# Bit Vector Data Flow Frameworks

#### Uday P. Khedker

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay



May 2011

(日) (四) (코) (코) (코) (코)

# Part 1

# About These Slides

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

# Copyright

These slides constitute the lecture notes for

- MACS L111 Advanced Data Flow Analysis course at Cambridge University, and
- CS 618 Program Analysis course at IIT Bombay.

They have been made available under GNU FDL v1.2 or later (purely for academic or research use) as teaching material accompanying the book:

• Uday Khedker, Amitabha Sanyal, and Bageshri Karkare. *Data Flow Analysis: Theory and Practice*. CRC Press (Taylor and Francis Group). 2009.

Apart from the above book, some slides are based on the material from the following books

- M. S. Hecht. *Flow Analysis of Computer Programs*. Elsevier North-Holland Inc. 1977.
- F. Nielson, H. R. Nielson, and C. Hankin. *Principles of Program Analysis.* Springer-Verlag. 1998.

May 2011



#### Outline

- Live Variables Analysis
- Available Expressions Analysis
- Anticipable Expressions Analysis
- Reaching Definitions Analysis
- Common Features of Bit Vector Frameworks





## Part 2

# Live Variables Analysis

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ 三臣 - のへで









































$$Gen_n = \{ v \mid \text{variable } v \text{ is used in basic block } n \text{ and} \\ \text{is not preceded by a definition of } v \} \\ Kill_n = \{ v \mid \text{basic block } n \text{ contains } a \text{ definition of } v \}$$































6/44



# Data Flow Equations For Live Variables Analysis

$$In_n = (Out_n - Kill_n) \cup Gen_n$$
$$Out_n = \begin{cases} Bl & n \text{ is } End \text{ block} \\ \bigcup_{s \in succ(n)} In_s & \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$





# Data Flow Equations For Live Variables Analysis

$$In_n = (Out_n - Kill_n) \cup Gen_n$$
$$Out_n = \begin{cases} Bl & n \text{ is } End \text{ block} \\ \bigcup_{s \in succ(n)} In_s & \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$





#### **Data Flow Equations For Live Variables Analysis**

$$In_n = (Out_n - Kill_n) \cup Gen_n$$
$$Out_n = \begin{cases} Bl & n \text{ is } End \text{ block} \\ \bigcup_{s \in succ(n)} In_s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- In<sub>n</sub> and Out<sub>n</sub> are sets of variables
- BI is boundary information representin the effect of calling contexts
  - Ø for local variables
  - set of global variables used further in any calling context (conveniently approximated by the set of all global variables)





May 2011

# **Data Flow Equations for Our Example**



$$\begin{array}{ll} ln_{1} = (Out_{1} - Kill_{1}) \cup Gen_{1} \\ Out_{1} = ln_{2} \\ ln_{2} = (Out_{2} - Kill_{2}) \cup Gen_{2} \\ Out_{2} = ln_{3} \cup ln_{4} \\ ln_{3} = (Out_{3} - Kill_{3}) \cup Gen_{3} \\ Out_{3} = ln_{2} \\ ln_{4} = (Out_{4} - Kill_{4}) \cup Gen_{4} \\ Out_{4} = ln_{5} \\ ln_{5} = (Out_{5} - Kill_{5}) \cup Gen_{5} \\ Out_{5} = ln_{6} \\ ln_{6} = (Out_{6} - Kill_{6}) \cup Gen_{6} \\ Out_{6} = ln_{7} \\ ln_{7} = (Out_{7} - Kill_{7}) \cup Gen_{7} \\ Out_{7} = \emptyset \end{array}$$



May 2011

# **Data Flow Equations for Our Example**



$$\begin{array}{ll} ln_1 = (Out_1 - Kill_1) \cup Gen_1\\ Out_1 = ln_2\\ ln_2 = (Out_2 - Kill_2) \cup Gen_2\\ Out_2 = ln_3 \cup ln_4\\ ln_3 = (Out_3 - Kill_3) \cup Gen_3\\ Out_3 = ln_2\\ ln_4 = (Out_4 - Kill_4) \cup Gen_4\\ Out_4 = ln_5\\ ln_5 = (Out_5 - Kill_5) \cup Gen_5\\ Out_5 = ln_6\\ ln_6 = (Out_6 - Kill_6) \cup Gen_6\\ Out_6 = ln_7\\ ln_7 = (Out_7 - Kill_7) \cup Gen_7\\ Out_7 = \emptyset\end{array}$$













