FORMAL, EXECUTABLE SEMANTICS OF WEB LANGUAGES: JAVASCRIPT AND PHP

Sergio Maffeis Imperial College London

In collaboration with: J. Mitchell (Stanford), A. Taly (Google), K. Bhargavan, M. Bodin, A. Charugeraud, A. Delignat-Lavaud, A. Schmitt (INRIA), D. Filaretti, P. Gardner, D. Naudziuniene, G. Smith, S. Yuwen (Imperial)

PiP'14, San Diego

A Personal Perspective

- Goal: "language based web security"
 - 1st step: build formal models (this talk)
 - Next, analyze security properties
- Based on:
 - JSSec: small-step operational semantics of ES3
 - JSCert: Coq semantics and interpreter of ES5
 KPHP: formal executable semantics of PHP in K
- (Not a literature survey, see my papers for references)



: PRINCIPLES IN PRACTICE

- Given a language L and an interpreter X, define a semantics S such that for all p in L, S(p) ~=~ X(p)
- Real world: here's an interpreter X. Good luck!
 - Define a semantics S such that S(p) === X(p) for as many p as possible
- Approach
 - "Observe" a piece of syntax (experiments & documentation)
 - Model behaviour using building blocks of meta-language
 - Formulate predictions to validate model (testing)

Handling Pre-Existing Systems Complexity

JAVASCRIPT AND PHP

- Born as small languages
 - JavaScript: sanitize input of HTML forms
 - PHP: Personal Home Page Tools for tracking home page visits
- Now achieved world domination
 - All web pages, most servers
 - Top of Github/StackOveflow popularity
 - Chart from <u>http://langpop.corger.nl</u>
- Picked up lots of complexity along the way



JAVASCRIPT AND PHP

- Critical points of failure for web security
 - Attacks come from obscure, difficult corner cases
 - Do not leave out tricky or inelegant constructs

```
<a href="#" onclick="b()"> Test B (Safari, Opera and Chrome)</a>
<script>
function b(){
    try {throw (function(){return this});}
    catch (get_scope){get_scope().ref=function(x){return x};
    this.alert("Hacked!")}}
</script>
```

- OK to look at **conservative subsets**
 - But beware of unsound simplifications



```
$arr = array("one", "two", "three");
reset($arr);
while (list(, $value) = each($arr)) {
    echo "Value: $value<br />\n";
}
```

JAVASCRIPT AND PHP

- Critical points of failure for web security
 - Attacks come from obscure, difficult corner cases
 - Do not leave out tricky or inelegant constructs

```
<a href="#" onclick="b()"> Test B (Safari, Opera and Chrome)</a>
<script>
function b(){
    try {throw (function(){return this});}
    catch (get_scope){get_scope().ref=function(x){return x};
    this.alert("Hacked!")}}
</script>
```

- OK to look at **conservative subsets**
 - But beware of **unsound** simplifications



```
$arr = array("one", "two", "three");
reset($arr);
while (list(, $value) = each($arr)) {
    echo "Value: $value<br />\n";
}
```

LIBRARIES

- JavaScript, PHP = Master
- Browser, server = Blaster
- We need *operational* semantics of the core language
 - Plus a mechanism to invoke library functions
- Formalization of libraries is an independent task
 - Different goals, techniques
 - One language, many libraries



Developing and Using Semantics at Scale

// Evaluate the first argument to foreach (the array or object to be iterated)

context 'ForEach(HOLE,, _:K,, _:K)

// if a reference is obtained, read the corresponding location

rule [foreach-arg2Loc]:

```
(k) 'ForEach((R:ConvertibleToLoc => convertibleToLoc(R;r)),.:K,..:K) ... </k>
(trace> Trace:List => Trace:List =>
```

</k>

</k>
</k>
</koap>
... L |-> zyg!(V:Array,__N,_) ... </heap>
</currentForeachItem> _ => L </currentForeachItem>
</trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach") </trace>
when ((V isCompoundValue) andBool (N <=Int 1) andBool (fresh(Lx:Loc))))
[step]</pre>

rule [foreach]:

<currentForeachltem>__=>L </currentForeachltem>
<trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach") </trace>
when ((V isCompoundValue) andBool (N <=Int 1) andBool (fresh(Lx:Loc)))
[step]</pre>

rule [foreach]:

[step]

