP (VP (VP (V fish))
(PP (P in) (NP rivers)))
```

(PP (P in) (NP December))))

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト・ 山・ うへの

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple context free grammars

Parse trees



```
(NP December)))))
```

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Chart parsing

A dynamic programming algorithm (memoisation): chart store partial results of parsing in a vector edge representation of a rule application Edge data structure:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

[id,left_vtx, right_vtx,mother_category, dtrs]

•	they		can	•	fish	
0		1		2		3

Fragment of chart:

id	l	r	ma	dtrs
5	2	3	V	(fish)
6	2	3	VP	(5)
7	1	3	VP	(36)

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

A bottom-up passive chart parser

Parse:

Initialize the chart For each word word, let from be left vtx, to right vtx and dtrs be (word) For each category category lexically associated with word Add new edge from, to, category, dtrs Output results for all spanning edges

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Inner function

Add new edge from, to, category, dtrs: Put edge in chart: [*id*,from,to, category,dtrs] For each rule $lhs \rightarrow cat_1 \dots cat_{n-1}$,category Find sets of contiguous edges [*id*₁,from₁,to₁, cat₁,dtrs₁] ... [*id*_{n-1},from_{n-1},from, cat_{n-1},dtrs_{n-1}] (such that to₁ = from₂ etc) For each set of edges,

Add new edge $from_1$, to, lhs, $(id_1 \dots id)$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 ・ のへぐ

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 のQ@

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 ・ のへぐ

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ●

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 ・ のへぐ

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Bottom up parsing: edges



-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



・ロト・西ト・西ト・西・ うくの

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ ○○○

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ うろう

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



Add new edge 2, 3, VP, (5) Matching grammar rules: $\{S \rightarrow NP VP, VP \rightarrow VVP\}$ No edges match NP recurse on edges for V VP: $\{(2,6)\}$

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



Add new edge 2, 3, NP, (fish)NB: fish as NPMatching grammar rules: $\{VP \rightarrow V NP, PP \rightarrow P NP\}$ recurse on edges for V NP $\{(2,9)\}$

・ロト・日本・山田・ 山田・ 山口・

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



Add new edge 1, 3, VP, (2, 9) Matching grammar rules: $\{S \rightarrow NP VP, VP \rightarrow V VP\}$ recurse on edges for NP VP: $\{(1, 10)\}$

・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ うろう

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Parse construction



Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Simple chart parsing with CFGs

Output results for spanning edges

Spanning edges are 8 and 11: Output results for 8

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (VP (V fish))))

Output results for 11

(S (NP they) (VP (V can) (NP fish)))

Note: sample chart parsing code in Java is downloadable from the course web page.

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

More advanced chart parsing

Packing

- exponential number of parses means exponential time
- body can be cubic time: don't add equivalent edges as whole new edges
- dtrs is a set of lists of edges (to allow for alternatives)

about to add: [*id*,*l_vtx*, *right_vtx*,*ma_cat*, *dtrs*] and there is an existing edge:

[id-old,I_vtx, right_vtx,ma_cat, dtrs-old]

we simply modify the old edge to record the new dtrs:

[*id-old*,*l_vtx*, *right_vtx*,*ma_cat*, *dtrs-old* ∪ *dtrs*]

and do not recurse on it: never need to continue computation with a packable edge.

Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

More advanced chart parsing

Packing example

1	0	1	NP	{(they)}
2	1	2	V	{(can)}
3	1	2	VP	{(2)}
4	0	2	S	{(13)}
5	2	3	V	{(fish)}
6	2	3	VP	{(5)}
7	1	3	VP	{(2 6)}
8	0	3	S	{(1 7)}
9	2	3	NP	{(fish)}
Inst	ead c	of edg	je 10	1 3 VP {(2 9)}
7	1	3	VP	{(26), (29)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

and we're done

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

More advanced chart parsing

Packing example



Both spanning results can now be extracted from edge 8.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

More advanced chart parsing

Packing example



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Both spanning results can now be extracted from edge 8.

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

More advanced chart parsing

Packing example



Both spanning results can now be extracted from edge 8.

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

More advanced chart parsing

Ordering the search space

- agenda: order edges in chart by priority
- top-down parsing: predict possible edges
- Producing n-best parses:
 - manual weight assignment
 - probabilistic CFG trained on a treebank
 - automatic grammar induction
 - automatic weight assignment to existing grammar

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

beam-search
-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Formalism power requirements

Why not FSA?

centre-embedding:

 $A \rightarrow \alpha A \beta$

generate grammars of the form $a^n b^n$. For instance:

the students the police arrested complained

However, limits on human memory / processing ability:

? the students the police the journalists criticised arrested complained

More importantly:

- 1. FSM grammars are extremely redundant
- 2. FSM grammars don't support composition of semantics

-

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Formalism power requirements

Why not FSA?

