### **Abstract Predicates**

Matthew Parkinson

Microsoft Research

(joint work with Gavin Bierman)

### Hypothetical Frame Rule

Hides single instance of data for a module

Not enough for ADT/Objects/...

This lecture is about fixing that.

### **Abstract Data Types**

Data type with a hidden representation
Inside scope representation is known
Outside scope representation is not known

#### Example:

```
public class Counter
{
    private int x = 0;
    public int increment() { return x++; }
}
```

### **Abstract Data Types**

```
Signature:
  module sig Counter =
     type t
     val new: t
     val increment: t -> int * t
Implementation:
   module Counter =
     type t = int
     let new = 0
     let increment x = x+1, x+1
```

### Types as Predicates

Types describe shape of data structure

```
type list =
| Cons of int * list
| Nil
```

In SL, formula describe shape of data structures

```
list(x) = (\exists y. x \mapsto \_, y * list(y))
 \lor (x=NULL \land empty)
```

#### **Abstract Predicates**

Predicate with a hidden definition

Inside scope definition is known

Outside scope definition is not known

### Example: Counter

```
Client view
      { empty } r := Counter() { Counter(r, 0) }
      { Counter(x, n) }
            r := inc(x)
      { Counter(x, n+1) * r=n }
Module implementation
      Counter(x, n) = x \mapsto n
```

#### Rules for Abstract Predicates

Add context to deal with predicate definitions:

Procedure context

$$\Delta$$
;  $\Gamma \vdash \{P\} C \{Q\}$ 

Predicate context (a second-order separation logic formula)

### Rules for Abstract Predicates

$$\frac{\Delta; \ \Gamma \vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q \} \quad \Delta' \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Delta'; \ \Gamma \vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q \}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \ \Gamma \vdash \{ P \} \ C \{ Q \} \}}{\exists \alpha. \Delta; \ \Gamma \vdash \{ P \} \ C \{ Q \} \}}$$
where  $\alpha \notin \Gamma, P, Q$ 

### Consequence

$$\Delta$$
;  $\Gamma \vdash \{P'\} \subset \{Q'\}$ 

$$\Delta \vdash P \Rightarrow P'$$

$$\Delta \vdash Q' \Rightarrow Q$$

$$\Delta$$
;  $\Gamma \vdash \{P\} \subset \{Q\}$ 

### **Derived Rule**

$$\Delta; \Gamma, \{P_f\} f \{Q_f\} \vdash \{P\} C \{Q\}$$

$$\Delta \land (\forall \bar{x}. \alpha(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow R); \Gamma \vdash \{P_f\} C_f \{Q_f\}$$

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \{P\} \text{ let } f = C_f \text{ in } C \{Q\}$$

$$\text{where } \alpha \notin \Delta, \Gamma, P, Q$$

$$\text{and } R \text{ mentions } \alpha \text{ positively}$$

Exercise: Derive this rule.

#### **Exercise: Counter**

```
Client view
      { empty } r := Counter { Counter(r, 0) }
      { Counter(x, n) }
             r := inc(x)
      { Counter(x, n+1) * r=n }
Module implementation
       \forall x \ n. \ \text{Counter}(x, n) \Leftrightarrow x \mapsto n
Exercise: Verify this example.
```

#### **Exercises**

- Lightswitch
  - Four operations: newSwitch, on, off and toggle.
  - Give example specifications
  - Give an implementation that meets the spec
- Connection pool
  - Assume function
    {emp} newConnection(s) { Conn(ret,s) }
  - Give three functions
    - newPool
    - getConn
    - freeConn

# Example: Malloc/Free

```
{empty}
    r:=malloc(n)
\{r \mapsto \underline{\quad} * \cdots * (r + n - 1 \mapsto \underline{\quad})\}
\{r \mapsto \_ * \cdots * (r + n\_ 1 \mapsto \_)\}
    free(r)
{empty}
                                What should n be?
```

# Example: Malloc/Free

```
{empty}
   r:=malloc(n)
\{r \mapsto - * \cdots * (r + n - 1 \mapsto -) * MBlock(r, n)\}
\{r \mapsto -* \cdots * (r+n-1 \mapsto -) * MBlock(r,n)\}
   free(r)
{empty}
```

Exercise: What could Mblock be?