// Error cases: invalid argument

[step, error]

```
rule [foreach-scalar-1]:
         <k> 'ForEach(L:Loc,,_) =>
                  WARNING("Warning: Invalid argument supplied for foreach() in %s on line %d\n") ... \langle k \rangle
         <heap> ... L |-> zval(V:Value,_,_,_) ... </heap>
         <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-scalar-1") </trace>
         when notBool (V isCompoundValue)
         [step, error]
rule [foreach-scalar-2]:
         <k> 'ForEach(V:ScalarValue,, 'Pattern(_),,Stmt:K) =>
                   WARNING("Warning: Invalid argument supplied for foreach() in %s on line d^n. (/k)
         <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-scalar-2") </trace>
         [step, error]
rule [foreach-locNull]:
         <k> 'ForEach(Arg:K,, 'Pattern(_),,Stmt:K) =>
         WARNING("Warning: Invalid argument supplied for foreach() in %s on line %d\n") ... \langle /k \rangle
         <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-locNull") </trace>
         when (Arg ==K locNull)
         [step, error]
// Invalid pattern
rule [foreach-invalid-pattern]:
         <k> 'ForEach(_,, 'Pattern('Some('Key(K:K)),,_),,_) => ERROR("Key element cannot be a reference in %s on line %d\n") ... </k>
         <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-invalid-pattern") </trace>
         when getKLabel(K) ==KLabel 'Ref
```

\$a = array('a', 'b', 'c');
foreach (\$a as &\$v) {}; // aliasing
foreach (\$a as \$v) {};

//Evaluate the first argument to foreach (the array or object to be iterated)

context 'ForEach(HOLE,, _:K,, _:K)

// if a reference is obtained, read the corresponding location

rule [foreach-arg2Loc]:

<k> 'ForEach((R:ConvertibleToLoc <u>> convertToLoc(</u>R,r)),,_:K,,_:K) ... </k> <trace> Trace:List => Trace List! =m("foreach-ara2Loc") </trace> RMALIZATION: THE PAIN [intermediate] rule [foreach]: <k> ('ForEach(L:Loc,,Pattern:K,,Stmt:K) ~> K:K) => write(V,Lx) ~> pushLoopContext(loopFrame(K, foreachArrayPair(L,Lx))) ~> foreach(Lx, Pattern, Stmt) ~> popLoopContext $\langle k \rangle$ <heap> ... L |-> zval(V:Array,_,N,_) ... </heap> <currentForeachItem> _ => L </currentForeachItem> <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach") </trace> when ((V isCompoundValue) andBool (N <=Int 1) andBool (fresh(Lx:Loc))) [step] rule [foreach]: <k> ('ForEach(L:Loc,,Pattern:K,,Stmt:K) ~> K:K) => write(V.Lx) ~> pushLoopContext(loopFrame(K, foreachArrayPair(L, none))) ~> foreach(Lx, Pattern, Stmt) ~> popLoopContext \$a = array('a', 'b', 'c'); </k> <heap> ... L |-> zval(V:Object,_,N,_) ... </heap> <currentForeachItem> _ => L </currentForeachItem> <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach") </trace> when ((V isCompoundValue) andBool (N <=Int 1) andBool (fresh(Lx:Loc)))

rule [foreach]:

[step]

[step]

```
<k> ('ForEach(L:Loc,,Pattern:K,,Stmt:K) ~> K:K) =>
                   pushLoopContext(loopFrame(K. foreachArrauPair(L. none))) ~>
                   foreach(L, Pattern, Stmt) ~>
                   popLoopContext
\langle A \rangle
<heap> ... L |-> zval(V:Value,_,N,_) ... </heap>
<currentForeachitem> _ => L </currentForeachitem>
<trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach") </trace>
```

// Error cases: invalid argument

when ((V isCompoundValue) andBool (N >Int 1))

```
rule [foreach-scalar-1]:
          <k> 'ForEach(L:Loc,,_) =>
                    WARNING("Warning: Invalid argument supplied for foreach() in %s on line dn \gamma \dots \sqrt{\kappa}
          \langle heap \rangle \dots L \mid - \rangle zval(V:Value, ..., ...) \dots \langle /heap \rangle
          <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-scalar-1") </trace>
          when notBool (V isCompoundValue)
          [step, error]
rule [foreach-scalar-2]:
          <k> 'ForEach(V:ScalarValue,, 'Pattern(_),,Stmt:K) =>
                     WARNING("Warning: Invalid argument supplied for foreach() in %s on line (\lambda n) \dots (k)
          <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-scalar-2") </trace>
          [step, error]
```

rule [foreach-locNull]:

