

























- Some say software engineering is the part that is too hard for computer scientists.
- But the real change was understanding the importance of what you *don't* know
 - dealing with uncertainty, lack of knowledge ...
 - ... but trying to be systematically ignorant!
 - Design is a process, not a set of known facts
 - process of learning about a problem
 - process of describing a solution
 - * at first with many gaps ...
 - eventually in sufficient detail to build the solution























Analysing requirements

- Analysis usually involves (re)negotiation of requirements between client and designer.
 - Once considered "requirements capture".
 - Now more often "user-centred design".
- An "interaction designer" often replaces (or works alongside) traditional systems analysts.
 - Professional interaction design typically combines research methods from social sciences with visual or typographic design skills (and perhaps CS).





10 mm	Interaction design bugs			
, is a	Error Deleting File			
	Cannot delete 016: There is not enough free disk space.			
	Delete one or more files to free disk space, and then try again.			
K	Find Search Locate Filter Pattern Match			
	From Interface Hall of Shame			









Interviews

- Field work usually includes interviews
 - * Additional to requirements meetings with client
- Often conducted in the place of work during 'contextual enquiry' (as in Beyer & Holtzblatt)
 - emphasis on user tasks, not technical issues
- Plan questions in advance
 - ensure all important aspects covered
- May be based on theoretical framework, e.g.
 - activities, methods and connections
 - * measures, exceptions and domain knowledge





















1	Typical CRC ca	rd	
1	Class name	Collaborators	7
-	Responsibilities		
6			
		<u>'</u>	





























Dividing up a design model

- Abstraction
 - Ignore details in order to focus on higher level problems (e.g. aggregation, inheritance).
 - If classes correspond well to types in domain they will be easy to understand, maintain and reuse.
- Modularization
 - Divide model into parts that can be built and tested separately, interacting in well-defined ways.
 - Allows different teams to work on each part
 - Clearly defined interfaces mean teams can work independently & concurrently, with increased chance of successful integration.

Pioneers – David Parnas

- Information Hiding
 - * 1972, Carnegie Mellon University
- How do you decide the points at which a program should be split into pieces?
 - Are small modules better?
 - Are big modules better?
 - What is the optimum boundary size?
- Parnas proposed the best criterion for modularization:
 - Aim to hide design decisions within the module.
























































Modularity at code level

- Is this piece of code (class, method, function, procedure ... "routine" in McConnell) needed?
- * Define what it will do
 - What information will it hide?
 - Inputs
 - Outputs (including side effects)
 - How will it handle errors?
- Give it a good name
- How will you test it?
- Think about efficiency and algorithms
- Write as comments, then fill in actual code



- Inputs, outputs, types and interface functions defined by declarations in "header files".
- Private variables and implementation details defined in the "source file"
- Modules in ML, Perl, Fortran, …
 - Export publicly visible interface details.
 - Keep implementation local whenever possible, in interest of information hiding, encapsulation, low coupling.









































Language support for user types

- Smalltalk
 - * All types are classes consistent, but inefficient
- * C++
 - * Class overhead very low
 - User-defined types have no runtime cost
- 🛚 Java
 - Unfortunately a little inefficient
 - But runtime inefficiency in infrequent calculations far better than lost development time.































Elements of documentation Documentation for a class should include: the class name a comment describing the overall purpose and characteristics of the class a version number the authors' names documentation for each constructor and each method































Black box testing

- Based on interface specifications for whole system or individual modules
- * Analyse input ranges to determine test cases
- Boundary values
 - Upper and lower bounds for each value
 - Invalid inputs outside each bound
- Equivalence classes
 - Identify data ranges and combinations that are 'known' to be equivalent
 - Ensure each equivalence class is sampled, but not over-represented in test case data


Stress testing

- The aim of stress testing is to find out at what point the system will fail
 - * You really do want to know what that point is.
 - * You have to keep going until the system fails.
 - If it hasn't failed, you haven't done stress testing.
- * Consider both volume and speed
- Note difference from *performance testing*, which aims to confirm that the system will perform as specified.
 - Used as a contractual demonstration
 - It's not an efficient way of finding errors









- Thorough testing (especially regression testing) is time consuming and repetitive.
- Write special classes to test interfaces of other classes automatically
 - "test rig" or "test harness"
 - "test stubs" substitute for unwritten code, or simulate real-time / complex data
- Use standard tools to exercise external API, commands, or UI (e.g. mouse replay)
 - In commercial contexts, often driven from build and configuration tools.



Cost of testing

- Testing can cost as much as coding
- Cost of rectifying bugs rises dramatically in later phases of a project:
 - * When validating the initial design moments
 - When testing a module after coding minutes
 - When testing system after integration hours
 - When doing field trials days
 - In subsequent litigation years!
 - * ...
 - Testing too late is a common failing
- Save time and cost by design for early testing



























Prototyping product concepts

- Emphasise appearance of the interface, create some behaviour with scripting functions:
 - Visio diagrams plus behaviour
 - Animation tools movie sequence
 - * JavaScript simulate application as web page
 - PowerPoint 'click-through' prototype
- Cheap prototypes are good prototypes
 - More creative solutions are often discovered by building more prototypes.
 - Glossy prototypes can be mistaken for the real thing – either criticised more, or deployed!





- Even after project completion!
- There are only two options for software:
 - Either it is continuously maintained ...
 - ... or it dies.
- Software that cannot be maintained will be thrown away.
 - Not like a novel (written then finished).
 - Software is extended, corrected, maintained, ported, adapted...
- The work will be done by different people over time (often decades).













- When a change is needed, as few classes as possible should be affected.
- Thinking ahead
 - When designing a class, think what changes are likely to be made in the future.
 - Aim to make those changes easy.
- When you fail (and you will), refactoring is needed.





- * When refactoring code, it is very important to separate the refactoring from making other changes.
 - First do the refactoring only, without changing the functionality.
 - Then make functional changes after refactored version shown to work OK.
- * Essential to run regression tests before and after refactoring, to ensure that nothing has been broken.

Beyond waterfalls and spirals User-centred design

- Participatory design
- * Agile development: 'XP'

User-centred Design

- Focus on 'end-users', not just specifications from contract and/or client
- * Use ethnographic methods at inception stage
- Design based on user conceptual models
- * Early prototyping to assess conceptual model
- Contextual evaluation to assess task relevance
- Frequent iteration







CAPSA project

- Detailed requirements gathering exercise
 Input to supplier choice between Oracle vs. SAP
- Bids & decision both based on optimism
 - 'vapourware' features in future versions
 - unrecognised inadequacy of research module
 - no user trials conducted, despite promise
- Danger signals
 - High 'rate of burn' of consultancy fees
 - Faulty accounting procedures discovered
 - New management, features & schedule slashed
 - Bugs ignored, testing deferred, system went live
- "Big Bang" summer 2000: CU seizes up



- * No phased or incremental delivery
- * No managed resource control
- * No analysis of risks
- * No library of documentation
- * No direct contact with end-users
- * No requirements traceability
- * No policing of supplier quality
- No testing programme
- * No configuration control







