Computer Networking

Lent Term M/W/F 11-midday LT1 in Gates Building

Slide Set 2

Andrew W. Moore

andrew.moore@cl.cam.ac.uk January 2014

.

Topic 3: The Data Link Layer

Our goals:

- understand principles behind data link layer services: (these are methods & mechanisms in your networking toolbox)
 - error detection, correction
 - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
 - link layer addressing
 - reliable data transfer, flow control: \
 - instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies
 - Wired Ethernet (aka 802.3)
 - Wireless Ethernet (aka 802.11 WiFi)

2

Link Layer: Introduction

Some terminology:

- hosts and routers are nodes
- communication channels that connect adjacent nodes along communication path are links
 - wired links
- wireless links
- LANs
 layer-2 packet is a frame, encapsulates datagram

data-link layer has responsibility of transferring datagram from one node

to adjacent node over a link



Link Layer (Channel) Services

- · framing, link access:
 - encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
 - channel access if shared medium
 - "MAC" addresses used in frame headers to identify source, dest
 - different from IP address!
- reliable delivery between adjacent nodes
 - we see some of this again in the Transport Topic
 - seldom used on low bit-error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
 - wireless links: high error rates
 - Q: why both link-level and end-end reliability?

4


Link Layer (Channel) Services - 2

- flow control
 - pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes
- error detection:
 - errors caused by signal attenuation, noise.
 - receiver detects presence of errors:
- signals sender for retransmission or drops frame
- error correction
 - receiver identifies and corrects bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission
- half-duplex and full-duplex
 - with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time

5


Where is the link layer implemented?


- in each and every host
- link layer implemented in "adaptor" (aka network interface card NIC)
 - Ethernet card, PCMCI card, 802.11 card
 - implements link, physical layer attaches into host's system
- buses
- combination of hardware, software, firmware





Topic 3


1







































Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) (not to be confused with CSMA!)

- used in several wireless broadcast channels (cellular, satellite, etc) standards
- unique "code" assigned to each user; i.e., code set partitioning
- all users share same frequency, but each user has own "chipping" sequence (i.e., code) to encode data
- encoded signal = (original data) XOR (chipping sequence)
- decoding: inner-product of encoded signal and chipping sequence
- allows multiple users to "coexist" and transmit simultaneously with minimal interference (if codes are "orthogonal")



CDMA: two-sender interference code 111 1 111 1 adds a code i ini ini ini in Z_1^2 receiver 1

Coding Examples summary


- Common Wired coding
 - Block codecs: table-lookups
 - fixed overhead, inline control signals
 - Scramblers: shift registers
 - · overhead free


Like earlier coding schemes and error correction/ detection; you can combine these


- e.g, 10Gb/s Ethernet may use a hybrid


CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access)


- coping intelligently with competing sources
 Mobile phones














Internet checksum


Goal: detect "errors" (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted packet (note: used at transport layer only)

- treat segment contents as sequence of 1bit integers
- checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

- compute checksum of received segment
- · check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
 - NO error detected
 - YES no error detected. But maybe errors nonetheless?

Error Detection Code: CRC

- CRC means "Cyclic Redundancy Check".
- · More powerful than parity.
 - It can detect various kinds of errors, including 2-bit
- More complex: multiplication, binary division.
- Parameterized by n-bit divisor P.
 - Example: 3-bit divisor 101.
 - · Choosing good P is crucial.




The divisor (G) – Secret sauce of

- If the divisor were 100, instead of 101, data 1111 and 1001 would give the same check bit 00.
- · Mathematical analysis about the divisor:
 - Last bit should be 1.
 - Should contain at least two 1's.
- Should be divisible by 11.
- ATM, HDLC, Ethernet each use a CRC with wellchosen fixed divisors

Divisor analysis keeps mathematicians in jobs (a branch of pure math: combinatorial mathematics)


Checksumming: Cyclic Redundancy Check

- view data bits, D, as a binary number
- choose r+1 bit pattern (generator), G
- goal: choose r CRC bits, R, such that
- <D,R> exactly divisible by G (modulo 2)
- receiver knows G, divides <D,R> by G. If non-zero remainder: error
- can detect all burst errors less than r+1 bits
- widely used in practice (Ethernet, 802.11 WiFi, ATM)




CRC Another Example - this time with long division


Want: $D \cdot 2^r XOR R = nP$ equivalently: $D \cdot 2^r = nP XOR R$ equivalently: if we divide D·2^r by P, want remainder R





FYI: in K&R P is called the Generator: G

 $R = remainder[\frac{D \cdot 2^r}{2}$









Error Detection vs Correction

Error Correction:

- Cons: More check bits. False recovery.
- Pros: No need to re-send.

