

#### **Tape Diagrams for Monoidal Monads Alessandro Di Giorgio**

j.w.w. Filippo Bonchi, Cipriano Junior Cioffo, Elena Di Lavore

London, UK



### **Tape Diagrams are...**

string diagrams of string diagrams





Bonchi, Di Giorgio, Santamaria, 2023 🖹 Di Giorgio, 2024

There are two monoidal products

- $\otimes$  inside tapes
- $\oplus$  outside tapes

### **Tape Diagrams are...**

a universal language for rig categories with finite biproducts

biproduct A category C with two monoidal structures  $(C, \otimes, 1)$  and  $(C, \oplus, 0)$  and natural isomorphisms  $\lambda_X^{\bullet}: 0 \otimes X \to 0$  $\delta_{X,Y,Z}^{l} \colon X \otimes (Y \oplus Z) \to (X \otimes Y) \oplus (X \otimes Z)$  $\rho_X^{\bullet}: X \otimes 0 \to 0$ 

 $\delta_{X,Y,Z}^r \colon (X \oplus Y) \otimes Z \to (X \otimes Z) \oplus (Y \otimes Z)$ 

satisfying certain coherence conditions.

🖹 Laplaza, 1971

### **Tape Diagrams are...**



#### the free sesquistrict fb-rig category generated by a monoidal signature $(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma)$



### **Example: Quantum Control**



#### Takes semantics in the fb-rig category FdHilb with

#### $|00\rangle\langle 00| + |01\rangle\langle 01| + (|1\rangle\otimes U|0\rangle)\langle 10| + (|1\rangle\otimes U|1\rangle)\langle 11|$

 $\otimes$  tensor product direct sum (+)

### **Example: Control Flow**



#### Takes semantics in the fb-rig category Rel with



# while (x>0) { x:=x-1; y:=y+1 }; return y

tegory Rel with  $\bigoplus$  disjoint union

### **Quasi-example: Probabilistic Control**





is only a coproduct  $\oplus$ 

#### $x \wedge y +_p x \vee y$

#### Takes semantics in $Kl(D_{<})$ (substochastic matrices) which is not quite a fb-rig category

#### $+_n$ is an additional operation on tapes

#### **Objects** are sets. Morphisms $f: X \to Y$ are functions $X \to D_{\leq}(Y)$ . Composition is $f; g(z \mid x) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(y \mid x) \cdot f(z \mid y)$



**Objects** are sets. Morphisms  $f: X \to Y$  are functions  $X \to D_{\langle Y \rangle}$ . Composition is  $f; g(z \mid x) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(y \mid x) \cdot f(z \mid y)$ 

There are **two monoidal structures**:



 $(Kl(\mathsf{D}_{<}), \bigoplus, \{\})$ 

 $f \oplus g(v \mid u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} f(y \mid x) & \text{if } u = (x, 0) \text{ and } v = (y, 0) \\ g(y' \mid x') & \text{if } u = (x', 1) \text{ and } v = (y', 1) \end{cases}$ otherwise

Natural commutative monoids



**Objects** are sets. Morphisms  $f: X \to Y$  are functions  $X \to D_{\leq}(Y)$ . Composition is  $f; g(z \mid x) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(y \mid x) \cdot f(z \mid y)$ 

There are **two monoidal structures**:



There is additional structure given by

 $(+_p)_X : X \to X + X$  mapping x into  $(x,0) \mapsto p$ ,  $(x,1) \mapsto$  $( \star )_X : X \to 0$  mapping x into the null subdistribut mapping x into the null subdistribut

 $(Kl(D_{<}), \bigoplus, \{\})$ 

 $f \oplus g(v \mid u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} f(y \mid x) & \text{if } u = (x, 0) \text{ and } v = (y, 0) \\ g(y' \mid x') & \text{if } u = (x', 1) \text{ and } v = (y', 1) \end{cases}$ otherwise

Natural commutative monoids

$$1 - p$$
tion



**Objects** are sets. Morphisms  $f: X \to Y$  are functions  $X \to D_{\langle Y \rangle}$ . Composition is  $f; g(z \mid x) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(y \mid x) \cdot f(z \mid y)$ 

