

Denotation of λ -Terms

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \rho \in D$$

λ -term $e \in \Lambda$ environment $\rho \in D^V$

defined by recursion on the structure of e :

- $\llbracket x \rrbracket \rho = \rho(x)$
- $\llbracket \lambda x. e \rrbracket \rho = \text{fun}(d \in D \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto d]))$
- $\llbracket e e' \rrbracket \rho = \text{app}(\llbracket e \rrbracket \rho, \llbracket e' \rrbracket \rho)$

updated environment, maps x to d and otherwise acts like ρ

Properties of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$:

Support

$$(\forall x \in \text{fv}(e). \rho(x) = \rho'(x)) \supset \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho'$$

Properties of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$:

Support

$$(\forall x \in \text{fv}(e). \rho(x) = \rho'(x)) \Rightarrow \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho'$$

set of free variables of e

(proved by induction on structure of e)

So for closed expressions ($\text{fv}(e) = \emptyset$)

$\llbracket e \rrbracket \rho$ is independent of which ρ we use

— just write $\llbracket e \rrbracket$ for $\llbracket e \rrbracket \rho$ in this case.

Properties of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$:

Support

$$(\forall x \in \text{fv}(e). \rho(x) = \rho'(x)) \supset \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho'$$

Compositionality

$$\llbracket e[e'/x] \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket e \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket e' \rrbracket \rho])$$

(proved by induction on the structure of e ,
using the support property in case $e = \lambda x. e_1$)

Properties of $\llbracket - \rrbracket$:

Support

$$(\forall x \in \text{fv}(e). \rho(x) = \rho'(x)) \supset \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho'$$

Compositionality

$$\llbracket e[e'/x] \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket e \rrbracket (\rho[x \mapsto \llbracket e' \rrbracket \rho])$$

Soundness

$$e \Rightarrow c \supset \llbracket e \rrbracket = \llbracket c \rrbracket$$

proved by induction on the derivation of $e \Rightarrow c$

E.g. induction step for $\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \lambda x. e \quad e[e_2/x] \Rightarrow c}{e_1 e_2 \Rightarrow c} \quad \vdots$

If $\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket \lambda x. e \rrbracket \rho$ & $\llbracket e[e_2/x] \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket c \rrbracket \rho$
then

$$\llbracket e_1 e_2 \rrbracket \rho = \text{app}(\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \rho, \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \rho)$$

$$= \text{app}(\llbracket \lambda x. e \rrbracket \rho, \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \rho)$$

$$= \text{app}(\text{fun}(d \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho[x \mapsto d]), \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \rho)$$

$$= \llbracket e \rrbracket \rho[x \mapsto \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \rho]$$

$$= \llbracket e[e_2/x] \rrbracket \rho \quad \text{by substitution prop.}$$

$$= \llbracket c \rrbracket \rho \quad \checkmark$$

$\text{app}(\text{fun}(f), d)$
 $= f(d)$

N.B. converse of Soundness need not hold

E.g. $\llbracket \lambda y. (\lambda x. x) y \rrbracket = \llbracket \lambda y. y \rrbracket$ (see above),
but $\lambda y. (\lambda x. x) y \not\Rightarrow \lambda y. y$.

N.B. converse of Soundness need not hold

However, we can hope for

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \neq \perp \supset$$

$e \Downarrow$

termination
 $\triangleq \exists c. e \Rightarrow c$

and hence $\llbracket e \rrbracket \neq \perp \equiv e \Downarrow$

since $e \Downarrow \supset \exists c. e \Rightarrow c$

$\supset \exists c. \llbracket e \rrbracket = \llbracket c \rrbracket \neq \perp$

\nwarrow denotation of c is
 $\text{fun}(\dots) \neq \perp$

N.B. converse of Soundness need not hold

However, we can hope for

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \neq \perp \supset e \Downarrow$$

This property implies

Computational Adequacy

$$\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \supset e_1 \leq_{ctx} e_2$$

