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ML examples I:
Symbolic Differentiation

Topics covered:

- Symbolic computation
- Data representation
- Prettyprinting
- Differentiation
- Simplification by rewriting
Symbolic computation

This covers applications where manipulation of mathematical expressions, in general containing variables, is emphasized at the expense of actual numerical calculation.

There are several successful ‘computer algebra systems’ such as Axiom, Maple and Mathematica, which can do certain symbolic operations that are useful in mathematics.

Examples include factorizing polynomials and differentiating and integrating expressions.

We will show how ML can be used for such applications. Our example will be symbolic differentiation.

This will illustrate all the typical components of symbolic computation systems: data representation, internal algorithms, parsing and prettyprinting.
Data representation

We will allow mathematical expressions to be built up from variables and constants by the application of $n$-ary operators.

Therefore we define a recursive type as follows:

- datatype term =
  Var of string
  | Const of string
  | Fn of string * (term list);

For example the expression

$\sin(x + y)/\cos(x - \exp(y)) - \ln(1 + x)$

is represented by:

```plaintext
Fn("-",
  Fn("/",
    Fn("sin",
      Fn("+",
        Var "x",
        Var "y")),
    Fn("cos",
      Fn("-",
        Var "x",
        Fn("exp",
          Var "y")) ),
    Fn("ln",
      Fn("+",
        Const "1",
        Var "x"))));
```
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The need for parsing and printing

Reading and writing expressions in their raw form is rather unpleasant. This is a general problem in all symbolic computation systems. Typically one wants:

- A *parser* to accept input in human-readable form and translate it into the internal representation
- A *prettyprinter* to translate output from the internal representation back to a human-readable form.

We will use parsing as another major example of functional programming, so we will defer that for now.

However we will now write a simple printer for our expressions.
How do we want to print expressions?

- Variables and constants are just written as their names.

- Ordinary $n$-ary functions applied to arguments are written by juxtaposing the function and a bracketed list of arguments, e.g. $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

- Infix binary functions like $+$ are written in between their arguments.

- Brackets are used where necessary for disambiguation.

- Infix operators have a notion of precedence to reduce the need for brackets.
We can have a list of binary operators together with their precedences, e.g.

```haskell
- val infixes = [('+',10), ('-',10), ('*',20), ('/',20)];
```

This sort of list, associating data with keys, is called an association list. To get the data associated with a key we use the following:

```haskell
- fun assoc a ((x,y)::rest) = if a = x then y else assoc a rest;
```

In our case, we define:

```haskell
- fun get_precedences = assoc s infixes;
```

This procedure of linear search is inefficient, but it is simple and adequate for small examples. Techniques like hashing are better for heavyweight applications.
Making precedences modifiable

Because of static binding, changing the list of infixes does not change `get_precedence`. However we can make `infixes` a reference instead and then it is modifiable:

```ml
- val infixes = ref [("+",10), ("-",10),
  ("*",20), ("/",20)];
...
- fun get_precedence s =
  assoc s (!infixes);
> val get_precedence = fn : string -> int
- get_precedence "^";
! Uncaught exception:
! Match
- infixes := ("^",30)::(!infixes);
> val it = () : unit
- get_precedence "^";
> val it = 30 : int
```

This setup is not purely functional, but is perhaps more natural.
Finding if an operator is infix

We will treat an operator as infix just if it appears in the list of infixes with a precedence. We can do:

- fun is_infix s =
  (get_precedence s; true)
  handle _ => false;

because get_precedence fails on non-infixes. An alternative coding is to use an auxiliary function can which finds out whether the application of its first argument to its seconds succeeds:

- fun can f x =
  (f x; true)
  handle _ => false;
> val can = fn : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a -> bool
- val is_infix = can get_precedence;
> val is_infix = fn : string -> bool
The printer: explanation

The printer consists of two mutually recursive functions.

The function `string_of_term` takes two arguments. The first is a ‘currently active precedence’, and the second is the term.

For example, in printing the right-hand argument of \( x \times (y + z) \), the currently active precedence is the precedence of \( \times \). If the function prints an application of an infix operator (here \( + \)), it puts brackets round it unless its own precedence is higher.

We have a second, mutually recursive, function, to print a list of terms separated by commas. This is for the argument lists of non-unary and non-infix functions of the form \( f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \).
The printer: code

- fun string_of_term prec =
  fn (Var s) => s
  | (Const c) => c
  | (Fn(f, args)) =>
    if length args = 2 andalso is_infix f then
      let val prec' = get_precedence f
      val s1 = string_of_term prec'
        (hd args)
      val s2 = string_of_term prec'
        (hd(tl args))
      val ss = s1^" " ^f" " ^s2
      in if prec' <= prec then "(" ^ss")"
        else ss
    end
  else
    f^"(" ^string_of_terms args")"

and string_of_terms tms = case tms of
  [] => ""
| [t] => string_of_term 0 t
| (h::t) => (string_of_term 0 h)^","^(string_of_terms t);
The printer: installing

Moscow ML has special facilities for installing user-defined printers in the toplevel read-eval-print loop.

Once our printer is installed, anything of type term will be printed using it.