centre-embedding:

 $A \rightarrow \alpha A \beta$

generate grammars of the form $a^n b^n$. For instance:

the students the police arrested complained

However, limits on human memory / processing ability:

? the students the police the journalists criticised arrested complained

More importantly:

- 1. FSM grammars are extremely redundant
- 2. FSM grammars don't support composition of semantics

-Formalism power requirements

Overgeneration in atomic category CFGs

- agreement: subject verb agreement. e.g., they fish, it fishes, *it fish, *they fishes. * means ungrammatical
- case: pronouns (and maybe who/whom) e.g., they like them, *they like they

S -> NP-sg-nom VP-sgNP-sg-nom -> heS -> NP-pl-nom VP-plNP-sg-acc -> himVP-sg -> V-sg NP-sg-accNP-sg-nom -> fishVP-sg -> V-sg NP-pl-accNP-pl-nom -> fishVP-pl -> V-pl NP-sg-accNP-sg-acc -> fishVP-pl -> V-pl NP-pl-accNP-pl-acc -> fish

BUT: very large grammar, misses generalizations, no way of saying when we don't care about agreement.

・ロト・西ト・西ト・西ト・日 うらの

Formalism power requirements

Overgeneration in atomic category CFGs

- agreement: subject verb agreement. e.g., they fish, it fishes, *it fish, *they fishes. * means ungrammatical
- case: pronouns (and maybe who/whom) e.g., they like them, *they like they

S -> NP-sg-nom VP-sg	NP-sg-nom	->	he
S -> NP-pl-nom VP-pl	NP-sg-acc	->	him
VP-sg -> V-sg NP-sg-acc	NP-sg-nom	->	fish
VP-sg -> V-sg NP-pl-acc	NP-pl-nom	->	fish
VP-pl -> V-pl NP-sg-acc	NP-sg-acc	->	fish
VP-pl -> V-pl NP-pl-acc	NP-pl-acc	->	fish

BUT: very large grammar, misses generalizations, no way of saying when we don't care about agreement.

・ロ・・母・・由・・日・・日・

-Lecture 4: Context-free grammars and parsing

Formalism power requirements

Subcategorization

- intransitive vs transitive etc
- verbs (and other types of words) have different numbers and types of syntactic arguments:
 - *Kim adored
 - *Kim gave Sandy
 - *Kim adored to sleep
 - Kim liked to sleep
 - *Kim devoured
 - Kim ate
- Subcategorization is correlated with semantics, but not determined by it.

Formalism power requirements

Overgeneration because of missing subcategorization

Overgeneration:

they fish fish it (S (NP they) (VP (V fish) (VP (V fish) (NP it))))

- Informally: need slots on the verbs for their syntactic arguments.
 - intransitive takes no following arguments (complements)

- simple transitive takes one NP complement
- like may be a simple transitive or take an infinitival complement, etc

Formalism power requirements

Long-distance dependencies

- 1. which problem did you say you don't understand?
- 2. who do you think Kim asked Sandy to hit?
- 3. which kids did you say were making all that noise?
- 'gaps' (underscores below)
 - 1. which problem did you say you don't understand _?
 - 2. who do you think Kim asked Sandy to hit _?
 - 3. which kids did you say _ were making all that noise?

In 3, the verb were shows plural agreement.

* what kid did you say _ were making all that noise?

The gap filler has to be plural.

Informally: need a 'gap' slot which is to be filled by something that itself has features.

Formalism power requirements

Context-free grammar and language phenomena

- CFGs can encode long-distance dependencies
- Language phenomena that CFGs cannot model (without a bound) are unusual probably none in English.
- BUT: CFG modelling for English or another NL could be trillions of rules
- Enriched formalisms: CFG equivalent or greater power
- Does CFGness matter?
- Human processing vs linguistic generalisations. Human generalisations?

Formalism power requirements

Outline of next lecture

Providing a more adequate treatment of syntax than simple CFGs: replacing the atomic categories by more complex data structures.

Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

From lecture 4 Beyond simple CFGs Feature structures (informally) Encoding agreement Parsing with feature structures Feature stuctures more formally Encoding subcategorisation Interface to morphology

Outline of today's lecture

Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

From lecture 4 Beyond simple CFGs Feature structures (informally) Encoding agreement Parsing with feature structures Feature stuctures more formally Encoding subcategorisation Interface to morphology

- From lecture 4

Subcategorization

- intransitive vs transitive etc
- verbs (and other types of words) have different numbers and types of syntactic arguments:
 - *Kim adored
 - *Kim gave Sandy
 - *Kim adored to sleep
 - Kim liked to sleep
 - *Kim devoured
 - Kim ate
- Subcategorization is correlated with semantics, but not determined by it.