#### 9/44



#### 9/44


Uday Khedker

#### **Performing Live Variables Analysis**





#### Local Data Flow Properties for Live Variables Analysis

$$ln_n = (Out_n - Kill_n) \cup Gen_n$$

• Gen<sub>n</sub> : Use not preceded by definition (Ref for a statement)

• *Kill*<sub>n</sub> : Definition anywhere in a block (Def for a statement)





Udav Khed

#### Local Data Flow Properties for Live Variables Analysis

$$ln_n = (Out_n - Kill_n) \cup Gen_n$$

- Gen<sub>n</sub>: Use not preceded by definition (Ref for a statement)
  Upwards exposed use
- *Kill<sub>n</sub>* : Definition anywhere in a block (Def for a statement)
  Stop the effect from being propagated across a block



### Local Data Flow Properties for Live Variables Analysis

Case	Local Inf	ormation	Example	Explanation
1	v∉ Gen <sub>n</sub>	v∉ Kill <sub>n</sub>		
2	$v \in Gen_n$	v ∉ Kill <sub>n</sub>		
3	v∉ Gen <sub>n</sub>	$v \in Kill_n$		
4	$v \in Gen_n$	v ∈ Kill <sub>n</sub>		





Uday Khedke

# Local Data Flow Properties for Live Variables Analysis

Case	Local Information		Example	Explanation
1	v∉ Gen <sub>n</sub>	v∉ Kill <sub>n</sub>	$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} * \mathbf{d} \end{array}$	liveness of $v$ is unaffected by the basic block
2	$v \in Gen_n$	v∉ Kill <sub>n</sub>	$\begin{array}{l} a=b+c\\ b=v*d \end{array}$	v becomes live before the basic block
3	v∉ Gen <sub>n</sub>	v ∈ Kill <sub>n</sub>	$\begin{array}{l} a=b+c\\ v=c*d \end{array}$	v ceases to be live before the statement
4	$v \in Gen_n$	$v \in Kill_n$	a = v + c $v = c * d$	liveness of $v$ is killed but $v$ becomes live before the statement



### Using Data Flow Information of Live Variables Analysis

• Used for register allocation.

If variable x is live in a basic block b, it is a potential candidate for register allocation.





#### Using Data Flow Information of Live Variables Analysis

• Used for register allocation.

If variable x is live in a basic block b, it is a potential candidate for register allocation.

Used for dead code elimination.
 If variable x is not live after an assignment x = ..., then the assginment is redundant and can be deleted as dead code.





#### **Tutorial Problem 1 for Liveness Analysis**



Loc	Local Data Flow Information					
	Gen	Kill				
n1	Ø	$\{a, b, c, n\}$				
n2	$\{a,n\}$	Ø				
n3	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$				
n4	$\{a\}$	Ø				
n5	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, t1\}$				
nб	Ø	Ø				



#### **Tutorial Problem 1 for Liveness Analysis**



May 2011

Loc	Local Data Flow Information					
	Gen	Kill				
n1	Ø	$\{a, b, c, n\}$				
n2	$\{a,n\}$	Ø				
n3	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$				
n4	$\{a\}$	Ø				
n5	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,t1\}$				
nб	Ø	Ø				

	Global Data Flow Information							
	Iteratio	on #1	Iteration #2					
	Out	In	Out	In				
nб	Ø	Ø						
n5	Ø {a, b, c}							
n4	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$						
n3	Ø	$\{a\}$						
n2	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c, n\}$						
n1	$\{a, b, c, n\}$	Ø						



#### **Tutorial Problem 1 for Liveness Analysis**



May 2011

Loc	Local Data Flow Information						
	Gen	Kill					
n1	Ø	$\{a, b, c, n\}$					
n2	$\{a,n\}$	Ø					
n3	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$					
n4	$\{a\}$	Ø					
n5	$\{a,b,c\}$	$\{a, t1\}$					
n6	Ø	Ø					

	Global Data Flow Information								
	Iteratio	on #1	Iteration #2						
	Out	In	Out	In					
16	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø					
า5	$\emptyset \qquad \{a, b, c\}$		Ø	$\{a, b, c\}$					
า4	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$					
า3	Ø	$\{a\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$					
12	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c, n\}$	$\{a, b, c, n\}$	$\{a, b, c, n\}$					
า1	$\{a, b, c, n\}$	Ø	$\{a, b, c, n\}$	Ø					

