

Comma categories

Defn. Given two functors $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{E} \xleftarrow{G} \mathbf{D}$,
their **comma category** $F \downarrow G$ is defined as follows:

- objects are triples (C, f, D) for $C \in |\mathbf{C}|, D \in |\mathbf{D}|$,
and $f : FC \rightarrow GD$ an arrow in \mathbf{E}
- arrows $(h, k) : (C, f, D) \rightarrow (C', f', D')$ are pairs
 $h : C \rightarrow C', k : D \rightarrow D'$ such that $f' \circ Fh = Gk \circ f$.
- composition and identity defined componentwise.

Example. The category of graphs is a comma category:

$$\mathbf{Graph} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{Sets}} \downarrow \Delta \quad \text{for} \quad \Delta(X) = X \times X$$

Exercise: Show how arrow categories \mathbf{C}^{\rightarrow}
and slice categories \mathbf{C}/A , are comma categories.

Fact: If \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D} are complete and G preserves limits
then $F \downarrow G$ is complete.

Multisorted sets

For a fixed set S ,

- an S -sorted set is a family $A = (A_s)_{s \in S}$ of sets.
- an S -sorted function from A to B is a family

$$(f_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s)_{s \in S}$$

of functions.

S -sorted sets and functions form a category \mathbf{Sets}^S

Note: If S has n elements then

$$\mathbf{Sets}^S \cong \mathbf{Sets}^n = \underbrace{\mathbf{Sets} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Sets}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

Isomorphism in Cat

Variable sets

Defn. For a fixed **poset** (I, \leq) , an **I -indexed set** A consists of:

- a family of sets $(A_i)_{i \in I}$,
- a function $\alpha_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ for $i \leq j$

s.t.

- $\alpha_{ii} = 1_{A_i}$ for each i ,
- $\alpha_{jk} \circ \alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ik}$ for $i \leq j \leq k$.

So it is just
a functor
 $A : I \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$

Example: For $I = \mathbb{R}$, indexed sets are “sets varying through time”.

Defn. An **I -indexed function** $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is

a family of functions $(\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i)_{i \in I}$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & B_i \\ \alpha_{ij} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{ij} \\ A_j & \xrightarrow{\phi_j} & B_j \end{array} \quad \text{for each } i \leq j.$$

Natural transformations

Defn. For two functors $F, G : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$,
a **natural transformation** $\phi : F \rightarrow G$ is a family

$$(\phi_C : FC \rightarrow GC)_{c \in |\mathbf{C}|}$$

of arrows in \mathbf{D} indexed by objects in \mathbf{C} , such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FC & \xrightarrow{\phi_C} & GC \\ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow Gf \\ FC' & \xrightarrow{\phi_{C'}} & GC' \end{array} \quad \text{for each } f : C \rightarrow C' \text{ in } \mathbf{C}.$$

The collection of all nat. transfs. from F to G denoted $\text{Nat}(F, G)$

Defn. ϕ is a **natural isomorphism** if every component ϕ_C
is an isomorphism.

Examples

- **identity transformation**: $\text{id}_F : F \rightarrow F$ for any $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$

- **singleton set**: $\eta : \text{Id}_{\text{Sets}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$

$$\eta_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X \quad \eta_X(x) = \{x\}$$

- Is there any transformation $\zeta : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{Sets}}$?

$$\zeta_X : \mathcal{P}X \rightarrow X$$

No, e.g. the component at \emptyset cannot exist...

How about nonempty powerset? $\zeta : \mathcal{P}^+ \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{Sets}}$?

No: take $X = \{\clubsuit, \spadesuit\}$, the naturality condition must fail for

$$f : X \rightarrow X = \{\clubsuit \mapsto \spadesuit, \spadesuit \mapsto \clubsuit\}$$

$$\text{(NB. } (\mathcal{P}f)(X) = X)$$