Error Detection:

- Cons: Need to re-send.
- Pros: Less check bits.

Usage:

- Correction: A lot of noise. Expensive to re-send.
- Detection: Less noise. Easy to re-send.
- Can be used together.

Multiple Access Links and Protocols

Two types of "links":

• point-to-point

– point-to-point link between Ethernet switch and host

• broadcast (shared wire or medium)

– old-fashioned wired Ethernet (here be dinosaurs – extinct)

– upstream HFC (Hybrid Fiber-Coax – the Coax may be broadcast)

– Home plug / Powerline networking

– 802.11 wireless LAN

shared RF (satellite)

shared RF (satellite)

shared RF (satellite)

Multiple Access protocols

- single shared broadcast channel
- two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes: interference
 - collision if node receives two or more signals at the same time

multiple access protocol

- distributed algorithm that determines how nodes share channel, i.e., determine when node can transmit
- communication about channel sharing must use channel itself!
 - no out-of-band channel for coordination

43

Ideal Multiple Access Protocol

Broadcast channel of rate R bps

- 1. when one node wants to transmit, it can send at rate R
- 2. when M nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate R/M
- 3. fully decentralized:
 - no special node to coordinate transmissions
 - no synchronization of clocks, slots
- 4. simple


44

MAC Protocols: a taxonomy

Three broad classes:


- Channel Partitioning
 - divide channel into smaller "pieces" (time slots, frequency, code)
 - allocate piece to node for exclusive use
- Random Access
 - channel not divided, allow collisions
 - "recover" from collisions
- "Taking turns"
 - nodes take turns, but nodes with more to send can take longer turns

45



TDMA: time division multiple access

- access to channel in "rounds"
- each station gets fixed length slot (length = pkt trans time) in each round
- unused slots go idle
- example: station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, slots 2,5,6 idle



46

Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: FDMA (time travel warning – we mentioned this earlier) FDMA: frequency division multiple access channel spectrum divided into frequency bands each station assigned fixed frequency band unused transmission time in frequency bands go idle example: station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, frequency bands 2,5,6 idle

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

channel partitioning MAC protocols:

- share channel efficiently and fairly at high load
- inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, 1/
 N bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!


Random access MAC protocols


- efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- high load: collision overhead


"taking turns" protocols

look for best of both worlds!

48







Random Access MAC Protocols

- When node has packet to send
- Transmit at full channel data rate
- No a priori coordination among nodes
- Two or more transmitting nodes ⇒ collision
 - Data lost
- Random access MAC protocol specifies:
 - How to detect collisions
- How to recover from collisions
- Examples
 - ALOHA and Slotted ALOHA
 - CSMA, CSMA/CD, CSMA/CA (wireless)

52

Key Ideas of Random Access

- Carrier sense
 - Listen before speaking, and don't interrupt
 - Checking if someone else is already sending data
 - ... and waiting till the other node is done
- Collision detection
 - If someone else starts talking at the same time, stop
 - Realizing when two nodes are transmitting at once
 - ...by detecting that the data on the wire is garbled
- Randomness
 - Don't start talking again right away
 - Waiting for a random time before trying again

CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)

- CSMA: listen before transmit
 - If channel sensed idle: transmit entire frame
 - If channel sensed busy, defer transmission
- Human analogy: don't interrupt others!
- Does this eliminate all collisions?
 - No, because of nonzero propagation delay

54

CSMA Collisions Propagation delay: two nodes may not hear each other's before sending. Would slots hurt or help? CSMA reduces but does not eliminate collisions Biggest remaining problem? Collisions still take full slot! How do you fix that?

CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)

- CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA
 - Collisions detected within short time
 - Colliding transmissions aborted, reducing wastage
- Collision detection easy in wired LANs:
 - Compare transmitted, received signals
- · Collision detection difficult in wireless LANs:
 - Reception shut off while transmitting (well, perhaps not)
 - Not perfect broadcast (limited range) so collisions local
 - Leads to use of collision avoidance instead (later)

CSMA/CD Collision Detection

B and D can tell that collision occurred.

Note: for this to work, need restrictions on minimum frame size and maximum distance. Why?

Limits on CSMA/CD Network Length



latency d



- · Latency depends on physical length of link
 - Time to propagate a packet from one end to the other
- Suppose A sends a packet at time t
 - And B sees an idle line at a time just before t+d
 - ... so B happily starts transmitting a packet
- B detects a collision, and sends jamming signal
 - But A can't see collision until t+2d

Performance of CSMA/CD

- Time wasted in collisions
 - Proportional to distance d
- Time spend transmitting a packet
 - Packet length p divided by bandwidth b
- Rough estimate for efficiency (K some constant)

• Note:

 $E \sim \frac{\frac{p}{b}}{\frac{p}{b} + Kd}$

- NOTE: $\frac{1}{b} + \kappa a$ – For large packets, small distances, E ~ 1
- As bandwidth increases, E decreases
- That is why high-speed LANs are all switched

Benefits of Ethernet

- Easy to administer and maintain
- Inexpensive
- · Increasingly higher speed
- · Evolvable!


Evolution of Ethernet

- · Changed everything except the frame format
 - From single coaxial cable to hub-based star
 - From shared media to switches
 - From electrical signaling to optical
- - The right interface can accommodate many changes
 - Implementation is hidden behind interface
- Lesson #2
 - Really hard to displace the dominant technology
 - Slight performance improvements are not enough

Ethernet: CSMA/CD Protocol



- · Carrier sense: wait for link to be idle
- Collision detection: listen while transmitting
 - No collision: transmission is complete
- Collision: abort transmission & send jam signal
- · Random access: binary exponential back-off
 - After collision, wait a random time before trying again
 - After mth collision, choose K randomly from {0, ..., 2^m-1}
 - ... and wait for K*512 bit times before trying again
 - Using min packet size as "slot"
 - If transmission occurring when ready to send, wait until end of transmission (CSMA)



Metrics for evaluation / comparison of wireless technologies

- Bitrate or Bandwidth
- Range PAN, LAN, MAN, WAN
- Two-way / One-way
- Multi-Access / Point-to-Point
- Digital / Analog
- Applications and industries
- Frequency Affects most physical properties: Distance (free-space loss) Penetration, Reflection, Absorption Energy proportionality Policy: Licensed / Deregulated Line of Sight (Fresnel zone) Size of antenna
- Determined by wavelength $\lambda = \frac{v}{f}$,

Wireless Communication Standards


- Cellular (800/900/1700/1800/1900Mhz):
 - 2G: GSM / CDMA / GPRS /EDGE
 - 3G: CDMA2000/UMTS/HSDPA/EVDO
- 4G: LTE, WiMax
- IEEE 802.11 (aka WiFi):
 - b: 2.4Ghz band, 11Mbps (~4.5 Mbps operating rate)
 - g: 2.4Ghz, 54-108Mbps (~19 Mbps operating rate)
 - a: 5.0Ghz band, 54-108Mbps (~25 Mbps operating rate)
- n: 2.4/5Ghz, 150-600Mbps (4x4 mimo).
 IEEE 802.15 lower power wireless:
 - 802.15.1: 2.4Ghz, 2.1 Mbps (Bluetooth)
 - 802.15.4: 2.4Ghz, 250 Kbps (Sensor Networks)

What Makes Wireless Different?

- · Broadcast and multi-access medium...
 - err, so....
- BUT, Signals sent by sender don't always end up at receiver intact
 - Complicated physics involved, which we won't
 - But what can go wrong?