### **Exercises**

#### Specify a file library

- New file handle : creates a new closed file handle
- Open file: Takes a closed file handle, a filename string, and a mode (READ/WRITE); and returns an open file
- Close file: Takes an open file handle, and closes it
- Read : Reads from an open file handle
- Write: Writes to an open file handle

### Semantics of AP

$$\begin{array}{c} \Delta; \; \Gamma \vDash \{\mathit{P} \;\}\; \mathsf{C} \; \{\mathit{Q} \;\} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{ of the predicates} \\ \forall \mathit{I} \in [\![\Delta]\!]. & \text{ For all contexts that} \\ \eta \in [\![\Gamma]\!]_\mathit{I}: & \text{ satisfy $\Gamma$ with} \\ \inf \mathsf{P} \;\}\; \mathsf{C} \;\{\mathit{Q} \;\} \\ & \eta \vDash_\mathit{I} \;\{\mathit{P} \;\}\; \mathsf{C} \;\{\mathit{Q} \;\} \\ & \text{ The code C satisfies it specification.} \\ \end{aligned}$$

### Soundness

**Definition** 

$$\Delta' \Rightarrow \Delta \implies \forall I. \ I \in [\![\Delta']\!] \Rightarrow I \in [\![\Delta]\!]$$

Prove soundness of

$$\frac{\Delta; \ \Gamma \vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q \} \quad \Delta' \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Delta'; \Gamma \vdash \{ P \} C \{ Q \}}$$

### Soundness II

Lemma

$$\forall I. \ I \in \llbracket \exists \alpha. \Delta \rrbracket \Rightarrow I \llbracket \alpha \mapsto \_ \rrbracket \in \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket$$
 Lemma 
$$\alpha \notin \Gamma \Rightarrow (\eta \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_I \Leftrightarrow \eta \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{I \llbracket \alpha \mapsto \_ \rrbracket})$$
 
$$\alpha \notin P, Q \Rightarrow$$
 
$$\eta \models_I \{P\} C \{Q\} \Leftrightarrow \eta \models_{I \llbracket \alpha \mapsto \rrbracket} \{P\} C \{Q\}\}$$

Prove soundness of

$$\frac{\Delta; \ \Gamma \vdash \{P\} \ C \{Q\}}{\exists \alpha. \Delta; \ \Gamma \vdash \{P\} \ C \{Q\}}$$
where  $\alpha \notin \Gamma, P, Q$ 

# Objects

### Objects

```
class Cell {
      int val;
      void set(int x) { val = x; }
      int get() { return val; }
class Recell : Cell {
      int bak;
      void set(int x) { bak = get(); super.set(x); }
```

# Behavioural Subtyping [Liskov, Wing '94]

#### Requirement:

```
If D subtype of C, and \{P_{\rm C}\}C::m\{Q_{\rm C}\}, and \{P_{\rm D}\}D::m\{Q_{\rm D}\}, then P_{\rm C}\Rightarrow P_{\rm D} and Q_{\rm D}\Rightarrow Q_{\rm C}.
```

## Specification of set()

```
{ this.val \mapsto _ } Cell::set(x) { this.val \mapsto x}

{ this.val \mapsto 0 * this.bak \mapsto _}

Recell::set(x)

{ this.val \mapsto x * this.bak \mapsto 0}
```

### **Abstraction Predicate Families**

Mirror dynamic dispatch

definition of m that is used depends on type of x.

Give definition that depends on type

$$x: Cell \Rightarrow (Val(x, v) \Leftrightarrow x. val \mapsto v)$$

$$x$$
: Recell  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$(Val(x, v) \Leftrightarrow x. val \mapsto v * x. bak \mapsto \_)$$

# Specification of set()

```
{ Val(this, _)} Cell::set(x) {Val(this, x)}
```

```
{Val(this,_)} Recell::set(x) {Val(this, x)}
```

Exercise: verify this example.

Exercise: what is specification of get().

#### Exercise

```
Consider the class
      class TCell : Cell {
       int val2;
       void set(int x) { this.val2 = x; super.set(x);}
Is this a subtype of Cell?
 Explain why it isn't, or prove that it is.
```

#### Exercise

```
Consider the class

class DCell : Cell {

void set(int x) { this.val = x * 2; }
}
```

Is this a subtype of Cell? Explain why it isn't, or prove that it is.

# Higher-order Separation Logic

- Abstract Types have Existential Type [Mitchell'88]
- Abstract Predicates are Existential Predicates
- Higher-order Separation Logic
  - $-\Delta$  is a formula in higher-order separation logic
  - See Birkedal et al. for more information.

### Concurrency

```
Abstract lock spec:

\{isLock(x, P)\}\

acquire(x)

\{isLock(x, P) * P * Locked(x, P)\}

\{Locked(x, P) * P\}

free(r)

\{empty\}
```

How could we do this? My next few lectures will build up to this.

### References

- Separation Logic and Abstractions, Parkinson and Bierman (POPL'05)
- Separation Logic, Abstraction and Inheritance,
   Parkinson and Bierman (POPL'08)
- Local Reasoning for Java, Parkinson (Thesis)
- Higher-Order Separation Logic and Abstraction, Birkedal et al. TOPLAS.