#### 15/44

Uday Khedke

# Tutorial Problem 2 for Liveness Analysis: C Program



#### Tutorial Problem 2 for Liveness Analysis: Control Flow Graph



#### 16/44

#### Tutorial Problem 2 for Liveness Analysis: Control Flow Graph



Uday Khedker

### **Solution of the Tutorial Problem**

	Local		Global Information				
Block	Inforn	Information		on # 1	Iteratio	Iteration # 2	
	Gen <sub>n</sub>	Kill <sub>n</sub>	Out <sub>n</sub>	In <sub>n</sub>	Out <sub>n</sub>	In <sub>n</sub>	
n <sub>8</sub>	$\{a, b, c\}$	Ø	Ø	$\{a, b, c\}$	Ø	$\{a, b, c\}$	
n <sub>7</sub>	$\{a,b\}$	Ø	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,b,c\}$	
n <sub>6</sub>	$\{b, c\}$	Ø	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,b,c\}$	$\{a,b,c\}$	
n <sub>5</sub>	$\{a,b\}$	$\{d\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,b,c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,b,c\}$	
<i>n</i> 4	$\{a,b\}$	{ <i>c</i> }	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,b\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,b\}$	
<i>n</i> 3	$\{b, c\}$	{ <i>c</i> }	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,b,c\}$	
<i>n</i> <sub>2</sub>	$\{a, c\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a,c\}$	
$n_1$	{ <i>c</i> }	$\{a, b, d\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	{ <i>c</i> }	$\{a, b, c\}$	{ <i>c</i> }	





Uday Khe

# **Tutorial Problems for Liveness Analysis**

- Perform analysis with universal set 𝒱ar as the initialization at internal nodes.
- Modify the previous program so that some data flow value computed in second iteration differs from the corresponding data flow value computed in the first iteration. (No structural changes, suggest at least two distinct kinds of modifications)
- Modify the above program so that some data flow value computed in third iteration differs from the corresponding data flow value computed in the second iteration.

Write a C program corresponding to the modified control flow graph



#### Part 3

# Available Expressions Analysis

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで









Uday Khed

# Local Data Flow Properties for Available Expressions Analysis

- $Gen_n = \{ e \mid expression \ e \ is \ evaluated \ in \ basic \ block \ n \ and this \ evaluation \ is \ not \ followed \ by \ a \ definition \ of \ any \ operand \ of \ e \}$
- $Kill_n = \{ e \mid \text{basic block } n \text{ contains a definition of an operand of } e \}$

	Entity	Manipulation	Exposition
Gen <sub>n</sub>	Expression	Use	Downwards
Kill <sub>n</sub>	Expression	Modification	Anywhere



#### Data Flow Equations For Available Expressions Analysis

$$In_n = \begin{cases} BI & n \text{ is } Start \text{ block} \\ \bigcap_{p \in pred(n)} Out_p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Out_n = Gen_n \cup (In_n - Kill_n)$$





## Data Flow Equations For Available Expressions Analysis

$$In_n = \begin{cases} BI & n \text{ is } Start \text{ block} \\ \bigcap_{p \in pred(n)} Out_p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Out_n = Gen_n \cup (In_n - Kill_n)$$

Alternatively,

$$Out_n = f_n(In_n),$$
 where

$$f_n(X) = Gen_n \cup (X - Kill_n)$$





# Data Flow Equations For Available Expressions Analysis

$$In_n = \begin{cases} BI & n \text{ is } Start \text{ block} \\ \bigcap_{p \in pred(n)} Out_p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Out_n = Gen_n \cup (In_n - Kill_n)$$

Alternatively,

$$Out_n = f_n(In_n),$$
 where

$$f_n(X) = Gen_n \cup (X - Kill_n)$$





#### Data Flow Equations For Available Expressions Analysis

$$In_n = \begin{cases} BI & n \text{ is } Start \text{ block} \\ \bigcap_{p \in pred(n)} Out_p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$Out_n = Gen_n \cup (In_n - Kill_n)$$

Alternatively,

$$Out_n = f_n(In_n),$$
 where

$$f_n(X) = Gen_n \cup (X - Kill_n)$$

- In<sub>n</sub> and Out<sub>n</sub> are sets of expressions
- BI is  $\emptyset$  for expressions involving a local variable



