

L11 : Algebraic Path Problems with Applications to Internet Routing

Lectures 9

Timothy G. Griffin

timothy.griffin@cl.cam.ac.uk
Computer Laboratory
University of Cambridge, UK

Michaelmas Term
2012

Dijkstra's algorithm

Input : adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} and source vertex $i \in V$,
Output : the i -th row of \mathbf{R} , $\mathbf{R}(i, _)$.

```
begin
     $S \leftarrow \{i\}$ 
     $\mathbf{R}(i, i) \leftarrow \bar{1}$  for each  $q \in V - \{i\}$  :  $\mathbf{R}(i, q) \leftarrow \mathbf{A}(i, q)$ 
    while  $S \neq V$ 
        begin
            find  $q \in V - S$  such that  $\mathbf{R}(i, q)$  is  $\leq_{\oplus}^L$ -minimal
             $S \leftarrow S \cup \{q\}$ 
            for each  $j \in V - S$ 
                 $\mathbf{R}(i, j) \leftarrow \mathbf{R}(i, j) \oplus (\mathbf{R}(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j))$ 
        end
    end
```

Classical proofs of Dijkstra's algorithm (for global optimality) assume

Semiring Axioms

- | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| ADD.ASSOCIATIVE | : | $a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ | = | $(a \oplus b) \oplus c$ |
| ADD.COMMUTATIVE | : | $a \oplus b$ | = | $b \oplus a$ |
| ADD.LEFT.ID | : | $\bar{0} \oplus a$ | = | a |
| MULT.ASSOCIATIVE | : | $a \otimes (b \otimes c)$ | = | $(a \otimes b) \otimes c$ |
| MULT.LEFT.ID | : | $\bar{1} \otimes a$ | = | a |
| MULT.RIGHT.ID | : | $a \otimes \bar{1}$ | = | a |
| MULT.LEFT.ANN | : | $\bar{0} \otimes a$ | = | $\bar{0}$ |
| MULT.RIGHT.ANN | : | $a \otimes \bar{0}$ | = | $\bar{0}$ |
| L.DISTRIBUTIVE | : | $a \otimes (b \oplus c)$ | = | $(a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c)$ |
| R.DISTRIBUTIVE | : | $(a \oplus b) \otimes c$ | = | $(a \otimes c) \oplus (b \otimes c)$ |

Classical proofs of Dijkstra's algorithm assume

Additional axioms

$$\text{ADD.SELECTIVE} : a \oplus b \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\text{ADD.ANN} : \bar{1} \oplus a = \bar{1}$$

Note that we can derive

$$\text{RIGHT.ABSORBTION} : a \oplus (a \otimes b) = a$$

and this gives (right) inflationarity, $\forall a, b : a \leq a \otimes b$.

Our goal will be simpler

Theorem 9.1

Given adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} and source vertex $i \in V$, Dijkstra's algorithm will compute $\mathbf{R}(i, _)$ such that

$$\forall j \in V : \mathbf{R}(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in V} \mathbf{R}(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j).$$

That is, it computes one row of the solution for the right equation

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{XA} \oplus \mathbf{I}.$$

What will we assume?

Setting Axioms

$$\text{ADD.ASSOCIATIVE} : a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$$

$$\text{ADD.COMMUTATIVE} : a \oplus b = b \oplus a$$

$$\text{ADD.LEFT.ID} : \bar{0} \oplus a = a$$

$$\text{MULT.ASSOCIATIVE} : a \otimes (b \otimes c) \not\equiv (a \otimes b) \otimes c$$

$$\text{MULT.LEFT.ID} : \bar{1} \otimes a = a$$

$$\text{MULT.RIGHT.ID} : a \otimes \bar{1} \not\equiv a$$

$$\text{MULT.LEFT.ANN} : \bar{0} \otimes a \not\equiv \bar{0}$$

$$\text{MULT.RIGHT.ANN} : a \otimes \bar{0} \not\equiv \bar{0}$$

$$\text{L/DISTRIBUTIVE} : a \otimes (b \otimes c) \not\equiv (a \otimes b) \otimes (a \otimes c)$$

$$\text{R/DISTRIBUTIVE} : (a \otimes b) \otimes c \not\equiv (a \otimes b) \otimes (b \otimes c)$$

What will we assume?

Additional axioms

$$\text{ADD.SELECTIVE} : a \oplus b \in \{a, b\}$$

$$\text{ADD.ANN} : \bar{1} \oplus a = \bar{1}$$

$$\text{RIGHT.ABSORBTION} : a \oplus (a \otimes b) = a$$

Note that we can no longer derive RIGHT.ABSORBTION, so we must assume it.

Dijkstra's algorithm, annotated version

Subscripts make proofs by induction easier

begin

$S_1 \leftarrow \{i\}$

$\mathbf{R}_1(i, i) \leftarrow \bar{1}$ **for each** $q \in V - S_1 : \mathbf{R}_1(i, q) \leftarrow \mathbf{A}(i, q)$

for each $k = 2, 3, \dots, |V|$

begin

 find $q_k \in V - S_{k-1}$ such that $\mathbf{R}(i, q)$ is \leq_{\oplus}^L -minimal

$S_k \leftarrow S_{k-1} \cup \{q_k\}$

for each $j \in V - S_k$

$\mathbf{R}_k(i, j) \leftarrow \mathbf{R}_{k-1}(i, j) \oplus (\mathbf{R}_{k-1}(i, q_k) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_k, j))$

end

end

On to the proof ...

