

Denotational semantics of PCF

Proposition. *For all typing judgements $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, the denotation*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

is a well-defined continuous function.

Denotations of closed terms

For a closed term $M \in \text{PCF}_\tau$, we get

$$\llbracket \emptyset \vdash M \rrbracket : \llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

and, since $\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = \{ \perp \}$, we have

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \llbracket \emptyset \vdash M \rrbracket (\perp) \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \quad (M \in \text{PCF}_\tau)$$

Compositionality

Proposition. *For all typing judgements $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash M' : \tau$, and all contexts $\mathcal{C}[-]$ such that $\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M] : \tau'$ and $\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M'] : \tau'$,*

if $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M' \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$

then $\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M] \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M'] \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma' \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$

by induction $\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \lambda x. M \rrbracket^* = \lambda d. \llbracket x \vdash M \rrbracket(d)$

substitution
lemma

$$? = \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket M_1(M_2) \rrbracket = \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket(\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \stackrel{\text{by } *}{=} \llbracket x \vdash M \rrbracket(\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$$

Soundness

Proposition. For all closed terms $M, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$,

if $M \Downarrow_\tau V$ then $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow \lambda x. M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow V}{M_1(M_2) \Downarrow V}$$

$$M_1(M_2) \Downarrow V$$

Substitution property

Proposition. Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and that $\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' : \tau'$, so that we also have $\Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] : \tau'$.

Then,

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] \rrbracket (\rho)$$

$$= \llbracket \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket])$$

for all $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$.

Substitution = application

Substitution property

Proposition. Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and that $\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' : \tau'$, so that we also have $\Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] : \tau'$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] \rrbracket (\rho) \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$.

In particular when $\Gamma = \emptyset$, $\llbracket \langle x \mapsto \tau \rangle \vdash M' \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$ and

$$\llbracket M'[M/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket \langle x \mapsto \tau \rangle \vdash M' \rrbracket (\llbracket M \rrbracket)$$

Topic 7

Relating Denotational and Operational Semantics

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V .$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types

$$= \lambda d. \underbrace{[[x \vdash \text{fn } y. y]](d)}_{\lambda e. e} \underbrace{([x \vdash x](d))}_d = \lambda d. (\lambda e. e) d = \lambda d. d$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of ground type $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$[[M]] = [[V]] \in [[\gamma]] \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$[[\text{fn } x : \tau. (\text{fn } y : \tau. y) x]] = [[\text{fn } x : \tau. x]] : [[\tau]] \rightarrow [[\tau]]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} // & // & \\ \lambda d. [[x \vdash (\text{fn } y. y) x]](d) & \lambda d. [[x \vdash x]](d) & \\ & // & \\ & \lambda d. d & \end{array}$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_\gamma V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

but

$$\mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \not\Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau} \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x$$

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.

► Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V$$

$$M = M_1(M_2) \rightsquigarrow M_1: \tau \rightarrow \sigma \quad M_2: \tau$$

not of ground type, so
cannot proceed.

$$\llbracket M_1(M_2) \rrbracket = \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$$

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.

► Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.

2. So we proceed to prove a stronger statement that applies to terms of arbitrary types and implies adequacy.

This statement roughly takes the form:

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\tau} M \text{ for all types } \tau \text{ and all } M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

where the *formal approximation relations*

$$\triangleleft_{\tau} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

are *logically* chosen to allow a proof by induction.

logical
relation

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\tau} M$$

↓ WANT

Adequacy

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, I

We want that, for $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$,

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\gamma} M \text{ implies } \underbrace{\forall V (\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V)}_{\text{adequacy}}$$

$$\trianglelefteq_{nat} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_\perp \times PCF_{nat}$$

Definition of $d \trianglelefteq_\gamma M$ ($d \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, M \in PCF_\gamma$)
for $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$

$$n \trianglelefteq_{nat} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \overbrace{(n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{nat} \mathbf{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}))}^{n \neq \perp}$$

$$b \trianglelefteq_{bool} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (b = true \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{bool} \mathbf{true}) \\ \& (b = false \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{bool} \mathbf{false})$$

Proof of: $\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$ implies adequacy

Case $\gamma = \text{nat}$.