# Using Data Flow Information of Available Expressions Analysis

• Common subexpression elimination





# Using Data Flow Information of Available Expressions Analysis

- Common subexpression elimination
  - ▶ If an expression is available at the entry of a block *b* and





Uday Khedk

# Using Data Flow Information of Available Expressions Analysis

- Common subexpression elimination
  - If an expression is available at the entry of a block b and
  - a computation of the expression exists in b such that



Uday Khedk

# Using Data Flow Information of Available Expressions Analysis

- Common subexpression elimination
  - If an expression is available at the entry of a block b and
  - ▶ a computation of the expression exists in *b* such that
  - it is not preceded by a definition of any of its operands



Uday Khedl

# Using Data Flow Information of Available Expressions Analysis

- Common subexpression elimination
  - If an expression is available at the entry of a block b and
  - ▶ a computation of the expression exists in *b* such that
  - it is not preceded by a definition of any of its operands

Then the expression is redundant



# Using Data Flow Information of Available Expressions Analysis

- Common subexpression elimination
  - If an expression is available at the entry of a block b and
  - ▶ a computation of the expression exists in *b* such that
  - it is not preceded by a definition of any of its operands

Then the expression is redundant

• A redundant expression is upwards exposed whereas the expressions in *Gen<sub>n</sub>* are downwards exposed





#### 23/44

# An Example of Available Expressions Analysis



Let 
$$e_1 \equiv a * b$$
,  $e_2 \equiv b * c$ ,  $e_3 \equiv c * d$ ,  $e_4 \equiv d * e$ 

Node	Computed		Killed		Available		Redund.	
1	$\{e_1, e_2\}$	1100	Ø	0000	Ø	0000	Ø	0000
2	$\{e_3\}$	0010	Ø	0000	$\{e_1\}$	1000	Ø	0000
3	Ø	0000	$\{e_2, e_3\}$	0110	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
4	Ø	0000	$\{e_3, e_4\}$	0011	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
5	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1\}$	1000	$\{e_1\}$	1000
6	${e_4}$	0001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	$\{e_{4}\}$	0001





#### 23/44

### An Example of Available Expressions Analysis

#### Initialisation



Let 
$$e_1 \equiv a * b$$
,  $e_2 \equiv b * c$ ,  $e_3 \equiv c * d$ ,  $e_4 \equiv d * e$ 

Node	Computed		Killed		Available		Redund.	
1	$\{e_1, e_2\}$	1100	Ø	0000	Ø	0000	Ø	0000
2	$\{e_3\}$	0010	Ø	0000	${e_1}$	1000	Ø	0000
3	Ø	0000	$\{e_2, e_3\}$	0110	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
4	Ø	0000	$\{e_3, e_4\}$	0011	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
5	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1\}$	1000	$\{e_1\}$	1000
6	${e_4}$	0001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	$\{e_4\}$	0001





#### 23/44

#### An Example of Available Expressions Analysis

Iteration #1



Let 
$$e_1 \equiv a * b$$
,  $e_2 \equiv b * c$ ,  $e_3 \equiv c * d$ ,  $e_4 \equiv d * e$ 

Node	Computed		Killed		Available		Redund.	
1	$\{e_1, e_2\}$	1100	Ø	0000	Ø	0000	Ø	0000
2	$\{e_3\}$	0010	Ø	0000	${e_1}$	1000	Ø	0000
3	Ø	0000	$\{e_2, e_3\}$	0110	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
4	Ø	0000	$\{e_3, e_4\}$	0011	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
5	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1\}$	1000	$\{e_1\}$	1000
6	${e_4}$	0001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	$\{e_4\}$	0001





#### 23/44

#### An Example of Available Expressions Analysis

Iteration #2



Let 
$$e_1 \equiv a * b$$
,  $e_2 \equiv b * c$ ,  $e_3 \equiv c * d$ ,  $e_4 \equiv d * e$ 

Node	Computed		Killed		Available		Redund.	
1	$\{e_1, e_2\}$	1100	Ø	0000	Ø	0000	Ø	0000
2	$\{e_3\}$	0010	Ø	0000	${e_1}$	1000	Ø	0000
3	Ø	0000	$\{e_2, e_3\}$	0110	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
4	Ø	0000	$\{e_3, e_4\}$	0011	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
5	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1\}$	1000	$\{e_1\}$	1000
6	${e_4}$	0001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	$\{e_{4}\}$	0001