<k> 'ForEach(Arg:K,, 'Pattern(_),,Stmt:K) => WARNING("Warning: Invalid argument supplied for foreach() in %s on line %d\n") ... $\langle /k \rangle$ <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-locNull") </trace> when (Arg ==K locNull) [step, error]

// Invalid pattern

```
rule [foreach-invalid-pattern]:
         <k> 'ForEach(_,, 'Pattern('Some('Key(K:K)),,_),,_) => ERROR("Key element cannot be a reference in %s on line %d\n") ... </k>
         <trace> Trace:List => Trace ListItem("foreach-invalid-pattern") </trace>
         when getKLabel(K) ==KLabel 'Ref
         [step, error]
```

foreach (\$a as &\$v) {}; // aliasing foreach (\$a as \$v) {}; array(3) { [0]=> string(1) "a"

```
[1]=> string(1) "b"
[2]=> string(1) "b"
```

MECHANIZATION: THE GAIN



Parsing

- Manual or lightweight parsing
 Ok for small projects, not scalable
- A "user-friendly" parser
 - Will get you started quickly but sometimes may be wrong
 - JSCert: based on Closure/Rhino
 - KPHP: based on PHP-front
- A "production" parser
 - Tried with Chromium AST: optimizations get in the way
- Parsing should be verified
 - Also source of security problems (XSS,SQLI,...)

EXECUTION AND TESTING

- JSSec: manual execution (not scalable)
 - Experiments with various browsers
 - Driven by corner cases of specification
- JSCert: Coq to OCAML extraction
 - JSRef + proof: significant overhead, but **trusted**
 - Systematic validation of JSRef using test262
- KPHP: semantics is directly executable
 PHP has no analogous to ES3/5 specification
 - (Zend) test-driven *semantics* development

TESTING, PROOFS AND ANALYSES

Coverage

- Lots of possible criteria (Daniel's talk)
- JSCert: LOC
 - Mapping interpreter code/semantics rules
 - Bisect: general-purpose tool for LOC coverage
 - test262: ~95% LOC
- KPHP: ROS
 - Interpreter as black box
 - Instrumentation of semantics with rule traces
 - Zend tests (56% ROS) + our own tests: 100% ROS
- Open problem: automatically derive conformance test suite from formal semantics

Meta-Proofs

• JSSec: paper proof, labor intensive, error-prone

Theorem 1 (Progress and Preservation). For all states S = (H, l, t) and S' = (H', l', t'):

 $\begin{array}{ll} - (Wf(S) \land S \to S') \Rightarrow Wf(S') \ (Preservation) \\ - Wf(S) \land t \notin v(t) \Rightarrow \exists S' \ (S \to S')) \ (Progress) \end{array}$

where v(t) = ve if $t \in Expr$ and v(t) = co if $t \in Stmnt$ or Prog.

• JSCert: Coq proof, even more labor, but **trusted**

Theorem run_javascript_correct : \forall (n:nat) (p:prog) (o:out), run_javascript (runs n) p = result_some (specret_out o) \rightarrow red_javascript p o.

- Useful for debugging the semantics
- Basis for further proofs
 Coq proof: 6 months to find the right way, 3 days to do

Analyses

- Secure subsets, Defensive JavaScript, Program logics
 - Proofs of reduction-closed invariants need only semantic rules used by subset
- Temporal verification of PHP programs
 - Based on built-in symbolic execution and LTL model checking
 - Verification tools based on meta-language cover whole semantics
- PHP taint analysis based on abstract interpretation
 - Easy to turn executable semantics into static analyzer

ENGAGING WITH THE INDUSTRIAL COMMUNITIES

LANGUAGE EVOLUTION

- JSSec: formalizes ES3
- Horwat: Lisp interpreter for JavaScript 2.0/ES4
- Herman & Flanagan: ES4 specification in ML
- Lambda-JS: ES3 and now ES5S
- JSCert: starts with ES5, open ended
- Language evolution is indeed a challenge
 Not a good excuse to avoid formalizations
 - You can design a semantics with evolution in mind

DESIGN FOR EVOLUTION: ES5 - JSCERT

12.6.2 The while Statement

The production IterationStatement : while (Expression) Statement is evaluated as follows:

1. Let V = empty.

2. Repeat

- a. Let exprRef be the result of evaluating Expression. -
- b. If ToBoolean(GetValue(*exprRef*)) is **false**, return (normal, V, empty).
- c. Let stmt be the result of evaluating Statement.
- d. If *stmt*.value is not empty, let V = stmt.value.
- e. If stmt.type is not continue || stmt.target is not in the current label set, then
 - i. If *stmt*.type is break and *stmt*.target is in the current label set, then
 - 1. Return (normal, V, empty).
 - ii. If *stmt* is an abrupt completion, return *stmt*.

