Complexity Theory Lecture 8

Anui Dawar

University of Cambridge Computer Laboratory

Easter Term 2013

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1213/Complexity/

Responses to NP-Completeness

Confronted by an NP-complete problem, say constructing a timetable, what can one do?

- It's a single instance, does asymptotic complexity matter?
- What's the critical size? Is scalability important?
- Are there guaranteed restrictions on the input? Will a special purpose algorithm suffice?
- Will an approximate solution suffice? Are performance guarantees required?
- Are there useful heuristics that can constrain a search? Ways of ordering choices to control backtracking?

Anuj Dawar May 14, 2013 Anuj Dawar May 14, 2013 3 4 Complexity Theory Complexity Theory Validity Validity We define VAL—the set of *valid* Boolean expressions—to be those $\overline{VAL} = \{ \phi \mid \phi \notin VAL \}$ —the *complement* of VAL is in NP. Boolean expressions for which every assignment of truth values to variables yields an expression equivalent to true. Guess a *falsifying* truth assignment and verify it. Such an algorithm does not work for VAL. $\phi \in \mathsf{VAL} \iff \neg \phi \notin \mathsf{SAT}$ In this case, we have to determine whether *every* truth assignment By an exhaustive search algorithm similar to the one for SAT, VAL results in true—a requirement that does not sit as well with the is in $\mathsf{TIME}(n^2 2^n)$. definition of acceptance by a nondeterministic machine.

Anuj Dawar

May 14, 2013

languages.

Define,

Anuj Dawar

Complementation

If we interchange accepting and rejecting states in a deterministic

machine that accepts the language L, we get one that accepts \overline{L} .

Complexity classes defined in terms of nondeterministic machine models are not necessarily closed under complementation of

If a language $L \in \mathsf{P}$, then also $\overline{L} \in \mathsf{P}$.

co-NP – the languages whose complements are in NP.

5

Succinct Certificates

The complexity class NP can be characterised as the collection of languages of the form:

 $L = \{x \mid \exists y R(x, y)\}$

Where R is a relation on strings satisfying two key conditions

- 1. R is decidable in polynomial time.
- 2. *R* is *polynomially balanced*. That is, there is a polynomial p such that if R(x, y) and the length of x is n, then the length of y is no more than p(n).

Anuj Dawar	May 14, 2013	Anuj Dawar	May 14, 2013
Complexity Theory	7	Complexity Theory	8
Succinct Certificates		co-NP	
y is a <i>certificate</i> for the membership of x in L . Example: If L is SAT, then for a satisfiable expression x , certificate would be a satisfying truth assignment.	a	As co-NP is the collection of complements of la P is closed under complementation, co-NP can as the collection of languages of the form: $L = \{x \mid \forall y \mid y \mid < p(x) \rightarrow R'(x)\}$	also be characterised
		 NP – the collection of languages with succinct membership. co-NP – the collection of languages with succin disqualification. 	

Anuj Dawai

May 14, 2013

May 14, 2013

knowledge:

• P = NP = co-NP

• $P = NP \cap co-NP \neq NP \neq co-NP$

• $P \neq NP \cap co-NP = NP = co-NP$

• $P \neq NP \cap co-NP \neq NP \neq co-NP$

NP

Ρ

Any of the situations is consistent with our present state of

co-NP

9

co-NP-complete

VAL – the collection of Boolean expressions that are *valid* is *co-NP-complete*.

Any language L that is the complement of an NP-complete language is *co-NP-complete*.

Any reduction of a language L_1 to L_2 is also a reduction of $\overline{L_1}$ -the complement of L_1 -to $\overline{L_2}$ -the complement of L_2 .

There is an easy reduction from the complement of SAT to VAL, namely the map that takes an expression to its negation.

 $VAL \in P \Rightarrow P = NP = co-NP$

 $VAL \in NP \Rightarrow NP = co-NP$

Anuj Dawar	May 14, 2013	Anuj Dawar May 14, 2013	3
Complexity Theory	11	Complexity Theory 12	2
Prime Numbers		Primality	
Consider the decision problem PRIME :		Another way of putting this is that Composite is in NP.	
Given a number x , is it prime? This problem is in co-NP.		Pratt (1976) showed that PRIME is in NP, by exhibiting succinct certificates of primality based on:	
$\forall y(y < x \rightarrow (y = 1 \lor \neg(\operatorname{div}(y, x))))$		A number $p > 2$ is <i>prime</i> if, and only if, there is a number $r, 1 < r < p$, such that $r^{p-1} = 1 \mod p$ and $r^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \neq 1 \mod p$ for all <i>prime divisors</i> q of $p-1$.	
Note again, the algorithm that checks for all num \sqrt{n} whether any of them divides n , is not polynomial \sqrt{n} is not polynomial in the size of the input str is log n .	omial, as	Anni Dawar May 14, 2013	

13

May 14, 2013

Primality

In 2002, Agrawal, Kayal and Saxena showed that PRIME is in P.

If a is co-prime to p,

 $(x-a)^p \equiv (x^p - a) \pmod{p}$

if, and only if, p is a prime.

Checking this equivalence would take to long. Instead, the equivalence is checked *modulo* a polynomial $x^r - 1$, for "suitable" r.

The existence of suitable small r relies on deep results in number theory.

Anuj Dawar