Path Loss / Path Attenuation

- Free Space Path Loss: $\begin{aligned} & \text{FSPL} = \left(\frac{4\pi d}{\lambda}\right)^2 \\ & \text{d = distance} \\ & \lambda = \text{wave length} \\ & \text{f = frequency} \\ & \text{c = speed of light} \end{aligned}$
- Reflection, Diffraction, Absorption
- Terrain contours (Urban, Rural, Vegetation).
- Humidity



- Signals bounce off surface and interfere with one another
- Self-interference

68

Interference from Other Sources

- · External Interference
 - Microwave is turned on and blocks your signal
 - Would that affect the sender or the receiver?
- Internal Interference
 - Hosts within range of each other collide with one another's transmission
- We have to tolerate path loss, multipath, etc., but we can try to avoid internal interference

69

Wireless Bit Errors

- The lower the SNR (Signal/Noise) the higher the Bit Error Rate (BER)
- · We could make the signal stronger...
- · Why is this not always a good idea?
 - Increased signal strength requires more power
 - Increases the interference range of the sender, so you interfere with more nodes around you
 - And then they increase their power......
- Local link-layer Error Correction schemes can correct some problems


Lets focus on 802.11

aka - WiFi ... What makes it special?

Deregulation > Innovation > Adoption > Lower cost = Ubiquitous technology

JUST LIKE ETHERNET - not lovely but sufficient

74




Wireless Multiple Access Technique?

- · Carrier Sense?
 - Sender can listen before sending
 - What does that tell the sender?
- · Collision Detection?
 - Where do collisions occur?
 - How can you detect them?

73


Hidden Terminals



- A and C can both send to B but can't hear each other
 - A is a hidden terminal for C and vice versa
- Carrier Sense will be ineffective

74

Exposed Terminals



- Exposed node: B sends a packet to A; C hears this and decides not to send a packet to D (despite the fact that this will not cause interference)!
- Carrier sense would prevent a successful transmission.

75

Key Points


- · No concept of a global collision
 - Different receivers hear different signals
 - Different senders reach different receivers
- Collisions are at receiver, not sender
 - Only care if receiver can hear the sender clearly
 - It does not matter if sender can hear someone else
 - As long as that signal does not interfere with receiver
- Goal of protocol:
 - Detect if receiver can hear sender
 - Tell senders who might interfere with receiver to shut up

Basic Collision Avoidance

- Since can't detect collisions, we try to avoid them
- · Carrier sense:
 - When medium busy, choose random interval
 - Wait that many idle timeslots to pass before sending
- When a collision is inferred, retransmit with binary exponential backoff (like Ethernet)
 - Use ACK from receiver to infer "no collision"
 - Use exponential backoff to adapt contention window


77


CSMA/CA -MA with Collision Avoidance




- Before every data transmission
 - Sender sends a Request to Send (RTS) frame containing the length of the transmission
 - Receiver respond with a Clear to Send (CTS) frame
 - Sender sends data
- Receiver sends an ACK; now another sender can send data
- When sender doesn't get a CTS back, it assumes collision


78






RTS / CTS Protocols (CSMA/CA) B sends to C A B CTS D Overcome hidden terminal problems with contention-free protocol 1. B sends to C Request To Send (RTS) 2. A hears RTS and defers (to allow C to answer) 3. C replies to B with Clear To Send (CTS) 4. D hears CTS and defers to allow the data 5. B sends to C





CSMA/CD vs CSMA/CA (without RTS/CTS) **CD** Collision Detect **CA** Collision Avoidance wired - listen and talk wireless – talk OR listen 1. Listen for others 1. Listen for others Busy? goto 1. 2. Busy? Send message (and listen) a. increase your BEB Collision? b. sleep a. JAM b. increase your BEB c. goto 1. 3. Send message sleep d. goto 1. 4. Wait for ACK (MAC ACK) 5. Got No ACK from MAC? a. increase your BEBb. sleep goto 1.



Summary of MAC protocols

- channel partitioning, by time, frequency or code
- Time Division, Frequency Division
- random access (dynamic),
 - ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
 - carrier sensing: easy in some technologies (wire), hard in others (wireless)
 - CSMA/CD used in Ethernet
 - CSMA/CA used in 802.11
- taking turns
 - polling from central site, token passing
 - Bluetooth, FDDI, IBM Token Ring

86


MAC Addresses


- MAC (or LAN or physical or Ethernet) address:
 - function: get frame from one interface to another physically-connected interface (same network)
 - 48 bit MAC address (for most LANs)
 - burned in NIC ROM, nowadays usually software settable and set at boot time

LAN Address (more)