#### 23/44

#### An Example of Available Expressions Analysis

#### **Final Result**



Let 
$$e_1 \equiv a * b$$
,  $e_2 \equiv b * c$ ,  $e_3 \equiv c * d$ ,  $e_4 \equiv d * e$ 

Node	Computed		Killed		Available		Redund.	
1	$\{e_1, e_2\}$	1100	Ø	0000	Ø	0000	Ø	0000
2	$\{e_3\}$	0010	Ø	0000	$\{e_1\}$	1000	Ø	0000
3	Ø	0000	$\{e_2, e_3\}$	0110	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
4	Ø	0000	$\{e_3, e_4\}$	0011	$\{e_1, e_3\}$	1010	Ø	0000
5	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1\}$	1000	$\{e_1\}$	1000
6	${e_4}$	0001	Ø	0000	$\{e_1, e_4\}$	1001	$\{e_{4}\}$	0001




#### **Tutorial Problem for Available Expressions Analysis**



# Solution of the Tutorial Problem

Bit vector 
$$a * b | a + b | a - b | a - c | b + c$$

					Gl	obal Info	rmatior	1
Node	Local Information		Iteration # 1		Changes in iteration $\# 2$		Redundant <sub>n</sub>	
	Gen <sub>n</sub>	Kill <sub>n</sub>	AntGen <sub>n</sub>	ln <sub>n</sub>	Outn	Inn	Outn	
<i>n</i> <sub>1</sub>	10001	11111	00000	00000	10001			00000
<i>n</i> <sub>2</sub>	00010	11101	00010	10001	00010			00000
<i>n</i> <sub>3</sub>	00000	00011	00001	10001	10000	10000		00000
<i>n</i> <sub>4</sub>	10100	00011	10100	10000	10100			10000
<i>n</i> 5	01000	00000	01000	10000	11000			00000
<i>n</i> 6	00001	00000	00001	11000	11001			00000
n <sub>7</sub>	01000	00000	01000	10000	11000			00000
<i>n</i> 8	00011	00000	00011	00000	00011			00000



Uday Khedker









RI	Nodo	Initializ	zation $\mathbb U$	Initializ	zation $\emptyset$
Ы	Noue	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn	In <sub>n</sub>	Out <sub>n</sub>
	1				
	2				
Ø	3				
V	4				
	5				
	6				
	1				
	2				
ΠΤ	3				
U	4				
	5				
	6				







RI	Nodo	Initializ	zation $\mathbb U$	Initializ	zation $\emptyset$
Ы	Noue	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn
	1	000	100		
	2	100	110		
Ø	3	110	100		
Ŵ	4	110	110		
	5	100	101		
	6	101	111		
	1				
	2				
ΠΥ	3				
U	4				
	5				
	6				







RI	Nodo	Initializ	zation $\mathbb U$	Initialization $\emptyset$		
<i>ВІ</i> Ø	Noue	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn	
	1	000	100	000	100	
	2	100	110	000	010	
Ø	3	110	100	010	000	
Ŵ	4	110	110	010	010	
	5	100	101	000	001	
	6	101	111	001	011	
	1					
	2					
πт	3					
U	4					
	5					
	6					





#### **Further Tutorial Problems**



RI	Nodo	Initializ	zation $\mathbb U$	Initializ	zation $\emptyset$
ы	Noue	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn	In <sub>n</sub>	Out <sub>n</sub>
	1	000	100	000	100
	2	100	110	000	010
Ø	3	110	100	010	000
Ŵ	4	110	110	010	010
	5	100	101	000	001
	6	101	111	001	011
	1	111	111		
	2	101	111		
ΠΥ	3	111	101		
U	4	111	111		
	5	101	101		
	6	101	111		



Uday Khedker

#### **Further Tutorial Problems**



RI	Nodo	Initializ	zation $\mathbb U$	Initializ	zation $\emptyset$
<i>ВІ</i> Ø	Noue	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn	In <sub>n</sub>	Out <sub>n</sub>
	1	000	100	000	100
	2	100	110	000	010
Ø	3	110	100	010	000
Ŵ	4	110	110	010	010
	5	100	101	000	001
	6	101	111	001	011
	1	111	111	111	111
	2	101	111	001	011
ПΪ	3	111	101	011	001
U	4	111	111	011	011
	5	101	101	001	001
	6	101	111	001	011