There are **two monoidal structures**:



There is additional structure given by

 $( +_p )_X \colon X \to X + X$  $( \star )_X \colon X \to 0$ mapping x into  $(x,0) \mapsto p$ ,  $(x,1) \mapsto 1-p$ mapping x into the null subdistribution

$$(Kl(\mathsf{D}_{\leq}), \bigoplus, \{\})$$

 $f \oplus g(v \mid u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} f(y \mid x) & \text{if } u = (x, 0) \text{ and } v = (y, 0) \\ g(y' \mid x') & \text{if } u = (x', 1) \text{ and } v = (y', 1) \end{cases}$ otherwise

Natural commutative monoids

symmetric monoidal monad







**Objects** are sets. Morphisms  $f: X \to Y$  are functions  $X \to D_{\leq}(Y)$ . Composition is  $f; g(z \mid x) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(y \mid x) \cdot f(z \mid y)$ 

There are **two monoidal structures**:



There is additional structure given by

 $(+_p)_X : X \to X + X$  mapping x into  $(x,0) \mapsto p$ ,  $(x,1) \mapsto 1 - p$  $(\star)_X : X \to 0$  mapping x into the null subdistribution

$$(\mathit{Kl}(\mathsf{D}_{\leq}), \bigoplus, \{\})$$

 $f \oplus g(v \mid u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} f(y \mid x) & \text{if } u = (x, 0) \text{ and } v = (y, 0) \\ g(y' \mid x') & \text{if } u = (x', 1) \text{ and } v = (y', 1) \end{cases}$ otherwise

Natural commutative monoids

symmetric monoidal monad





### **Finite Coproduct-Copy Discard Rig Categories**

A rig category  $(C, \otimes, 1, \oplus, 0)$  such that



and the monoids and comonoids interact according to the following coherence conditions







### Finite Coproduct-Copy Discard Rig Categories

A rig category  $(C, \otimes, 1, \oplus, 0)$  such that



and the monoids and comonoids interact according to the following coherence conditions



Let  $(C, \otimes, 1, \oplus, 0)$  be a fc-cd rig category and T a symmetric monoidal monad over  $(C, \otimes, 1)$ . Then the Kliesli category Kl(T) is a fc-cd rig category.





#### Adding the Algebraic Structure: U-CD Rig Categories

Let  $\mathbb{T} = (\Sigma, E)$  be an algebraic theory. A  $\mathbb{T}$ -cd rig category is a

fc-cd rig category C







commutes.

#### Adding the Algebraic Structure: U-CD Rig Categories

Let  $\mathbb{T} = (\Sigma, E)$  be an algebraic theory. A  $\mathbb{T}$ -cd rig category is a

fc-cd rig category C





### **Tape Diagrams for T-CD Rig Categories**





#### **Compositional Semantics**

string diagrams i tape diagrams

#### T-tapes are the free sesquistrict T-cd rig category generated by a monoidal signature ( $\mathcal{S}, \Gamma$ )



#### **Back to** $Kl(D_{\leq})$

**Boolean Circuits** 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Box \Box - \end{bmatrix} : 2 \times 2 \quad \rightarrow \quad 2$$
$$(x, y) \quad \mapsto \quad \delta_{x \wedge y}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet - \end{bmatrix} : 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad 2$$
$$\bullet \quad \mapsto \quad \delta_{0}$$

#### Embedded in $\mathbb{PCA}$ -tapes

$$p$$
:=  $p$ :=  $p$ 



$$\begin{bmatrix} p \end{bmatrix}^{\#} : 1 \rightarrow 2$$
  
•  $\mapsto \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto p \\ 0 \mapsto (1-p) \end{cases}$ 

#### **Back to** $Kl(D_{<})$





### **Back to** $Kl(D_{<})$





## Different behaviours w.r.t failure when c (or d) = the multiplexer always fails



### **Future Work**

- Complete axiomatisation for  $Kl(D_{<})$  ?
  - Possibly exploiting existing completeness theorems

- Traces? Probabilistic diagrammatic program logic?



- Other interesting examples?



### Thank you!