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From Static Content to Dynamic Service

- Internet is for disseminating information efficiently.
- Our network architecture originates from P-to-P paradigm.
- What should be the next move on research agenda?
  - Information should not only refer to static content.
  - Recursive definition: Information = \( f(\text{Information}) \).
  - \( f \) is service which filters, edits, combines existing information to provide new information.
- Ideal Internet should be Information-Centric + Delay-Tolerant.
Real-life Needs for Service Caching

- Better localised communication: latency, bandwidth, availability …
- Better control on sharing conventional static content.
- Flexible policy configuration but with simpler architecture.
- Key services in emergency and disaster scenarios.
- Efficient access to popular Internet cloud-based services.

Let’s push the services to network edge.
Challenges in A Challenged Environment

● From content to service - a ‘small’ but non-trivial step.
● Unpredictable nature of DIY networks.
● Which services should be migrated?
● When should they be migrated?
● Can cached services continue to operate without remote connectivity?
● How should state be managed?
SCANDEX - Key System Components

- Service Execution Gateway - executes services.
- Forwarding Node - forwards requests and caches services.
- Edge Gateway - interconnects different domains.
- Broker - service registration and resolution.
- Note multiple components can be multiplexed on one node.
A Utopia for Service-Centric Networking

- SCANDEX depicts a utopia of SCN.
System Features

● SCANDEX is a strawman architecture which describes how the system should behave instead of defining protocols.
● Everything is a service, including static content.
● System supports multiple transmission strategies: IP, DTN …
● System intelligently chooses the most suitable strategy.
Questions Instead of Solutions

E.g., how to handle dynamics, predictability, cost, scalability, audit, and etc. More specifically,

● How to define edge? Network boxes or end-user devices?
● How to differentiate from NFV (network func virtualisation)?
● What naming scheme to use? Flat or hierarchical?
● How to do service resolution? I.e., registration & discovery.
Design Challenges - Synchronisation

- Abstraction: algo + data. In practice, what is it?
- Classification: computation vs. data intensive.
- Caching: singleton vs. multi-instance.
- Synchronisation: stateless vs. stateful.
  - Service-level synchronization semantics.
  - System is only responsible for coordination.
Design Challenges - Dependency

- A hard decision → atomic & meta vs. composition.
- Dependency is modelled as a DAG.
  - How many types of cost? Computation, traffic and etc.
  - Who should resolve the dependency?
Other Challenges

- Transmission strategy selection - topology- and context-aware?
- Distributed authentication - connection- or service-based?
- Service instantiation - where and how?
- Caching strategy - proactive or passive?
Summary

● Localised communication requires us to shift from content caching to service-caching.
● SCANDEX defines the high-level system behaviors.
● We defined the key system components and their functions.
● We presented design challenges such as service abstraction, synchronisation, dependency, caching etc., and discussed the possible solutions.
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