

Contextual Equivalence

$$M_1 \cong^{\text{ctx}} M_2.$$

iff

\forall programs $C[-]$ with a hole $[-]$ where it makes sense to plug both M_1 and M_2 ^(*):

if $C[M_1] \Downarrow V$ then $C[M_2] \Downarrow V$
and vice versa.

(*) producing an observable output

Denotational Semantics

- $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ are domains.

- $M \in PCF_{\tau}$ (i.e. $\vdash M : \tau$)
 $\llbracket M \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$

for
closed
terms
or
programs

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. M : \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

$$\llbracket \lambda x : \tau. M \rrbracket = \lambda \dots \dots \dots \llbracket \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M \rrbracket$$

for open terms:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

where $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$ is a domain
 and the function is continuous.

Compositionality

$$\llbracket M \gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket M' \gamma \rrbracket$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \llbracket \sigma \llbracket M \rrbracket \rrbracket &= f_{\sigma} \llbracket M \rrbracket && \text{by} \\ &= f_{\sigma} \llbracket M' \rrbracket && \text{compositionality} \\ &= \llbracket \sigma \llbracket M' \rrbracket \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

$$G[M_1] \Downarrow_{\sigma} \checkmark$$

$$\Rightarrow \llbracket G[M_1] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\Rightarrow \llbracket G[M_2] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

$$\Rightarrow G[M_2] \Downarrow_{\sigma} \checkmark$$

Contexts

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket z_1 \vdash z_1, \dots, z_n \vdash z_n \rrbracket \\ = \llbracket z_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket z_2 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket z_n \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \emptyset \rrbracket = \{ \perp \} = \emptyset_{\perp}$$

Terms

$$\Gamma \vdash 0 : \underline{\text{nat}}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash 0 \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \text{nat} \rrbracket = \mathcal{N}_{\perp}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x_i \vdash z_i, \dots, x_n \vdash z_n \vdash z_i : z_i \rrbracket \\ : \llbracket z_i \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket z_n \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket z_i \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x_i \vdash z_i, \dots, x_n \vdash z_n \vdash z_i : z_i \rrbracket \\ (f_1, \dots, f_n) = f_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : \tau}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

define it
in terms of

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow (\llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket)$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_2 \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 \rrbracket (\rho)$$

$$= (\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 \rrbracket \rho) (\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_2 \rrbracket \rho)$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_1 \rrbracket (\rho) \in (\llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket)$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_2 \rrbracket (\rho) \in \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket$$

$$\frac{\Gamma [x \mapsto z] \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. M : \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. M : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rightarrow (\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma') \rightarrow (\tau \rightarrow \tau')$$

So for $\rho \in \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$,

$$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. M : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \vdash \rho : \tau \rightarrow \tau'$$

We have:

$$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. M : \tau \rightarrow \tau'$$

$$\vdash \underbrace{(\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma')}_{\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'} \rightarrow \tau'$$

$$\Gamma \times \tau$$

$$= \lambda d \in \Gamma \times \tau. \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. M : \tau \rightarrow \tau' (p, d)$$