From lecture 4

Overgeneration because of missing subcategorization

Overgeneration:

they fish fish it (S (NP they) (VP (V fish) (VP (V fish) (NP it))))

- Informally: need slots on the verbs for their syntactic arguments.
 - intransitive takes no following arguments (complements)

- simple transitive takes one NP complement
- like may be a simple transitive or take an infinitival complement, etc

From lecture 4

Long-distance dependencies

- 1. which problem did you say you don't understand?
- 2. who do you think Kim asked Sandy to hit?
- 3. which kids did you say were making all that noise?
- 'gaps' (underscores below)
 - 1. which problem did you say you don't understand _?
 - 2. who do you think Kim asked Sandy to hit _?
 - 3. which kids did you say _ were making all that noise?

In 3, the verb were shows plural agreement.

* what kid did you say _ were making all that noise?

The gap filler has to be plural.

Informally: need a 'gap' slot which is to be filled by something that itself has features.

- From lecture 4

Context-free grammar and language phenomena

- CFGs can encode long-distance dependencies
- Language phenomena that CFGs cannot model (without a bound) are unusual probably none in English.
- BUT: CFG modelling for English or another NL could be trillions of rules
- Enriched formalisms: CFG equivalent or greater power
- Does CFGness matter?
- Human processing vs linguistic generalisations. Human generalisations?

- From lecture 4

Constraint-based grammar (feature structures)

Providing a more adequate treatment of syntax than simple CFGs by replacing the atomic categories by more complex data structures.

- Feature structure formalisms give good linguistic accounts for many languages
- Reasonably computationally tractable
- Bidirectional (parse and generate)
- Used in LFG and HPSG formalisms

Can also think of CFGs as constraints on trees.

Beyond simple CFGs

Expanded CFG (from last time)

- S -> NP-sg-nom VP-sg S -> NP-pl-nom VP-pl VP-sg -> V-sg NP-sg-acc VP-sg -> V-sg NP-pl-acc VP-pl -> V-pl NP-sg-acc VP-pl -> V-pl NP-pl-acc
- NP-sg-nom -> he
- NP-sg-acc -> him
- NP-sg-nom -> fish
- NP-pl-nom -> fish
- NP-sg-acc -> fish
- NP-pl-acc -> fish

Beyond simple CFGs

Intuitive solution for case and agreement

- Separate slots (features) for CASE and AGR
- Slot values for CASE may be **nom** (e.g., *they*), **acc** (e.g., *them*) or unspecified (i.e., don't care)
- Slot values for AGR may be sg, pl or unspecified
- Subjects have the same value for AGR as their verbs
- Subjects have CASE nom, objects have CASE acc

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{can (n)} & \begin{bmatrix} \text{CASE []} \\ \text{AGR } & \textbf{sg} \end{bmatrix} & \text{fish (n)} & \begin{bmatrix} \text{CASE []} \\ \text{AGR []} \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{she} & \begin{bmatrix} \text{CASE } & \textbf{nom} \\ \text{AGR } & \textbf{sg} \end{bmatrix} & \text{them} & \begin{bmatrix} \text{CASE } & \textbf{acc} \\ \text{AGR } & \textbf{pl} \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Feature structures (informally)

Feature structures

 CASE []

 AGR
 Sg

- 1. Features like AGR with simple values: atomic-valued
- 2. Unspecified values possible on features: compatible with any value.
- Values for features for subcat and gap themselves have features: complex-valued
- 4. path: a sequence of features
- 5. Method of specifying two paths are the same: reentrancy
- 6. Unification: combining two feature structures, retaining all information from each, or fail if information is incompatible.

- Feature structures (informally)

Simple unification examples



Feature structures (informally)

Feature structures, continued

Feature structures are singly-rooted directed acyclic graphs, with arcs labelled by features and terminal nodes associated with values.



- In grammars, rules relate FSs i.e. lexical entries and phrases are represented as FSs
- Rule application by unification

-Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Feature structures (informally)

Graphs and AVMs



Here, CAT and AGR are atomic-valued features. **NP** and **sg** are values.

Example 2: • HEAD • CAT NP AGR []]

コンス むとく かく キャット

HEAD is complex-valued, AGR is unspecified.

-Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Feature structures (informally)

Reentrancy



Reentrancy indicated by boxed integer in AVM diagram: indicates path goes to the same node.

Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Encoding agreement

CFG with agreement

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Encoding agreement

FS grammar fragment encoding agreement



Parsing with feature structures

Parsing 'they like it'

- The lexical structures for *like* and *it* are unified with the corresponding structures on the right hand side of the verb-obj rule (unifications succeed).
- The structure corresponding to the mother of the rule is then:

- This unifies with the rightmost daughter position of the subj-verb rule.
- The structure for they is unified with the leftmost daughter.
- The result unifies with root structure.

