

Complexity Theory

Lecture 11

Anuj Dawar

University of Cambridge Computer Laboratory
Lent Term 2012

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1112/Complexity/>

Inclusions

We have the following inclusions:

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE \subseteq NPSPACE \subseteq EXP$$

where $EXP = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} TIME(2^{n^k})$

Moreover,

$$L \subseteq NL \cap \text{co-NL}$$

$$P \subseteq NP \cap \text{co-NP}$$

$$PSPACE \subseteq NPSPACE \cap \text{co-NPSPACE}$$

Establishing Inclusions

To establish the known inclusions between the main complexity classes, we prove the following.

- $\text{SPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(f(n))$;
- $\text{TIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{NTIME}(f(n))$;
- $\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(f(n))$;
- $\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{TIME}(k^{\log n} + f(n))$;

The first two are straightforward from definitions.

The third is an easy simulation.

The last requires some more work.

Reachability

Recall the **Reachability** problem: given a *directed* graph $G = (V, E)$ and two nodes $a, b \in V$, determine whether there is a path from a to b in G .

A simple search algorithm solves it:

1. mark node a , leaving other nodes unmarked, and initialise set S to $\{a\}$;
2. while S is not empty, choose node i in S : remove i from S and for all j such that there is an edge (i, j) and j is unmarked, mark j and add j to S ;
3. if b is marked, accept else reject.

NL Reachability

We can construct an algorithm to show that the **Reachability** problem is in NL:

1. write the index of node a in the work space;
2. if i is the index currently written on the work space:
 - (a) if $i = b$ then accept, else
guess an index j ($\log n$ bits) and write it on the work space.
 - (b) if (i, j) is not an edge, reject, else replace i by j and return to (2).

We can use the $O(n^2)$ algorithm for [Reachability](#) to show that:

$$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{TIME}(k^{\log n + f(n)})$$

for some constant k .

Let M be a nondeterministic machine working in space bounds $f(n)$.

For any input x of length n , there is a constant c (depending on the number of states and alphabet of M) such that the total number of possible configurations of M within space bounds $f(n)$ is bounded by $n \cdot c^{f(n)}$.

Here, $c^{f(n)}$ represents the number of different possible contents of the work space, and n different head positions on the input.

Configuration Graph

Define the *configuration graph* of M, x to be the graph whose nodes are the possible configurations, and there is an edge from i to j if, and only if, $i \rightarrow_M j$.

Then, M accepts x if, and only if, some accepting configuration is reachable from the starting configuration $(s, \triangleright, x, \triangleright, \varepsilon)$ in the configuration graph of M, x .

Using the $O(n^2)$ algorithm for **Reachability**, we get that M can be simulated by a deterministic machine operating in time

$$c'(nc^{f(n)})^2 \sim c'c^{2(\log n + f(n))} \sim k^{(\log n + f(n))}$$

In particular, this establishes that **NL** \subseteq **P** and **NPSPACE** \subseteq **EXP**.

Savitch's Theorem

Further simulation results for nondeterministic space are obtained by other algorithms for [Reachability](#).

We can show that [Reachability](#) can be solved by a *deterministic* algorithm in $O((\log n)^2)$ space.

Consider the following recursive algorithm for determining whether there is a path from a to b of length at most n (for n a power of 2):

$O((\log n)^2)$ space **Reachability** algorithm:

$\text{Path}(a, b, i)$

if $i = 1$ and $a \neq b$ and (a, b) is not an edge reject

else if (a, b) is an edge or $a = b$ accept

else, for each node x , check:

1. is there a path $a - x$ of length $i/2$; and
2. is there a path $x - b$ of length $i/2$?

if such an x is found, then accept, else reject.

The maximum depth of recursion is $\log n$, and the number of bits of information kept at each stage is $3 \log n$.

Savitch's Theorem - 2

The space efficient algorithm for reachability used on the configuration graph of a nondeterministic machine shows:

$$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(f(n)^2)$$

for $f(n) \geq \log n$.

This yields

$$\text{PSPACE} = \text{NSPACE} = \text{co-NSPACE}.$$

Complementation

A still more clever algorithm for [Reachability](#) has been used to show that nondeterministic space classes are closed under complementation:

If $f(n) \geq \log n$, then

$$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) = \text{co-NSPACE}(f(n))$$

In particular

$$\text{NL} = \text{co-NL}.$$

Complexity Classes

We have established the following inclusions among complexity classes:

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE \subseteq EXP$$

Showing that a problem is **NP**-complete or **PSPACE**-complete, we often say that we have proved it intractable.

While this is not strictly correct, a proof of completeness for these classes does tell us that the problem is structurally difficult.

Similarly, we say that **PSPACE**-complete problems are harder than **NP**-complete ones, even if the running time is not higher.

Logarithmic Space Reductions

We write

$$A \leq_L B$$

if there is a reduction f of A to B that is computable by a deterministic Turing machine using $O(\log n)$ workspace (with a *read-only* input tape and *write-only* output tape).

Note: We can compose \leq_L reductions. So,

$$\text{if } A \leq_L B \text{ and } B \leq_L C \text{ then } A \leq_L C$$

NP-complete Problems

Analysing carefully the reductions we constructed in our proofs of NP-completeness, we can see that SAT and the various other NP-complete problems are actually complete under \leq_L reductions.

Thus, if $SAT \leq_L A$ for some problem in L then not only $P = NP$ but also $L = NP$.

P-complete Problems

It makes little sense to talk of complete problems for the class P with respect to polynomial time reducibility \leq_P .

There are problems that are complete for P with respect to *logarithmic space* reductions \leq_L .

One example is CVP —the circuit value problem.

- If $CVP \in L$ then $L = P$.
- If $CVP \in NL$ then $NL = P$.