

# ***Lecture 5***

PCF

# PCF syntax

---

## Types

$$\tau ::= \text{nat} \mid \text{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

## Expressions

$$\begin{aligned} M \quad ::= \quad & \mathbf{0} \mid \text{succ}(M) \mid \text{pred}(M) \\ & \mid \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid \text{zero}(M) \\ & \mid x \mid \mathbf{if} \ M \ \mathbf{then} \ M \ \mathbf{else} \ M \\ & \mid \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau . M \mid M \ M \mid \mathbf{fix}(M) \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ , an infinite set of **variables**.

**Technicality:** We identify expressions up to  $\alpha$ -conversion of bound variables (created by the **fn** expression-former): by definition a PCF **term** is an  $\alpha$ -equivalence class of expressions.

## PCF typing relation, $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$

---

- $\Gamma$  is a **type environment**, *i.e.* a finite partial function mapping variables to types (whose domain of definition is denoted  $dom(\Gamma)$ )
- $M$  is a term
- $\tau$  is a **type**.

### Notation:

$M : \tau$  means  $M$  is closed and  $\emptyset \vdash M : \tau$  holds.

$PCF_\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{M \mid M : \tau\}$ .

## PCF typing relation (sample rules)

---

$$(\vdash_{\text{fn}}) \quad \frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fn} \, x : \tau . M : \tau \rightarrow \tau'} \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$(\vdash_{\text{app}}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : \tau'}$$

$$(\vdash_{\text{fix}}) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fix}(M) : \tau}$$

## Partial recursive functions in PCF

---

- Primitive recursion.

$$\begin{cases} h(x, 0) = f(x) \\ h(x, y + 1) = g(x, y, h(x, y)) \end{cases}$$

- Minimisation.

$$m(x) = \text{the least } y \geq 0 \text{ such that } k(x, y) = 0$$

## PCF evaluation relation

---

takes the form

$$M \Downarrow_{\tau} V$$

where

- $\tau$  is a PCF type
- $M, V \in \text{PCF}_{\tau}$  are closed PCF terms of type  $\tau$
- $V$  is a **value**,

$$V ::= 0 \mid \text{succ}(V) \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{fn } x : \tau . M.$$

## PCF evaluation (sample rules)

---

$$(\Downarrow_{\text{val}}) \quad V \Downarrow_{\tau} V \quad (V \text{ a value of type } \tau)$$

$$(\Downarrow_{\text{cbn}}) \quad \frac{M_1 \Downarrow_{\tau \rightarrow \tau'} \mathbf{fn} \, x : \tau . M'_1 \quad M'_1[M_2/x] \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}{M_1 \, M_2 \Downarrow_{\tau'} V}$$

$$(\Downarrow_{\text{fix}}) \quad \frac{M \, \mathbf{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}{\mathbf{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{\tau} V}$$

## Contextual equivalence

---

Two phrases of a programming language are **contextually equivalent** if any occurrences of the first phrase in a complete program can be replaced by the second phrase without affecting the observable results of executing the program.



## Contextual equivalence of PCF terms

---

Given PCF terms  $M_1, M_2$ , PCF type  $\tau$ , and a type environment  $\Gamma$ , the relation  $\Gamma \vdash M_1 \cong_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$  is defined to hold iff

- Both the typings  $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau$  hold.
- For all PCF contexts  $\mathcal{C}$  for which  $\mathcal{C}[M_1]$  and  $\mathcal{C}[M_2]$  are closed terms of type  $\gamma$ , where  $\gamma = \text{nat}$  or  $\gamma = \text{bool}$ , and for all values  $V : \gamma$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}[M_1] \Downarrow_{\gamma} V \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}[M_2] \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

## PCF denotational semantics — aims

---

- PCF types  $\tau \mapsto$  domains  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ .
- Closed PCF terms  $M : \tau \mapsto$  elements  $\llbracket M \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ .  
Denotations of open terms will be continuous functions.

- **Compositionality.**

In particular:  $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket M' \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M] \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M'] \rrbracket$ .

- **Soundness.**

For any type  $\tau$ ,  $M \Downarrow_{\tau} V \Rightarrow \llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$ .

- **Adequacy.**

For  $\tau = \text{bool}$  or  $\text{nat}$ ,  $\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \implies M \Downarrow_{\tau} V$ .

**Theorem.** For all types  $\tau$  and closed terms  $M_1, M_2 \in \text{PCF}_\tau$ , if  $\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket$  and  $\llbracket M_2 \rrbracket$  are equal elements of the domain  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ , then  $M_1 \cong_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[M_1] \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} V &\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_1] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket && \text{(soundness)} \\ &\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_2] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket && \text{(compositionality} \\ &&& \text{on } \llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_2 \rrbracket) \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}[M_2] \Downarrow_{\text{nat}} V && \text{(adequacy)} \end{aligned}$$

and symmetrically. □

## Proof principle

---

To prove

$$M_1 \cong_{\text{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$$

it suffices to establish

$$\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket M_2 \rrbracket \text{ in } \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$$

- ? The proof principle is sound, but is it complete? That is, is equality in the denotational model also a necessary condition for contextual equivalence?