

Relating Denotational & Operational Semantics

[p79 et seq.]

PCF denotational semantics — aims

- PCF types $\tau \mapsto$ domains $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.
- Closed PCF terms $M : \tau \mapsto$ elements $\llbracket M \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.
Denotations of open terms will be continuous functions.
- **Compositionality.**
In particular: $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket M' \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M] \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M'] \rrbracket$.
- **Soundness.**
For any type τ , $M \Downarrow_{\tau} V \Rightarrow \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.
- **Adequacy.**
For $\tau = \mathit{bool}$ or nat , $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\tau} V$.

Theorem. For all types τ and closed terms $M_1, M_2 \in \text{PCF}_\tau$, if $\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket$ are equal elements of the domain $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, then $M_1 \cong_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$.

Proof.

$$\mathcal{C}[M_1] \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} V \Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_1] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \quad (\text{soundness})$$

$$\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_2] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \quad (\text{compositionality} \\ \text{on } \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}[M_2] \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} V \quad (\text{adequacy})$$

and symmetrically (& similarly for \Downarrow_{bool}).

□

Compositionality

Proposition. For all typing judgements $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash M' : \tau$, and all contexts $\mathcal{C}[-]$ such that $\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M] : \tau'$ and $\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M'] : \tau'$,

if $[[\Gamma \vdash M]] = [[\Gamma \vdash M']] : [[\Gamma]] \rightarrow [[\tau]]$

then $[[\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M]]] = [[\Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}[M']]] : [[\Gamma']] \rightarrow [[\tau']]$

Proof is by induction on the structure of \mathcal{C}
— straightforward, given how $[[_]]$ was defined.

$$\text{E.g. if } \begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket M'_1 \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket M'_2 \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket &= \text{ev} \circ \langle \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket, \llbracket M_2 \rrbracket \rangle \\ &= \text{ev} \circ \langle \llbracket M'_1 \rrbracket, \llbracket M'_2 \rrbracket \rangle \\ &= \llbracket M'_1 M'_2 \rrbracket . \end{aligned}$$

Soundness

Proposition. For all closed terms $M, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$,

if $M \Downarrow_\tau V$ then $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

Proof: by rule induction for $M \Downarrow_\tau V$

Induction step for $(\Downarrow_{\text{fix}})$ $\frac{M \text{ fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}{\text{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}$

Have to show: $\llbracket M \text{ fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \text{fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{fix}}) \frac{M \text{ fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}{\text{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}$$

Have to show: $\llbracket M \text{ fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \text{fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$

But

$$\llbracket M \text{ fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket M \rrbracket (\llbracket \text{fix } M \rrbracket)$$

by definition
of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

$$= \llbracket M \rrbracket (\text{fix}(\llbracket M \rrbracket))$$

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{fix}}) \frac{M \text{ fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}{\text{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}$$

Have to show: $\llbracket M \text{ fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \text{fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$

But

$$\llbracket M \text{ fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket M \rrbracket (\llbracket \text{fix } M \rrbracket)$$

by definition
of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

$$= \llbracket M \rrbracket (\text{fix}(\llbracket M \rrbracket))$$

$$= \text{fix}(\llbracket M \rrbracket)$$

$\text{fix}(f)$ is a
fixed point
of f

Q.E.D.

$$\text{Induction step for } (\Downarrow_{\text{fix}}) \frac{M \text{ fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}{\text{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}$$

Have to show: $\llbracket M \text{ fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \text{fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$

But

$$\llbracket M \text{ fix}(M) \rrbracket = \llbracket M \rrbracket (\llbracket \text{fix}(M) \rrbracket)$$

by definition
of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

$$= \llbracket M \rrbracket (\text{fix}(\llbracket M \rrbracket))$$

$$= \text{fix}(\llbracket M \rrbracket)$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fix}(M) \rrbracket$$

$\text{fix}(f)$ is a
fixed point
of f

Q.E.D.