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \rrbracket \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\implies n = \llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$$

$$\implies M \Downarrow \text{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \quad \text{by definition of } \triangleleft_{\text{nat}}$$

Case $\gamma = \text{bool}$ is similar.

$$\text{wcd } \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket \triangleleft_{z' \rightarrow z} M_1$$

$$\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket \triangleleft_{z'} M_2$$

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, II

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

► Consider the case $M = M_1 M_2$.

WANT — to get it we ~ logical definition
define $\triangleleft_{z' \rightarrow z}$ in a manner that

$$\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$$

it will work; namely

$$\llbracket M_1 (M_2) \rrbracket \triangleleft_z M_1 (M_2)$$

LOGICALLY

Definition of

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \quad (f \in ([\tau] \rightarrow [\tau']), M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'})$$

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \quad \forall x \in [\tau], N \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

$$(x \triangleleft_{\tau} N \Rightarrow f(x) \triangleleft_{\tau'} M N)$$

by ind $\llbracket M' \rrbracket \trianglelefteq_z \tau \mid M'$

|| want idea is to use

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, III

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

► Consider the case $M = \mathbf{fix}(M')$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqcup_n \llbracket M' \rrbracket^n (\perp) \\ & \stackrel{=}{=} \mathbf{fix} \llbracket M' \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

\leadsto admissibility property

$$\{x \mid x \trianglelefteq_z M\} \text{ admissible.}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fix}(M') \rrbracket \trianglelefteq_z \mathbf{fix}(M')$$

— $\triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M$ admissible

$f_0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_n \leq \dots$? $f_n \triangleleft M \Rightarrow \sqcup f_n \triangleleft M$

Assume $f_n \triangleleft M$ RTP $x \triangleleft N \Rightarrow (\sqcup f_n)(x) \triangleleft M(N)$

Admissibility property \Downarrow

$f_n(x) \triangleleft M(N) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}}$

$\sqcup_n (f_n(x))$

Lemma. For all types τ and $M \in \text{PCF}_\tau$, the set

$$\{ d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \mid d \triangleleft_\tau M \}$$

is an admissible subset of $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

Further properties

Lemma. For all types τ , elements $d, d' \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, and terms $M, N, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$,

1. If $d \sqsubseteq d'$ and $d' \triangleleft_\tau M$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau M$.

2. If $d \triangleleft_\tau M$ and $\forall V (M \Downarrow_\tau V \implies N \Downarrow_\tau V)$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau N$.

crucial to get the induction for $\llbracket \text{fix}(M) \rrbracket \triangleleft \text{fix}(M)$

$$\llbracket x \vdash M \rrbracket(e)$$

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, IV

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

► Consider the case $M = \text{fn } x : \tau . M'$.

\rightsquigarrow substitutivity property for open terms

$$\forall e \triangleleft N. (\lambda d \llbracket x \vdash M \rrbracket(d)) (e) \triangleleft (\text{fn } x. M) N$$

$$\llbracket \text{fn } x. M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x. M$$

Fundamental property

Theorem. For all $\Gamma = \langle x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n \rangle$ and all $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, if $d_1 \triangleleft_{\tau_1} M_1, \dots, d_n \triangleleft_{\tau_n} M_n$ then $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket [x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \triangleleft_{\tau} M[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_n/x_n]$.

NB. The case $\Gamma = \emptyset$ reduces to

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\tau} M$$

for all $M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$.

Fundamental property of the relations \triangleleft_τ

Proposition. *If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is a valid PCF typing, then for all Γ -environments ρ and all Γ -substitutions σ*

$$\rho \triangleleft_\Gamma \sigma \Rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket(\rho) \triangleleft_\tau M[\sigma]$$

-
- $\rho \triangleleft_\Gamma \sigma$ means that $\rho(x) \triangleleft_{\Gamma(x)} \sigma(x)$ holds for each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.
 - $M[\sigma]$ is the PCF term resulting from the simultaneous substitution of $\sigma(x)$ for x in M , each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.