Main Claim

$$\forall k : 1 \leq k \leq |V| \implies \forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j)$$

Observation 1

$$\forall k : 1 \leq k < |V| \implies \forall j \in S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) = \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, j)$$

This is easy to see — once a node is put into S its weight never changes.

Observation 2

Observation 2

$$\forall k : 1 \leq k \leq |V| \implies \forall q \in S_k : \forall w \in V - S_k : R_k(i, q) \leq R_k(i, w)$$

By induction.

Base : Need $\bar{1} \leq A(i, w)$. OK

Induction. Assume

$$\forall q \in S_k : \forall w \in V - S_k : R_k(i, q) \leq R_k(i, w)$$

and show

$$\forall q \in S_{k+1} : \forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : R_{k+1}(i, q) \leq R_{k+1}(i, w)$$

Since $S_{k+1} = S_k \cup \{q_{k+1}\}$, this means showing

- (1) $\forall q \in S_k : \forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : R_{k+1}(i, q) \leq R_{k+1}(i, w)$
- (2) $\forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : R_{k+1}(i, q_{k+1}) \leq R_{k+1}(i, w)$

By Observation 1, showing (1) is the same as

$$\forall q \in S_k : \forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \leq \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, w)$$

which expands to (by definition of $\mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, w)$)

$$\forall q \in S_k : \forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, w) \oplus (\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, w))$$

But $\mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, w)$ by the induction hypothesis, and

$\mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \leq (\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, w))$ by the induction hypothesis and RINF.

Since $a \leq_{\oplus}^L b \wedge a \leq_{\oplus}^L c \implies a \leq_{\oplus}^L (b \oplus c)$, we are done.

By Observation 1, showing (2) is the same as showing

$$\forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \leq \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, w)$$

which expands to

$$\forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, w) \oplus (\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, w))$$

But $\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, w)$ since q_{k+1} was chosen to be minimal, and
 $\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \leq (\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, w))$ by RINF.

Since $a \leq_{\oplus}^L b \wedge a \leq_{\oplus}^L c \implies a \leq_{\oplus}^L (b \oplus c)$, we are done.

Observation 3

Observation 3

$$\forall k : 1 \leq k \leq |V| \implies \forall w \in V - S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, w) = \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, w)$$

Proof: By induction:

Base : easy, since

$$\bigoplus_{q \in S_1} \mathbf{R}_1(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, w) = \bar{1} \otimes \mathbf{A}(i, w) = \mathbf{A}(i, w) = \mathbf{R}_1(i, w)$$

Induction step. Assume

$$\forall w \in V - S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, w) = \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, w)$$

and show

$$\forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, w) = \bigoplus_{q \in S_{k+1}} \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, w)$$

By Observation 1, and a bit of rewriting, this means we must show

$$\forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, w) = \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, w) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, w)$$

Using the induction hypothesis, this becomes

$$\forall w \in V - S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, w) = \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, w) \oplus \mathbf{R}_k(i, w)$$

But this is exactly how $\mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, w)$ is computed in the algorithm.

Proof of Main Claim

Main Claim

$$\forall k : 1 \leq k \leq |V| \implies \forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j)$$

Proof : By induction on k .

Base case: $S_1 = \{i\}$ and the claim is easy.

Induction: Assume that

$$\forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j)$$

We must show that

$$\forall j \in S_{k+1} : \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_{k+1}} \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j)$$

Since $S_{k+1} = S_k \cup \{q_{k+1}\}$, this means we must show

- (1) $\forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_{k+1}} \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j)$
- (2) $\mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, q_{k+1}) = \mathbf{I}(i, q_{k+1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_{k+1}} \mathbf{R}_{k+1}(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, q_{k+1})$

By use Observation 1, showing (1) is the same as showing

$$\forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_{k+1}} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) = \mathbf{I}(i, j) \oplus (\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, j)), \oplus \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, j)$$

By the induction hypothesis, this is equivalent to

$$\forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) = \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) \oplus (\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, j)),$$

Put another way,

$$\forall j \in S_k : \mathbf{R}_k(i, j) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, j)$$

By observation 2 we know $\mathbf{R}_k(i, j) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1})$, and so

$$\mathbf{R}_k(i, j) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \leq \mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, j)$$

by RINF.

To show (2), we use Observation 1 and $\mathbf{I}(i, q_{k+1}) = \bar{0}$ to obtain

$$\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) = \bigoplus_{q \in S_{k+1}} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, q_{k+1})$$

which, since $\mathbf{A}(q_{k+1}, q_{k+1}) = \bar{0}$, is the same as

$$\mathbf{R}_k(i, q_{k+1}) = \bigoplus_{q \in S_k} \mathbf{R}_k(i, q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q, q_{k+1})$$

This then follows directly from Observation 3.

Finding Left Local Solutions?

$$\mathbf{L} = (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{L}) \oplus \mathbf{I} \iff \mathbf{L}^T = (\mathbf{L}^T \otimes {}^T\mathbf{A}^T) \oplus \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathbf{R}^T = (\mathbf{A}^T \otimes {}^T\mathbf{R}^T) \oplus \mathbf{I} \iff \mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R} \otimes \mathbf{A}) \oplus \mathbf{I}$$

where

$$a \otimes {}^T b = b \otimes a$$

Notice that this exchanges RINF for LINF!

$$\text{LINF} : \forall a, b : a \leq b \otimes a$$

Conclusion

- Complexity of solving for left local optima?
 - ▶ Previous work has shown that Bellman-Ford will find a solution as long as only simple paths are explored — but no time bounds are known.
 - ▶ But, now we know that $O(V^3)$ will due with Dijkstra's greedy algorithm.
 - ▶ Could do better in sparse graphs using Fibonacci heaps ...