Uday Khedker

#### More Tutorial Problems

Number of iterations assuming that the order of  $In_i$  and  $Out_i$  computation is fixed ( $In_i$  is computed first and then  $Out_i$  is computed)



	Initialization				
Traversal	$\mathbb{U}$		Ø		
Traversar	BI		BI		
	$\mathbb{U}$	Ø	$\mathbb{U}$	Ø	
Forward					
Backward					





#### More Tutorial Problems

Number of iterations assuming that the order of  $In_i$  and  $Out_i$  computation is fixed ( $In_i$  is computed first and then  $Out_i$  is computed)



	Initialization				
Travorsal	I	J	Ø		
Traversar	BI		BI		
	$\mathbb{U}$	Ø	$\mathbb{U}$	Ø	
Forward	2	1	2	1	
Backward					





#### More Tutorial Problems

Number of iterations assuming that the order of  $In_i$  and  $Out_i$  computation is fixed ( $In_i$  is computed first and then  $Out_i$  is computed)



	Initialization				
Travorsal	I	J	Ø		
Traversar	E	81	BI		
	$\mathbb{U}$	Ø	$\mathbb{U}$	Ø	
Forward	2	1	2	1	
Backward	3	4	4	2	





# Still More Tutorial Problems 🙂

#### A New Data Flow Framework

 Partially available expressions at program point p are expressions that are computed and remain unmodified along some path reaching p. The data flow equations for partially available expressions analysis are same as the data flow equations of available expressions analysis except that the confluence is changed to ∪.

Perform partially available expressions analysis for the previous example program.





# **Result of Partially Available Expressions Analysis**

Bit vector 
$$a * b a + b a - b a - c b + c$$

					G	lobal Infe	ormation	
Node	Loc	Local Information		Iteration # 1 C ite		Changes in iteration $\# 2$		ParRedund <sub>n</sub>
	Gen <sub>n</sub>	Kill <sub>n</sub>	AntGen <sub>n</sub>	In <sub>n</sub>	Outn	ln <sub>n</sub>	Outn	
$n_1$	10001	11111	00000	00000	10001			00000
<i>n</i> <sub>2</sub>	00010	11101	00010	10001	00010			00000
n <sub>3</sub>	00000	00011	00001	10001	10000	11101	11100	00001
<i>n</i> 4	10100	00011	10100	10000	10100	11100	11100	10100
n <sub>5</sub>	01000	00000	01000	10000	11000	11101	11101	01000
n <sub>6</sub>	00001	00000	00001	11000	11001	11101	11101	00001
n <sub>7</sub>	01000	00000	01000	11101	11101			01000
n <sub>8</sub>	00011	00000	00011	11111	11111			00011





#### Part 4

# Anticipable Expressions Analysis

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

#### **Defining Anticipable Expressions Analysis**

- An expression *e* is anticipable at a program point *p*, if every path from *p* to the program exit contains an evaluation of *e* which is not preceded by a redefinition of any operand of *e*.
- Application : Safety of Code Hoisting





#### Safety of Code Motion



Hoisting a/b to the exit of 1 is unsafe ( $\equiv$  can change the behaviour of the optimized program)





#### Safety of Code Motion



Hoisting a/b to the exit of 1 is unsafe ( $\equiv$  can change the behaviour of the optimized program)

$$1 \quad \text{if } (b == 0)$$
False
$$2 \quad c = a/b \quad 3 \quad \text{print } a/b$$
??





#### Safety of Code Motion



Hoisting a/b to the exit of 1 is unsafe ( $\equiv$  can change the behaviour of the optimized program)



Udav Khedke

A guarded computation of an expression should not be converted to an unguarded computation  $\hfill \ensuremath{\square}$ 



Uday Khed

## Defining Data Flow Analysis for Anticipable Expressions Analysis

# $Gen_n = \{ e \mid expression e \text{ is evaluated in basic block } n \text{ and} \\ this evaluation is not preceded (within n) by a \\ definition of any operand of e \}$

 $Kill_n = \{ e \mid \text{basic block } n \text{ contains a definition of an operand of } e \}$ 

	Entity	Manipulation	Exposition
Gen <sub>n</sub>	Expression	Use	Upwards
Kill <sub>n</sub>	Expression	Modification	Anywhere