- load "PP";
- fun print_term pps s =
  let open PP
  in begin_block pps INCONSISTENT 0;
    add_string pps
    ("("^"^(string_of_term 0 s)^""");
    end_block pps
  end;
  > val print_term = fn :
    ppstream -> term -> unit
- installPP print_term;
- val it = () : unit
Before and after

- t;
> val it = Fn
("-",
[Fn
("/",
[Fn ("sin", [Fn ("+", [Var "x", Var "y"]))]),
Fn ("cos", [Fn ("-", [Var "x", Fn ("exp",
[Var "y"]))])]),
Fn ("ln", [Fn ("+", [Const "1", Var "x"])]))
]:term
- installPP print_term;
> val it = (): unit
- t;
> val it = 'sin(x + y) / cos(x - exp(y)) - ln(1 + x)
  : term
- val x = t;
> val x = 'sin(x + y) / cos(x - exp(y)) - ln(1 + x)'
  : term
- [x,t,x];
> val it =
['sin(x + y) / cos(x - exp(y)) - ln(1 + x)',
 'sin(x + y) / cos(x - exp(y)) - ln(1 + x)',
 'sin(x + y) / cos(x - exp(y)) - ln(1 + x)'
]: term list
Differentiation: algorithm

There is a well-known method (taught in schools) to differentiate complicated expressions.

- If the expression is one of the standard functions applied to an argument, e.g. $\sin(x)$, return the known derivative.

- If the expression is of the form $f(x) + g(x)$ then apply the rule for sums, returning $f'(x) + g'(x)$. Likewise for subtraction etc.

- If the expression is of the form $f(x) \times g(x)$ then apply the product rule, i.e. return $f'(x) \times g(x) + f(x) \times g'(x)$.

- If the expression is one of the standard functions applied to a composite argument, say $f(g(x))$ then apply the Chain Rule and so give $g'(x) \times f'(g(x))$.

This translates very easily into a recursive computer algorithm.
fun differentiate x = fn Var y => if y = x then Const "1" else Const "0"
  | Const c => Const "0"
  | Fn("-",[t]) => Fn("-",[differentiate x t])
  | Fn("+",[t1,t2]) => Fn("+",[differentiate x t1, differentiate x t2])
  | Fn("-",[t1,t2]) => Fn("-",[differentiate x t1, differentiate x t2])
  | Fn("*",[t1,t2]) => fn Fn("*",[differentiate x t1, t2]),
                     Fn("*",[t1, differentiate x t2])]
  | Fn("inv",[t]) => chain x t
                   (Fn("-",[Fn("inv",[Fn("-",[t,Const "2"]))])])
  | Fn("^",[t,n]) => chain x t
                   (Fn("*",[n, Fn("^",[t, Fn("-",[n, Const "1"]))])]))
  | (tm as Fn("exp",[t])) => chain x t tm
  | Fn("ln",[t]) => chain x t (Fn("ln",[t]))
  | Fn("sin",[t]) => chain x t (Fn("cos",[t]))
  | Fn("cos",[t]) => chain x t
                   (Fn("-",[Fn("sin",[t])]))
  | Fn("/",[t1,t2]) => differentiate x
                   (Fn("*",[t1, Fn("inv",[t2])])))
  | Fn("tan",[t]) => differentiate x
                   (Fn("/",[Fn("sin",[t]), Fn("cos",[t])])))
and chain x t u = Fn("*",[differentiate x t, u]);
Differentiation examples

Let’s try a few examples:

> val t1 = ‘sin(2 * x)‘ : term
- differentiate "x" t1;
> val it = ‘(0 * x + 2 * 1) * cos(2 * x)‘ : term
- val t2 = Fn("tan",[Var "x"]);
> val t2 = ‘tan(x)‘ : term
- differentiate "x" t2;
> val it =
  ‘(1 * cos(x)) * inv(cos(x)) +
  sin(x) * ((1 * -(sin(x))) *
  -(inv(cos(x) ^ 2)))‘ : term
- differentiate "y" t2;
> val it =
  ‘(0 * cos(x)) * inv(cos(x)) +
  sin(x) * ((0 * -(sin(x))) *
  -(inv(cos(x) ^ 2)))‘ : term
Simplification

It seems to work OK, but it isn’t making certain obvious simplifications, like $0 \times x = 0$. These arise partly because we apply the recursive rules like the chain rule even in trivial cases. We’ll write a simplifier:

```ml
- val simp = fn (Fn("+", [Const "0", t])) => t
  | (Fn("+", [t, Const "0"])) => t
  | (Fn("-", [t, Const "0"])) => t
  | (Fn("-", [Const "0", t])) => Fn("-", [t])
  | (Fn("+", [t1, Fn("-", [t2])])) => Fn("-", [t1, t2])
  | (Fn("*", [Const "0", t])) => Const "0"
  | (Fn("*", [t, Const "0"])) => Const "0"
  | (Fn("*", [Const "1", t])) => t
  | (Fn("*", [t, Const "1"])) => t
  | (Fn("*", [Fn("-", [t1]), Fn("-", [t2])])) => Fn("*", [t1, t2])
  | (Fn("*", [Fn("-", [t1]), t2])) => Fn("-", [Fn("*", [t1, t2])])
  | (Fn("*", [t1, Fn("-", [t2])])) => Fn("-", [Fn("*", [t1, t2])])
  | (Fn("-", [Fn("*", [t1, t2])])) => t
  | t => t;
```
Simplification examples

We need to apply simp recursively, bottom-up:

- val rec dsimp = 
  fn (Fn(f,args)) => 
    simp(Fn(f,map dsimp args)) 
  | t => simp t;

Now we get better results:

- dsimp(differentiate "x" t1);
  > val it = ‘2 * cos(2 * x)’ : term
- dsimp(differentiate "x" t2);
  > val it = ‘cos(x) * inv(cos(x)) + sin(x) * (sin(x) * inv(cos(x) ^ 2))’
    : term
- dsimp(differentiate "y" t);
  > val it = ‘0’ : term

There are still other simplifications to be made, e.g. \( \cos(x) \ast \text{inv}(\cos(x)) = 1 \). Good algebraic simplification is a difficult problem!