Induction step for (\Downarrow_{cbv})

$$M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau . M$$

$$M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

$$M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau . M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

Induction step for (\Downarrow_{cbv})

$$M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau . M$$

$$M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

$$M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau . M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

But $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$

by definition
of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

Induction step for (\Downarrow_{cbv})

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau \ M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

But $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$
 $\stackrel{\text{red arrow}}{=} \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$

Induction step for (\Downarrow_{cbv})

$$M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau . M$$

$$M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

$$M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau . M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

But $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$
 $= \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau . M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$
 $= \llbracket \{x \mapsto \tau\} \vdash M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket)$

by definition
of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

Substitution property

Proposition. Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and that $\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' : \tau'$, so that we also have $\Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] : \tau'$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] \rrbracket (\rho) && (\rho) \\ & = \llbracket \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$.

(Can be proved by induction on the structure of the PCF expression M' .)

Substitution property

Proposition. Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ and that $\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' : \tau'$, so that we also have $\Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] : \tau'$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M'[M/x] \rrbracket (\rho) \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M' \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket]) \end{aligned}$$

(ρ)

for all $\rho \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$.

In particular when $\Gamma = \emptyset$, $\llbracket \{x \mapsto \tau\} \vdash M' \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$ and

$$\llbracket M'[M/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket \{x \mapsto \tau\} \vdash M' \rrbracket (\llbracket M \rrbracket)$$

Induction step for $(\Downarrow_{\text{cbn}})$

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau \ M \quad M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

Suppose $\begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau. M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket \{x \mapsto \tau\} \vdash M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

Induction step for (\Downarrow_{cbn})

$$M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \text{fn } x : \tau . M$$

$$M[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

$$M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V$$

$$\text{Suppose } \begin{cases} \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau . M \rrbracket \\ \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{cases}$$

Have to prove $\llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \llbracket M_1 M_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket \text{fn } x : \tau . M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket \{x \mapsto \tau\} \vdash M \rrbracket (\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &= \llbracket M[M_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{\mathit{nat}, \mathit{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

Adequacy

For any closed PCF terms M and V of *ground* type $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$ with V a value

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

NB. Adequacy does not hold at function types:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

but

$$\mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. (\mathbf{fn} \ y : \tau. y) \ x \not\Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau} \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau. x$$

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.

► Consider M to be $M_1 M_2, \text{fix}(M')$.

↑
of type
nat
or
bool

↑ ↑
 M_1 & M'
are of function type

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.
 - ▶ Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.
2. So we proceed to prove a stronger statement that applies to terms of arbitrary types and implies adequacy.

Adequacy proof idea

1. We cannot proceed to prove the adequacy statement by a straightforward induction on the structure of terms.
 - ▶ Consider M to be $M_1 M_2$, $\mathbf{fix}(M')$.
2. So we proceed to prove a stronger statement that applies to terms of arbitrary types and implies adequacy.

This statement roughly takes the form:

$$\boxed{\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_{\tau} M \text{ for all types } \tau \text{ and all } M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}}$$

where the *formal approximation relations*

$$\triangleleft_{\tau} \subseteq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \times \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

closed PCF
terms of
type τ

are *logically* chosen to allow a proof by induction.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, I

We want that, for $\gamma \in \{nat, bool\}$,

$$[[M]] \triangleleft_{\gamma} M \text{ implies } \underbrace{\forall V ([[M] = [V] \implies M \Downarrow_{\gamma} V)}_{\text{adequacy}}$$

Definition of $d \triangleleft_{\gamma} M$ ($d \in \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket, M \in \text{PCF}_{\gamma}$)
for $\gamma \in \{\text{nat}, \text{bool}\}$

$$n \triangleleft_{\text{nat}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} \mathbf{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}))$$

$$b \triangleleft_{\text{bool}} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (b = \text{true} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \mathbf{true}) \\ \& (b = \text{false} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{\text{bool}} \mathbf{false})$$

Proof of: $\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$ implies adequacy

Case $\gamma = \mathit{nat}$.

