# Distributed Event Based Systems

Dave Eyers

(not Dave Evans, although he's back by Tuesday)

# Event-driven communication paradigm

- asynchronous message-passing rather than request-reply
- advertise, subscribe, publish/notify for scalability

  e.g. subscribe to and be notified of:

  *bus-seen-event (busID=uni4.\*, location=\*)*

- event-driven paradigm for ubiquitous computing: sensors generate data, notified as events
- compose/correlate events for higher level semantics

  e.g. traffic congestion, pollution and traffic

- database integration – how best to achieve it?

# Event-Driven Systems (1)

**Cambridge Event Architecture (CEA), 1992 -**

- extension of O-O middleware, typed events
  - "advertise, subscribe, publish/notify", direct or mediated,
  - publishers (or mediators if >1 publisher for a type) process subscription filters and multicast to relevant subscribers
- federated event systems:
  - gateways/contracts/XML
- applications:
  - multimedia presentation control
  - pervasive environments (active house, active city, active office)
  - tracking mobile entities (active badge technology)
  - telecommunications monitoring and control

# Event-Driven Systems (2)

- Hermes large-scale event service, 2001-4
    - work of Peter Pietzuch

- loosely-coupled

- publish/subscribe

- widely distributed event-broker network

- via a P2P overlay network (DHT)

- distributed filtering (optimise use of comms.)

- rendezvous nodes for advertisers/subscribers

# Use of P2P/DHT substrate

- Broker IP addresses hashed into 128-bit space
- Event topics hashed into 128-bit space
- Brokers keep tables of nearest neighbours (for different common prefixes) in 128-bit space – see next slide
- Event messages routed to broker nearest to event topic's hash value in O(logN) hops – called the "rendezvous node" for that topic
- Paths to same destination converge quickly
- Can exploit proximity (latency, bandwidth)
- Resilient to join/leave/failure of nodes
- Scales to millions of nodes

# Pastry node 2030xx…'s routing table starts:

| 0* Id,a | 1* Id,a | 2* | 3* Id,a |
|---|---|---|---|
| 20* | 21* Id,a | 22* Id,a | 23* Id,a |
| 200* Id,a | 201* Id,a | 202* Id,a | 203* |
| 2030* | 2031* Id,a | 2032* Id,a | 2033* Id,a |
| etc. | | | |

e.g. route to 1xxxx…

e.g. route to 22xxx…

e.g. route to 200xxx…

e.g. route to 2032xx…

- nodeIds and keys are in some base $2^b$ (e.g. b=2 here)
- each entry, except those for itself, contains the 'Id' and IP address 'a' of another node

# Hermes Pub/Sub Design

- Event Brokers ⒷB
    - provide middleware functionality
    - logical overlay P2P network: content-based routing+filtering
    - easily extensible
- Event Clients: Publishers Ⓟ, Subscribers Ⓢ
    - connect to any Event Broker
        - publishers **advertise**,
        - subscribers **subscribe** (brokers set up routing state),
        - publishers **publish**,
        - brokers route messages and **notify** publications to subscribers
    - lightweight, language-independent

# Algorithms I – Topic-Based Pub/Sub

- Type Msg, Advertisements, Subscriptions, Notifications
- **Rendezvous Nodes**
- **Reverse Path Forwarding**
  - Notifications follow Advs then the reverse path of Subs

# Algorithms II – Content-Based Pub/Sub

- Filtering State ●
- Notifications follow reverse paths of subscriptions
- Covering and Merging supported

# Implementation

- Actual implementation
  - Java implementation of event broker and event clients
  - Event types defined in XML Schema
  - Java language binding for events using reflection

- Implementation within a simulator
  - Large-scale, Internet-like topologies
  - Up to 104 nodes

# Pub/sub not sufficient for general applications

- decouples publishers and subscribers
  - pubs and/or subs need not be running at the same time
- publishers are anonymous to subscribers
  - subs need to know topic (attributes), not pubs' names and locations but receivers may **need** to know the sender or sender's role
- only multicast, one-to-many communication
  - may also need one-to-one and request-reply
- can't reply
  - either anonymously, e.g. to vote, or identified
- efficient notification for large-scale systems
  - but one-to-one should also be efficient – optimise

# Event-Driven systems (3)

- Event composition (correlation)
  - Pietzuch, Shand, Bacon, Middleware 2003, and IEEE Network, Jan/Feb 2004

- composite event service above event brokers
- service instances placed to optimise communication
- FSM recognisers – parallel evaluation
- events have source-specific interval timestamps
- simulations of large-scale systems…

# Bottom-up and/or Top-Down?

- Can we express all we require by bottom-up composition of primitive events?

