

SAT is NP-complete

Cook showed that the language SAT of satisfiable Boolean expressions is NP-complete.

To establish this, we need to show that for every language L in NP, there is a polynomial time reduction from L to SAT.

Since L is in NP, there is a nondeterministic Turing machine

$$M = (K, \Sigma, s, \delta)$$

and a bound n^k such that a string x is in L if, and only if, it is accepted by M within n^k steps.

Intuitively, these variables are intended to mean:

- $S_{i,q}$ – the state of the machine at time i is q .
- $T_{i,j,\sigma}$ – at time i , the symbol at position j of the tape is σ .
- $H_{i,j}$ – at time i , the tape head is pointing at tape cell j .

We now have to see how to write the formula $f(x)$, so that it enforces these meanings.

Boolean Formula

We need to give, for each $x \in \Sigma^*$, a Boolean expression $f(x)$ which is satisfiable if, and only if, there is an accepting computation of M on input x .

$f(x)$ has the following variables:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,q} & \text{ for each } i \leq n^k \text{ and } q \in K \\ T_{i,j,\sigma} & \text{ for each } i, j \leq n^k \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma \\ H_{i,j} & \text{ for each } i, j \leq n^k \end{aligned}$$

Initial state is s and the head is initially at the beginning of the tape.

$$S_{1,s} \wedge H_{1,1}$$

The head is never in two places at once

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j (H_{i,j} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{j' \neq j} (\neg H_{i,j'}))$$

The machine is never in two states at once

$$\bigwedge_q \bigwedge_i (S_{i,q} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{q' \neq q} (\neg S_{i,q'}))$$

Each tape cell contains only one symbol

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j \bigwedge_\sigma (T_{i,j,\sigma} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} (\neg T_{i,j,\sigma'}))$$

The initial tape contents are x

$$\bigwedge_{j \leq n} T_{1,j,x_j} \wedge \bigwedge_{n < j} T_{1,j,\sqcup}$$

The tape does not change except under the head

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j \bigwedge_{j' \neq j} \bigwedge_{\sigma} (H_{i,j} \wedge T_{i,j',\sigma}) \rightarrow T_{i+1,j',\sigma}$$

Each step is according to δ .

$$\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j \bigwedge_{\sigma} \bigwedge_q (H_{i,j} \wedge S_{i,q} \wedge T_{i,j,\sigma}) \rightarrow \bigvee_{\Delta} (H_{i+1,j'} \wedge S_{i+1,q'} \wedge T_{i+1,j,\sigma'})$$

CNF

A Boolean expression is in *conjunctive normal form* if it is the conjunction of a set of *clauses*, each of which is the disjunction of a set of *literals*, each of these being either a *variable* or the *negation* of a variable.

For any Boolean expression ϕ , there is an equivalent expression ψ in conjunctive normal form.

ψ can be exponentially longer than ϕ .

However, **CNF-SAT**, the collection of satisfiable **CNF** expressions, is **NP**-complete.

where Δ is the set of all triples (q', σ', D) such that $((q, \sigma), (q', \sigma', D)) \in \delta$ and

$$j' = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } D = S \\ j - 1 & \text{if } D = L \\ j + 1 & \text{if } D = R \end{cases}$$

Finally, some accepting state is reached

$$\bigvee_i S_{i,acc}$$

3SAT

A Boolean expression is in **3CNF** if it is in conjunctive normal form and each clause contains at most 3 literals.

3SAT is defined as the language consisting of those expressions in **3CNF** that are satisfiable.

3SAT is **NP**-complete, as there is a polynomial time reduction from **CNF-SAT** to **3SAT**.

Composing Reductions

Polynomial time reductions are clearly closed under composition.

So, if $L_1 \leq_P L_2$ and $L_2 \leq_P L_3$, then we also have $L_1 \leq_P L_3$.

Note, this is also true of \leq_L , though less obvious.

If we show, for some problem A in **NP** that

$$\text{SAT} \leq_P A$$

or

$$3\text{SAT} \leq_P A$$

it follows that A is also **NP**-complete.