#### Lecture 5

PCF

#### **PCF** syntax

#### lypes

$$au ::= nat \mid bool \mid au 
ightarrow au$$

#### Expressions

$$M ::= \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{succ}(M) \mid \mathbf{pred}(M)$$
 $\mid \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid \mathbf{zero}(M)$ 
 $\mid x \mid \mathbf{if} \ M \ \mathbf{then} \ M \ \mathbf{else} \ M$ 
 $\mid \mathbf{fin} \ x : \tau . M \mid MM \mid \mathbf{fix}(M)$ 

where  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ , an infinite set of variables.

definition a PCF term is an  $\alpha$ -equivalence class of expressions. bound variables (created by the fin expression-former): by **Technicality:** We identify expressions up to lpha-conversion of

### PCF typing relation, $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$

- I is a type environment, *i.e.* a finite partial function mapping variables to types (whose domain of definition is denoted  $dom(\Gamma))$
- M is a term
- 7 is a type.

#### Notation:

M: au means M is closed and  $\emptyset \vdash M: au$  holds.

$$\mathrm{PCF}_{\tau} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{ M \mid M : \tau \}.$$

## PCF typing relation (sample rules)

(:fn) 
$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau] \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fn} \, x : \tau \cdot M : \tau \to \tau'} \quad \text{if } x \notin dom(\Gamma)$$

$$(:app) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau \to \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 M_2 : \tau'}$$

$$(:fix) \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \to \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fix}(M) : \tau}$$

## Partial recursive functions in PCF

Primitive recursion.

$$\begin{cases} h(x,0) = f(x) \\ h(x,y+1) = g(x,y,h(x,y)) \end{cases}$$

Minimisation.

$$m(x) \,=\,$$
 the least  $y\geq 0$  such that  $k(x,y)=0$ 

### **PCF** evaluation relation

takes the form

$$M \Downarrow_{\tau} V$$

where

- \tau is a PCF type
- $M,V\in\operatorname{PCF}_ au$  are closed PCF terms of type au
- V is a value,

 $V ::= \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{succ}(V) \mid \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid \mathbf{fn} \ x : \tau \cdot M$ 

### PCF evaluation (sample rules)

$$(\Downarrow_{
m val}) \quad V \Downarrow_{ au} V \quad (V ext{ a value of type } au)$$
  $(\Downarrow_{
m cbn}) \quad rac{M_1 \Downarrow_{ au o au'} ( ext{fin } x : au . M_1') \quad M_1' [M_2/x] \Downarrow_{ au'} V}{M_1 M_2 \Downarrow_{ au'} V}$   $(\Downarrow_{
m fix}) \quad rac{M ext{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{ au} V}{ ext{fix}(M) \Downarrow_{ au} V}$ 

### Contextual equivalence

without affecting the observable results of executing the equivalent if any occurrences of the first phrase in a complete program can be replaced by the second phrase program. Two phrases of a programming language are contextually

# Contextual equivalence of PCF terms

Given PCF terms  $M_1, M_2$ , PCF type  $\tau$ , and a type

environment  $\Gamma$ , the relation  $|\Gamma \vdash M_1 \cong_{\mathrm{ctx}} M_2 : au$ 

$$\Gamma \vdash M_1 \cong_{\mathrm{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$$

is defined to hold iff

- Both the typings  $\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M_2 : \tau$  hold.
- and for all values  $V:\gamma$ , For all PCF contexts  ${\mathcal C}$  for which  ${\mathcal C}[M_1]$  and  ${\mathcal C}[M_2]$  are closed terms of type  $\gamma$ , where  $\gamma = nat$  or  $\gamma = bool$ ,

$$C[M_1] \Downarrow_{\gamma} V \Leftrightarrow C[M_2] \Downarrow_{\gamma} V.$$

## PCF denotational semantics — aims

- PCF types  $\tau \mapsto$  domains  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ .
- Closed PCF terms  $M: \tau \mapsto \text{elements } \llbracket M \rrbracket \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket.$ Denotations of open terms will be continuous functions.
- Compositionality.

In particular: 
$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \llbracket M' \rrbracket \ \Rightarrow \ \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M] \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M'] \rrbracket$$
.

Soundness.

For any type 
$$\tau$$
,  $M \downarrow_{\tau} V \Rightarrow [M] = [V]$ .

Adequacy.

For 
$$\tau = bool$$
 or  $nat$ ,  $[\![M]\!] = [\![V]\!] \in [\![\tau]\!] \implies M \Downarrow_\tau V$ .

if  $\llbracket M_1 
rbracket$  and  $\llbracket M_2 
rbracket$  are equal elements of the domain  $\llbracket au 
rbracket$ , then **Theorem.** For all types au and closed terms  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathrm{PCF}_{ au}$ ,  $M_1 \cong_{\mathrm{ctx}} M_2 : \tau.$ 

Proof.

$$\mathcal{C}[M_1] \downarrow_{nat} V \Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_1] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$
 (soundness)

$$\Rightarrow \llbracket \mathcal{C}[M_2] \rrbracket = \llbracket V \rrbracket$$

(compositionality

on 
$$\llbracket M_1 
rbracket = \llbracket M_2 
rbracket)$$

$$\Rightarrow C[M_2] \downarrow_{nat} V$$

(adequacy)

and symmetrically.

#### **Proof principle**

To prove

$$M_1 \cong_{\mathrm{ctx}} M_2 : \tau$$

it suffices to establish

$$\llbracket M_1 
rbracket = \llbracket M_2 
rbracket$$
 in  $\llbracket au 
rbracket$ 

The proof principle is sound, but is it complete? That is, condition for contextual equivalence? is equality in the denotational model also a necessary