#### Data Flow Equations for Anticipable Expressions Analysis

$$In_n = Gen_n \cup (Out_n - Kill_n)$$
$$Out_n = \begin{cases} BI & n \text{ is } End \text{ block} \\ \bigcap_{s \in succ(n)} In_s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $In_n$  and  $Out_n$  are sets of expressions





#### **Tutorial Problem for Anticipable Expressions Analysis**



## **Result of Anticipable Expressions Analysis**

Bit vector 
$$a * b | a + b | a - b | a - c | b + c$$

	Local Information		Global Information				
Block			Iteration $\# 1$		Changes in iteration # 2		
	Gen <sub>n</sub>	Kill <sub>n</sub>	Out <sub>n</sub>	ln <sub>n</sub>	Out <sub>n</sub>	In <sub>n</sub>	
n <sub>8</sub>	00011	00000	00000	00011			
n <sub>7</sub>	01000	00000	00011	01011	00001	01001	
n <sub>6</sub>	00001	00000	01011	01011	01001	01001	
n <sub>5</sub>	01000	00000	01011	01011	01001	01001	
<i>n</i> <sub>4</sub>	10100	00011	01011	11100	01001	11100	
<i>n</i> 3	00001	00011	01000	01001	01000	01001	
<i>n</i> <sub>2</sub>	00010	11101	00011	00010			
<i>n</i> <sub>1</sub>	00000	11111	00000	00000			





#### Part 5

# Reaching Definitions Analysis

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

# **Defining Reaching Definitions Analysis**

- A definition d<sub>x</sub> : x = y reaches a program point u if it appears (without a refefinition of x) on some path from program entry to u
- Application : Copy Propagation

A use of a variable x at a program point u can be replaced by y if  $d_x : x = y$  is the only definition which reaches p and y is not modified between the point of  $d_x$  and p.





Uday Khedke

## Defining Data Flow Analysis for Reaching Definitions Analysis

Let  $d_v$  be a definition of variable v

$$Gen_n = \{ d_v \mid \text{variable } v \text{ is defined in basic block } n \text{ and} \\ \text{this definition is not followed (within } n) \\ \text{by a definition of } v \}$$

 $Kill_n = \{ d_v \mid \text{basic block } n \text{ contains a definition of } v \}$ 

	Entity	Manipulation	Exposition
Gen <sub>n</sub>	Definition	Occurence	Downwards
Kill <sub>n</sub>	Definition	Occurence	Anywhere



#### Data Flow Equations for Reaching Definitions Analysis

$$In_n = \begin{cases} BI & n \text{ is } Start \text{ block} \\ \bigcup_{p \in pred(n)} Out_p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$Out_n = Gen_n \cup (In_n - Kill_n)$$
$$BI = \{d_x : x = undef \mid x \in \mathbb{V}ar\}$$

 $In_n$  and  $Out_n$  are sets of definitions





#### **Tutorial Problem for Reaching Definitions Analysis**



## **Result of Reaching Definitions Analysis**

	Least		Global Information			
Block	Infe	ormation	Iteration $\# 1$		Changes in iteration # 2	
ш	Genn	Kill <sub>n</sub>	Inn	Outn	Inn	Out <sub>n</sub>
<i>n</i> <sub>1</sub>	$\{ egin{array}{c} a_1, & & \ b_1, & & \ d_1 \} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \{a_0, a_1, \\ b_0, b_1, b_2, \\ d_0, d_1, d_2 \} \end{array} $	$\{a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_0, d_1\}$		
<i>n</i> <sub>2</sub>	$\{b_2\}$	$\{b_0, b_1, b_2\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_0, d_1\}$	$\{a_1, b_2, c_0, d_1\}$		
n <sub>3</sub>	$\{c_1\}$	$\{c_0,c_1,c_2\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_0, d_1\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1\}$	$\substack{\{a_1, b_1, c_0, \\ c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2\}}$	$\{ \substack{a_1,  b_1, \ c_1,  d_1,  d_2 \} }$
n <sub>4</sub>	$\{c_2\}$	$\{c_0,c_1,c_2\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_2, d_1\}$	$\{ egin{array}{c} a_1, b_1, \ c_1, d_1, d_2 \} \end{array}$	$\{a_1, b_1, \\ c_2, d_1, d_2\}$
n <sub>5</sub>	$\{d_2\}$	$\{d_0, d_1, d_2\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_2\}$	$\{ egin{array}{c} a_1, b_1, \ c_1, d_1, d_2 \} \end{array}$	
n <sub>6</sub>	Ø	Ø	$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_2\}$	$\{a_1, b_1, c_1, d_2\}$		
n <sub>7</sub>	Ø	Ø	$\{\begin{array}{c} \{a_1, b_1, c_1, \\ c_2, d_1, d_2\} \end{array}$	$\{\begin{array}{c} {a_1,b_1,c_1,} \\ {c_2,d_1,d_2} \} \end{array}$		
n <sub>8</sub>	Ø	Ø	$ \begin{array}{c} \{a_1, b_1, b_2, c_0, \\ c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2\} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \{a_1, b_1, b_2, c_0, \\ c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2\} \end{array} $		