- Do we also need high-level models of context?

  - e.g. maps, plans, mathematical models, GIS

- What can users be expected to express?

- How is the *top-down, bottom-up gap* bridged and high-level requirements converted into event subscriptions?

*"nearest empty meeting room?", "turn off the lights if the room is empty", "quickest way to get to Stansted airport?"*

**Work-in-Progress**

# Integrating sensor networks (1)

# Integrating sensor networks (2)

- ***Data*:**
  - sensor-ID, data value, timestamp, location
  - value aggregation from densely deployed sensors
  - inaccuracies masked – data cleansing
  - heterogeneous sensor data correlated (fused)
- ***Information/semantics*:**
  - events defined, to present sensor data to applications including context models
  - events correlated, higher-level events generated
  - real-time delivery may be required
  - level of data logging required?

# Traffic monitoring applications

- sensors:
    - SCOOT loops for counting,
    - cameras,
    - thermal imaging (infra-red detectors),
    - acoustic detectors

- I subscribe to
    - *bus-seen-event* (busID=uni*4.*, location=MadingleyP&R*)

    and my desktop is pinged when the bus is detected.

# Traffic monitoring applications (cont'd)

- Route-advice service: on entering my car I indicate my destination on a map – route is shown, car monitored and route updated dynamically as conditions change.

- Easy to do with bespoke systems and/or coupling applications with sensors

- How to allow the application developer builds the service by subscribing to advertised events, including high-level events such as *congestion (degree, location)*

- **Work-in-Progress: TIME-EACM grant**

# Irisys infra-red cameras + motion detection

- combined with video for validation
  - (and banal tasks like aiming the thing)
- privacy-preserving
- Testing carried out: Dept. Eng. roof, Fen Causeway, 2006 ~ 90% accuracy cf. video.
- wired communication via Engineering Dept.

# Irisys – mirroring annual manual count

- carried out on Cambridge radial roads annually
  - we did Huntingdon Rd, 9th Oct 2006, 8am – 7pm
  - using one of DTG's sentient vans
  - incoming and outgoing traffic
  - validated against video
  - over 90% accuracy cf. video if cycles excluded (and our inexpert positioning of the sensor)
- county haven't told us how their manual count compares with video

# Irisys – ongoing monitoring

- mounted on a lamp post on Madingley Road
- connection to CL via Wifi

# Stagecoach/ACIS bus monitoring

- GPS location of buses on some Stagecoach routes

- radio transfers data back to base

  – some GPRS, some custom

- bus-stop displays

  – timetables and expected arrival times

- live and historical data from ACIS since Aug 2007

  – under a NDA

- this data allows journey times and congestion to be analysed and predicted

# Healthcare monitoring application

sensors:  body sensors for blood-pressure, blood-sugar, etc.
cameras / thermal imaging in smart homes, tag objects

1. Emergency detection based on sensor values and image analysis–how to decide when to summon help?

2. Smart homes: monitoring for falls, visitors, …

    (guide-dogs–vs–people?) (visitors–vs–burglars?)

3. Tagging objects: "where did I leave …?", or to build a world model for navigation avoiding obstacles

4. Economic model?  cost of technology–vs–more people? risks of false positives and false negatives?

• **Work-in-Progress: CareGrid grant**

# Integrating databases with pub/sub

- DB world: continuous queries require recording of individual queries and individual response, one-to-one.

- Instead, Event-Based world: databases advertise events
  - *event type (<attribute-type>)*

  based on virtual relations

- clients subscribe and are notified of occurrences

- the pub/sub service does the filtering – not the database

- we have used PostgreSQL – active predicate store
  - *"cars-for-sale(maker, model, colour, automatic?, ...)"*

  many databases e.g. in Cambridge area

# DB Motivating Example – Police IT

*George Smiley is suspected of masterminding a nationwide terrorist organisation.*

- As well as looking up his past database records, the investigators (special terrorism unit) **subscribe**, in all 43 counties, to **advertised** database update events specifying his name as an attribute.

  – *Note inter-domain naming and access control.*

- Triggers are set in the databases so that any future records that are made, relating to his movements and activities, will be **published** and **notified** automatically and immediately to *those authorised* to investigate him.

# Securing pub/sub using RBAC

- At the event client level – use RBAC
  - domain-level authorisation policy indicates, for event types and attributes, the roles that can advertise/publish and subscribe
  - inter-domain subscription is negotiated, as for any other service
    - note that spamming is prevented – only authenticated roles can use the pub/sub service to advertise/publish
- At the event-broker level – use encryption
  - are all the event brokers trusted?
  - if not, some may not be allowed to see (decrypt) some (attributes of) some messages.
    - this affects content-based routing.