Contextual
pre-order:

$$\forall e. e[e_1/x] \Downarrow$$

$$\supset e[e_2/x] \Downarrow$$

N.B. converse of Soundness need not hold

However, we can hope for

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \neq \perp \supset e \Downarrow$$

This property implies

Computational Adequacy

$$\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \supset e_1 \leq_{\alpha_x} e_2$$

because if $\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e[e_1/x] \Downarrow &\supset \llbracket e[e_1/x] \rrbracket \neq \perp \supset \llbracket e[e_2/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket e \rrbracket[x \mapsto \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket] \\ &\supset \llbracket e \rrbracket[x \mapsto \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket] \neq \perp \\ &\supset e[e_2/x] \Downarrow \end{aligned}$$

N.B. converse of Soundness need not hold

However, we can hope for

$$\rightarrow \boxed{[e] \neq \perp \supset e \Downarrow}$$

This property implies

Computational Adequacy

$$\boxed{[e_1] \subseteq [e_2] \supset e_1 \leq_{\text{ctx}} e_2}$$

this holds when $i : (D \rightarrow D)_{\perp} \cong D$ is the
"minimal invariant" for $((\rightarrow) \rightarrow (-))_{\perp}$.

Locally continuous functors

Categories of domains

\mathcal{Dom} = category whose objects are domains (ω -chain complete cpos with least elements) and whose morphisms are continuous functions.

\mathcal{Dom}_\perp = category whose objects are domains and whose morphisms are strict continuous functions.

As usual (in category theory) \mathcal{Dom}_\perp^{op} is the opposite of \mathcal{Dom}_\perp —same objects and morphisms given by:

$$\mathcal{Dom}_\perp^{op}(D, E) = \mathcal{Dom}_\perp(E, D)$$

Dom_\perp , $\text{Dom}_\perp^{\text{op}}$ & $\text{Dom}_\perp^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}$ are examples of

Cpo-enriched category

- an ordinary category \mathcal{C} , plus
- cpo structure on each $\text{hom } \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ such that composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(A, B) \times \mathcal{C}(B, C) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(A, C) \\ (f, g) & \longmapsto & g \circ f \end{array}$$

is a continuous function

Functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ are **cpo-enriched**, or **locally continuous**, if each function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}'(FA, FB) \\ f & \longmapsto & F(f) \end{array}$$

is continuous.

All the constructions on domains determine locally continuous functors:

$$(-)_{\perp} : \text{Dom}_{\perp} \rightarrow^{\perp} \text{Dom}_{\perp}$$

$$f \in D \multimap E \mapsto f_{\perp} \in D_{\perp} \multimap E_{\perp}$$

$$f_{\perp}(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} f(d) & \text{if } x = d \in D \\ \perp & \text{if } x = \perp \end{cases}$$

All the constructions on domains determine locally continuous functors:

$$(-) \times (-) : \text{Dom}_\perp \times \text{Dom}_\perp \longrightarrow \text{Dom}_\perp$$

$$\begin{array}{l} f_1 \in D_1 \multimap E_1 \\ f_2 \in D_2 \multimap E_2 \end{array} \mapsto f_1 \times f_2 \in D_1 \times D_2 \multimap E_1 \times E_2$$

$$(f_1 \times f_2)(d_1, d_2) \triangleq (f_1(d_1), f_2(d_2))$$

All the constructions on domains determine locally continuous functors:

$$(-) \otimes (-) : \text{Dom}_\perp \times \text{Dom}_\perp \longrightarrow \text{Dom}_\perp$$

$$\begin{array}{l} f_1 \in D_1 \rightarrow E_1 \\ f_2 \in D_2 \rightarrow E_2 \end{array} \mapsto f_1 \otimes f_2 \in D_1 \otimes D_2 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes E_2$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (f_1 \otimes f_2)(\perp) \triangleq \perp \\ (f_1 \otimes f_2)(d_1, d_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} (f_1(d_1), f_2(d_2)) & \text{if } f_1(d_1) \neq \perp \ \& \\ & f_2(d_2) \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{array} \right.$$