Uday Khedker

#### Part 6

# *Common Features of Bit Vector Data Flow Frameworks*

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Uday Khedke

## **Defining Local Data Flow Properties**

• Live variables analysis

	Entity	Manipulation	Exposition
Gen <sub>n</sub>	Variable	Use	Upwards
Kill <sub>n</sub>	Variable	Modification	Anywhere

• Analysis of expressions

	Entity	Manipulation	Exposition	
	Entity	Manipulation	Availability	Anticipability
Genn	Expression	Use	Downwards	Upwards
Kill <sub>n</sub>	Expression	Modification	Anywhere	Anywhere





# $\begin{array}{rcl} X_i &=& f(Y_i) \\ Y_i &=& \prod X_j \end{array}$





42/44























42/44

#### A Taxonomy of Bit Vector Data Flow Frameworks

	Confluence	
	Union	Intersection
Forward	Reaching Definitions	Available Expressions
Backward	Live Variables	Anticipable Exressions
Bidirectional		Partial Redundancy Elimination
(limited)		(Original M-R Formulation)





Any Path			
	Confluence		
	Union	Intersection	
Forward	Reaching Definitions	Available Expressions	
Backward	Live Variables	Anticipable Exressions	
Bidirectional		Partial Redundancy Elimination	
(limited)		(Original M-R Formulation)	




Any Path				
		All Paths		
	Confluence			
	Union	Intersection		
Forward	Reaching Definitions	Available Expressions		
Backward	Live Variables	Anticipable Exressions		
Bidirectional		Partial Redundancy Elimination		
(limited)		(Original M-R Formulation)		





Any Path All Paths			
	Confluence		
	Union	Intersection	
Forward	Reaching Definitions	Available Expressions	
Backward	Live Variables	Anticipable Exressions	
Bidirectional		Partial Redundancy Elimination	
(limited)		(Original M-R Formulation)	





Any Path All Paths			
	Confluence		
	Union	Intersection	
Forward	Reaching Definitions	Available Expressions	
Backward	Live Variables	Anticipable Exressions	
Bidirectional		Partial Redundancy Elimination	
(limited)		(Original M-R Formulation)	





	Any	All Paths		
		Confluence		
	Union	Intersection		
Forward	Reaching Definitions	Available Expressions		
Backward	Live Variables	Anticipable Exressions		
Bidirectional-		Partial Redundancy Elimination		
(limited)		(Original M-R Formulation)		
May 2011				









Liveness

Sequence of blocks  $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$  which is a prefix of some potential execution path starting at  $b_1$  such that:

- *b<sub>k</sub>* contains an upwards exposed use of *v*, and
- no other block on the path contains an assignment to v.







Anticipability

Sequence of blocks  $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ which is a prefix of some potential execution path starting at  $b_1$  such that:

- b<sub>k</sub> contains an upwards exposed use of a \* b. and
- no other block on the path contains an assignment to a or b, and
- every path starting at b<sub>1</sub> is an anticipability path of a \* b.





Sequence of blocks  $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$ which is a prefix of some potential execution path starting at  $b_1$  such that:

- *b*<sub>1</sub> contains a downwards exposed use of *a* \* *b*, and
- no other block on the path contains an assignment to a or b, and
- every path ending at  $b_k$  is an availability path of a \* b.



Availability



44/44



Sequence of blocks  $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k)$  which is a prefix of some potential execution path starting at  $b_1$  such that:

- b<sub>1</sub> contains a downwards exposed use of a \* b, and
- no other block on the path contains an assignment to *a* or *b*.



Partial Availability