I red_stat_while : forall S C labs e1 t2 o, red_stat S C (stat_while_1 labs e1 t2 resvalue_empty) o -> red_stat S C (stat_while labs e1 t2) o

- I red_stat_while_1 : forall S C labs e1 t2 rv y1 o, red_spec S C (spec_expr_get_value_conv spec_to_boolean e1) y1 -> red_stat S C (stat_while_2 labs e1 t2 rv y1) o -> red_stat S C (stat_while_1 labs e1 t2 rv) o
- ∣ red_stat_while_2_false : forall S0 S C labs e1 t2 rv, ── red_stat S0 C (stat_while_2 labs e1 t2 rv (vret S false)) (out_ter S rv)
- I red_stat_while_2_true : forall S0 S C labs e1 t2 rv o1 o, red_stat S C t2 o1 -> red_stat S C (stat_while_3 labs e1 t2 rv o1) o -> red_stat S0 C (stat_while_2 labs e1 t2 rv (vret S true)) o
- I red_stat_while_3 : forall rv S0 S C labs e1 t2 rv' R o, rv' = (lf res_value R <> resvalue_empty then res_value R else rv) -> red_stat S C (stat_while_4 labs e1 t2 rv' R) o -> red_stat S0 C (stat_while_3 labs e1 t2 rv (out_ter S R)) o
- I red_stat_while_4_continue : forall S C labs e1 t2 rv R o, res_type R = restype_continue /\ res_label_in R labs -> red_stat S C (stat_while_1 labs e1 t2 rv) o -> red_stat S C (stat_while_4 labs e1 t2 rv R) o
- I red_stat_while_5_break : forall S C labs e1 t2 rv R, res_type R = restype_break /\ res_label_in R labs -> red_stat S C (stat_while_5 labs e1 t2 rv R) (out_ter S rv)
- l red_stat_while_6_abort : forall S C labs e1 t2 rv R, res_tupe R <> restupe_normal -> red_stat S C (stat_while_6 labs e1 t2 rv R) (out_ter S R)
- I red_stat_while_6_normal : forall S C labs e1 t2 rv R o, res_tupe R = restupe_normal -> red_stat S C (stat_while_1 labs e1 t2 rv) o -> red_stat S C (stat_while_6 labs e1 t2 rv R) o
- i red_stat_abort : forall S C extt o, out_of_ext_stat extt = Some o -> abort o -> " abort_intercepted_stat extt -> red_stat S C extt o

REPORTING BUGS

- JSSec:
 - Implementation inconsistencies in browsers
 - (Security) bugs in FBJS, ADSafe, etc.
- JSCert:
 - Bugs in SpiderMonkey, V8, WebKit
 - Problems with ES6, test262
- KPHP:
 - Several horror stories (= bugs)
 - No PHP spec: "It's not a bug! It's a feature!!"

PHP: What is a Bug?

• Evaluation order of expressions: LR or RL?

• PHP bug 61188

[2012-02-26 19:04 UTC] rasmus@php.net

I do see your argument, but you are making assumptions about how PHP handles sequence points in expressions which is not documented and thus not stricly defined.

[2012-09-01 19:01 UTC] avp200681 at gmail dot com

[...]
I've found in PHP documentation:
"Operators on the same line have equal precedence, in which
case associativity decides the order of evaluation."

PHP: What is a Bug?

• Formal semantics explains what happens

- Evaluation order is LR
- Array accesses are evaluated to values
- Variables are evaluated to references
- References are resolved lazily
- Easy fix to expose LR evaluation consistently
 BinOp(E1,E2) → BinOp(R, E2) → BinOp(V,E2)

Conclusions

- Toy models of programming languages

 Ok for new language features, analysis ideas.
 Inadequate to provide security guarantees
- Full-blown formal semantics
 - Basis for trustworthy verification, certification.
 - Tools and techniques are now mature enough.

References

- JSSec:
 - Semantics: APLAS'O8, <u>http://jssec.net/semantics</u>
 - Secure subsets: CSF'09, ESORICS'09, OAKLAND'10
 - Program logics: POPL'12
 - Defensive JavaScript: USENIX'13, <u>http://defensivejs.com</u>
- JSCert:
 - POPL'14 <u>http://jscert.org</u>, <u>https://github.com/jscert/jscert</u>
- KPHP:
 - Submitted. TR available 12/2/14 on http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~maffeis/