All the constructions on domains determine locally continuous functions:

$$(-) \oplus (-) : \text{Dom}_\perp \times \text{Dom}_\perp \longrightarrow \text{Dom}_\perp$$

$$\begin{array}{l} f_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \multimap E_1 \\ f_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \multimap E_2 \end{array} \mapsto f_1 \oplus f_2 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2 \multimap E_1 \oplus E_2$$

$$(f_1 \oplus f_2)(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} f_1(d_1) & \text{if } x = d_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \downarrow \text{ \& } f_1(d_1) \neq \perp \\ f_2(d_2) & \text{if } x = d_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \downarrow \text{ \& } f_2(d_2) \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

All the constructions on domains determine locally continuous functions:

$$(-) \rightarrow (-) : \text{Dom}_{\perp}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_{\perp} \rightarrow \text{Dom}_{\perp}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} f_1 \in E_1 \multimap D_1 \\ f_2 \in D_2 \multimap E_2 \end{array} \mapsto f_1 \rightarrow f_2 \in (D_1 \rightarrow D_2) \multimap (E_1 \rightarrow E_2)$$

$$(f_1 \rightarrow f_2)(f) \triangleq f_2 \circ f \circ f_1$$

(Note that $f_1 \rightarrow f_2$ is a strict function, because...)

All the constructions on domains determine locally continuous functors:

$$(-) \circ (-) : \text{Dom}_\perp^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_\perp \rightarrow \text{Dom}_\perp$$

$$\begin{array}{l} f_1 \in E_1 \multimap D_1 \\ f_2 \in D_2 \multimap E_2 \end{array} \mapsto f_1 \circ f_2 \in (D_1 \multimap D_2) \multimap (E_1 \multimap E_2)$$

$$(f_1 \circ f_2)(f) \triangleq f_2 \circ f \circ f_1$$

Positive & negative occurrences

An occurrence of x in $\Phi(x)$ is **negative** if one passes through an odd number of left-hand branches of \rightarrow or $\rightarrow\circ$ constructions between the occurrence and the root of the parse tree; the occurrence is **positive** otherwise.

E.g.

$$(x \rightarrow x)_{\perp}$$

Diagram illustrating the occurrence of x in $(x \rightarrow x)_{\perp}$. The expression is written in blue. Two red arrows point to the x occurrences: one from the label $-ve$ above the left x , and one from the label $+ve$ above the right x .

$$(x \rightarrow\circ \perp_{\perp}) \rightarrow \perp_{\perp}$$

Diagram illustrating the occurrence of x in $(x \rightarrow\circ \perp_{\perp}) \rightarrow \perp_{\perp}$. The expression is written in blue. A red arrow points to the x occurrence from the label $+ve$ below it.

Given a domain construction $\Phi(X)$, by separating the +ve & -ve occurrences of X , we get a locally continuous functor

$$F: \text{Dom}_{\perp}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_{\perp} \rightarrow \text{Dom}_{\perp}$$

such that $\Phi(D) = F(D, D)$ for all $D \in \text{Dom}_{\perp}$

E.g. from $\Phi(X) = (X \rightarrow X)_{\perp}$ we get

$$F(-, +) = ((-) \rightarrow (+))_{\perp}$$

from $\Phi(X) = (X \multimap \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}$

$$F(-, +) = ((+) \multimap \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}$$

Given a domain construction $\Phi(x)$, by separating the +ve & -ve occurrences of x , we get a locally continuous functor

$$F: \text{Dom}_\perp^{\text{op}} \times \text{Dom}_\perp \rightarrow \text{Dom}_\perp$$

such that $\Phi(D) = F(D, D)$ for all $D \in \text{Dom}_\perp$

solutions

$$D \cong \Phi(D)$$



"invariants"

$$D \cong F(D, D)$$