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \rrbracket \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\implies n = \llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$$

$$\implies M \Downarrow \mathbf{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \quad \text{by definition of } \triangleleft_{\mathit{nat}}$$

Case $\gamma = \mathit{bool}$ is similar.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, II

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

▶ Consider the case $M = M_1 M_2$.

\rightsquigarrow "logical" definition

relate functions
that send related
arguments to related
results

Definition of

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \quad (f \in ([\tau] \rightarrow [\tau']), M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'})$$

Definition of

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \quad (f \in ([\tau] \rightarrow [\tau']), M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'})$$

$$f \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall x \in [\tau], N \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$$

$$(x \triangleleft_{\tau} N \Rightarrow f(x) \triangleleft_{\tau'} M N)$$

The full

Definition of $d \triangleleft_{\tau} M$ ($d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket, M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$)

$$d \triangleleft_{nat} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{nat} \mathbf{succ}^d(\mathbf{0}))$$

$$d \triangleleft_{bool} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (d = true \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{bool} \mathbf{true}) \\ \& (d = false \Rightarrow M \Downarrow_{bool} \mathbf{false})$$

$$d \triangleleft_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} M \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall e, N (e \triangleleft_{\tau} N \Rightarrow d(e) \triangleleft_{\tau'} M N)$$

Fundamental property

Theorem. For all $\Gamma = \{x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ and all $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, if $d_1 \triangleleft_{\tau_1} M_1, \dots, d_n \triangleleft_{\tau_n} M_n$ then $[\Gamma \vdash M][x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \triangleleft_{\tau} M[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_n/x_n]$.

Fundamental property

Theorem. For all $\Gamma = \{x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ and all $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, if $d_1 \triangleleft_{\tau_1} M_1, \dots, d_n \triangleleft_{\tau_n} M_n$ then $[[\Gamma \vdash M]][x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \triangleleft_{\tau} M[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_n/x_n]$.

NB. The case $\Gamma = \emptyset$ reduces to

$$[[M]] \triangleleft_{\tau} M$$

for all $M \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$.

Requirements on the formal approximation relations, III

We want to be able to proceed by induction.

▶ Consider the case $M = \mathbf{fix}(M')$.

\rightsquigarrow *admissibility* property

Admissibility property

Lemma. For all types τ and $M \in \text{PCF}_\tau$, the set

$$\{ d \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \mid d \triangleleft_\tau M \}$$

is an admissible subset of $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$.

(Easy proof by induction on structure of types τ .)

Further properties

Lemma. For all types τ , elements $d, d' \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$, and terms $M, N, V \in \text{PCF}_\tau$,

1. If $d \sqsubseteq d'$ and $d' \triangleleft_\tau M$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau M$.
2. If $d \triangleleft_\tau M$ and $\forall V (M \Downarrow_\tau V \implies N \Downarrow_\tau V)$ then $d \triangleleft_\tau N$.

(Easy proofs by induction on structure of types τ .)

Fundamental property of the relations \triangleleft_{τ}

Proposition. *If $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is a valid PCF typing, then for all Γ -environments ρ and all Γ -substitutions σ*

$$\rho \triangleleft_{\Gamma} \sigma \Rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \rrbracket(\rho) \triangleleft_{\tau} M[\sigma]$$

(Proof by rule induction for $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ — see p84-86)

- $\rho \triangleleft_{\Gamma} \sigma$ means that $\rho(x) \triangleleft_{\Gamma(x)} \sigma(x)$ holds for each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.
- $M[\sigma]$ is the PCF term resulting from the simultaneous substitution of $\sigma(x)$ for x in M , each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Proof of: $\llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$ implies adequacy

Case $\gamma = \mathit{nat}$.

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \rrbracket \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\implies n = \llbracket M \rrbracket \triangleleft_\gamma M$$

$$\implies M \Downarrow \mathbf{succ}^n(\mathbf{0}) \quad \text{by definition of } \triangleleft_{\mathit{nat}}$$

Case $\gamma = \mathit{bool}$ is similar.