- MAC address allocation administered by IEEE
- manufacturer buys portion of MAC address space (to assure uniqueness)
- · analogy:
 - (a) MAC address: like Social Security Number
 - (b) IP address: like postal address
- MAC flat address → portability
 - can move LAN card from one LAN to another
 - IP hierarchical address NOT portable

 address depends on IP subnet to which node is attached







Switch


(like a Hub but smarter)


- link-layer device: smarter than hubs, take active role
 - store, forward Ethernet frames
 - examine incoming frame's MAC address, selectively forward frame to one-or-more outgoing links when frame is to be forwarded on segment, uses CSMA/CD to access segment
- transparent
- hosts are unaware of presence of switches
- plug-and-play, self-learning
 - switches do not need to be configured


01











Interconnecting switches


switches can be connected together



- $\hfill\Box$ \hfill sending from A to G how does $\hfill S_1$ know to forward frame destined to F via S_4 and S_3 ?
- ☐ <u>A:</u> self learning! (works exactly the same as in single-switch case - flood/forward/drop)

Flooding Can Lead to Loops

- Flooding can lead to forwarding loops
 - E.g., if the network contains a cycle of switches
 - "Broadcast storm"



((;)

Solution: Spanning Trees

- Ensure the forwarding topology has no loops
 - Avoid using some of the links when flooding
 - ... to prevent loop from forming
- Spanning tree
- Sub-graph that covers all vertices but contains no cycles
- Links not in the spanning tree do not forward frames



What Do We Know?

- Shortest paths to (or from) a node form a tree
- · So, algorithm has two aspects:
 - Pick a root
 - Compute shortest paths to it
- · Only keep the links on shortest-path

Constructing a Spanning Tree

- Switches need to elect a root
 - The switch w/ smallest identifier (MAC addr)
- Each switch determines if each interface is on the shortest path from the root

- Excludes it from the tree if not

- Messages (Y, d, X)
 - From node X
 - Proposing Y as the root
 - And the distance is d



Steps in Spanning Tree Algorithm


- · Initially, each switch proposes itself as the root
 - Switch sends a message out every interface
 ... proposing itself as the root with distance 0
 Example: switch X announces (X, 0, X)
- Switches update their view of the root

 Upon receiving message (Y, d, Z) from Z, check Y's id

 If new id smaller, start viewing that switch as root
- Switches compute their distance from the root
- Add 1 to the distance received from a neighbor
 Identify interfaces not on shortest path to the root
 ... and exclude them from the spanning tree
 If root or shortest distance to it changed, "flood" updated message (Y, d+1, X)

Example From Switch #4's Viewpoint

- · Switch #4 thinks it is the root
 - Sends (4, 0, 4) message to 2 and 7
- Then, switch #4 hears from #2
 - Receives (2, 0, 2) message from 2
 - ... and thinks that #2 is the root
- And realizes it is just one hop away • Then, switch #4 hears from #7
 - Receives (2, 1, 7) from 7
 - And realizes this is a longer path
 - So, prefers its own one-hop path
 - And removes 4-7 link from the tree



Example From Switch #4's Viewpoint

- · Switch #2 hears about switch #1
 - Switch 2 hears (1, 1, 3) from 3
 - Switch 2 starts treating 1 as root - And sends (1, 2, 2) to neighbors
- Switch #4 hears from switch #2
 - Switch 4 starts treating 1 as root
 - And sends (1, 3, 4) to neighbors
- · Switch #4 hears from switch #7
 - Switch 4 receives (1, 3, 7) from 7
 - And realizes this is a longer path
 - So, prefers its own three-hop path
 - And removes 4-7 link from the tree



Robust Spanning Tree Algorithm

- · Algorithm must react to failures
 - Failure of the root node
 - Need to elect a new root, with the next lowest identifier
- Failure of other switches and links
 Need to recompute the spanning tree
- · Root switch continues sending messages
 - Periodically reannouncing itself as the root (1, 0, 1)
 Other switches continue forwarding messages
- Detecting failures through timeout (soft state)
 - If no word from root, times out and claims to be the root
 Delay in reestablishing spanning tree is major problem

 - Work on rapid spanning tree algorithms...

Topic 3: Summary

- principles behind data link layer services:
 - error detection, correction
 - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
 - link layer addressing
- · instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies
 - Ethernet
 - switched LANS
- algorithms
 - Binary Exponential Backoff
 - Spanning Tree