Parsing with feature structures

Rules as FSs

But what does the coindexation of parts of the rule mean? Treat rule as a FS: e.g., rule features MOTHER, DTR1, DTR2...DTRN.



Parsing with feature structures

Verb-obj rule application

Feature structure for *like* unified with the value of DTR1:



Feature structure for *it* unified with the value for DTR2:

4 日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > 4 日 > 9 4 0



Parsing with feature structures

Subject-verb rule application 1

MOTHER value from the verb-object rule acts as the DTR2 of the subject-verb rule:



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへで

Gives:



Parsing with feature structures

Subject rule application 2

Unification of this with the value of DTR1 succeeds (but adds no new information):

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○



Final structure unifies with the root structure: [CAT S]

- Feature stuctures more formally

Properties of FSs

Connectedness and unique root A FS must have a unique root node: apart from the root node, all nodes have one or more parent nodes.

- Unique features Any node may have zero or more arcs leading out of it, but the label on each (that is, the feature) must be unique.
 - No cycles No node may have an arc that points back to the root node or to a node that intervenes between it and the root node.
 - Values A node which does not have any arcs leading out of it may have an associated atomic value.

Finiteness A FS must have a finite number of nodes.

- Feature stuctures more formally

Subsumption

Feature structures are ordered by information content — FS1 subsumes FS2 if FS2 carries extra information.

FS1 subsumes FS2 if and only if the following conditions hold:

Path values For every path P in FS1 there is a path P in FS2. If P has a value t in FS1, then P also has value t in FS2.

Path equivalences Every pair of paths P and Q which are reentrant in FS1 (i.e., which lead to the same node in the graph) are also reentrant in FS2.

Unification

The unification of two FSs FS1 and FS2 is the most general FS which is subsumed by both FS1 and FS2, if it exists.

- Encoding subcategorisation

Grammar with subcategorisation



◆ロト ◆御 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 ・ の々で

Encoding subcategorisation

Grammar with subcategorisation (abbrev for slides)



- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

Concepts for subcategorisation

 HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category



- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

Concepts for subcategorisation

 HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category



- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

Concepts for subcategorisation

 HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category


- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

 HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category



- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

 HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category



- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

 HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category



- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

- HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category
- ► SUBJ:

The subject-verb rule unifies the first daughter of the rule with the SUBJ value of the second. ('the first dtr fills the SUBJ slot of the second dtr in the rule')

-Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Encoding subcategorisation

Concepts for subcategorisation

- HEAD: information shared between a lexical entry and the dominating phrases of the same category
- ► SUBJ:

The subject-verb rule unifies the first daughter of the rule with the SUBJ value of the second. ('the first dtr fills the SUBJ slot of the second dtr in the rule')

► OBJ:

The verb-object rule unifies the second dtr with the OBJ value of the first. ('the second dtr fills the OBJ slot of the first dtr in the rule')

Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Encoding subcategorisation

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{PEAU} & \sqcup \\ \mathsf{OBJ} & \mathsf{fld} \\ \mathsf{SUBJ} & \mathsf{fld} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \boxed{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{HEAD} \left\lfloor \mathsf{AGR} & \Im \right\rfloor \\ \mathsf{OBJ} & \mathsf{fld} \\ \mathsf{SUBJ} & \mathsf{fld} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{HEAD} & 1 & \left[\mathsf{AGR} & \Im \right] \\ \mathsf{OBJ} & \mathsf{fld} \\ \mathsf{SUBJ} & \mathbb{I} \end{bmatrix}$

unification with second dtr position gives:

Natural Language Processing

-Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Encoding subcategorisation

Lexical entry for *they*:

unify this with first dtr position:

Mother structure unifies with root, so valid.

- -Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars
 - Encoding subcategorisation

Parsing with feature structure grammars

- Naive algorithm: standard chart parser with modified rule application
- Rule application:
 - 1. copy rule
 - copy daughters (lexical entries or FSs associated with edges)
 - 3. unify rule and daughters
 - 4. if successful, add new edge to chart with rule FS as category
- Efficient algorithms reduce copying.
- Packing involves subsumption.
- Probabilistic FS grammars are complex.

Natural Language Processing

-Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

LInterface to morphology

Templates

Capture generalizations in the lexicon:

fish INTRANS_VERB sleep INTRANS_VERB snore INTRANS_VERB

INTRANS_VERB

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > のへで

Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Interface to morphology

Interface to morphology: inflectional affixes as FSs



stem unifies with affix template.

But unification failure would occur with verbs etc, so we get filtering (lecture 2).

Natural Language Processing

Lecture 5: Constraint-based grammars

Interface to morphology

Outline of next lecture

Compositional semantics: the construction of meaning (generally expressed as logic) based on syntax.