# Mobile Crowd Computing & Task Farming

Jon Crowcroft&Eiko Yoneki Jon.crowcroft@cl.cam.ac.uk





- First, talk about Mobile Cloud Computing Programming Models
- Second, talk about task farming in MCC, and encounter statistics impact on performance

# Part 1 - **Programming Distributed Computation in Pocket Switched Networks (CCN/NDN etc)**

*came out of random (good) question by Brad Karp during Pan Hui's PhD defense* 

\* Data Driven Declarative Networking

### **PSN: Dynamic Human Networks**

- Topology changes every time unit
- Exhibits characteristics of Social Networks



Time unit = t+2 <sup>4</sup>

### **Time Dependent Networks**

- Data paths may not exist at any one point in time but do exist over time
- Delay Tolerant Communication



#### **Regularity of Network Activity**

 Size of largest fragment shows network dynamics



## Haggle Node Architecture = Runtime

- Each node maintains a data store: its current view of global namespace
  - Persistence of search: delay tolerance and opportunism
- Semantics of publish/subscribe and an eventdriven + asynchronous operation



#### D<sup>3</sup>N Data-Driven Declarative Networking

- How to program distributed computation?
  - Use Declarative Networking ?
- The Vodafone Story....
  - Need tested or verified code....so also good...
  - Three reasons:
  - 1.No PII leakage
  - 2.No crashes
  - **3.**No unexplained bills....

#### Declarative Networking

- Declarative is not now a very new idea in networking
  - e.g. Search: 'what to look for' rather than 'how to look for'
  - Abstract complexity in networking/data processing
- **P2**: Building overlay using Overlog
  - Network properties specified declaratively
- **LINQ**: extend .NET with language integrated operations for query/store/transform data
- **DryadLINQ**: extends LINQ similar to Google's Map-Reduce
  - Automatic parallelization from sequential declarative code
- **Opis**: Functional-reactive approach in OCaml

### D<sup>3</sup>N Data-Driven Declarative Networking

- How to program distributed computation?
- Use Declarative Networking
  - Use of Functional Programming
    - Simple/clean semantics, expressive, inherent parallelism
  - Queries/Filer etc. can be expressed as higher-order functions that are applied in a distributed setting
- Runtime system provides the necessary native library functions that are specific to each device
  - Prototype: F# + .NET for mobile devices

### D<sup>3</sup>N and Functional Programming I

- Functions are first-class values
  - They can be both input and output of other functions
  - They can be shared between different nodes (code mobility)
  - Not only data but also functions flow
- Language syntax does not have state
  - Variables are only ever assigned once; hence reasoning about programs becomes easier

(of course message passing and threads  $\rightarrow$  encode states)

- Strongly typed
  - Static assurance that the program does not 'go wrong' at runtime unlike script languages
- Type inference
  - Types are not declared explicitly, hence programs are less verbose

#### D<sup>3</sup>N and Functional Programming II

- Integrated features from query language
  - Assurance as in logical programming
- Appropriate level of abstraction
  - Imperative languages closely specify the implementation details (how); declarative languages abstract too much (what)
  - Imperative predictable result about performance
  - Declarative language abstract away many implementation issues

# **Overview of D<sup>3</sup>N Architecture**

- Each node is responsible for storing, indexing, searching, and delivering data
- Primitive functions associated with core D<sup>3</sup>N calculus syntax are part of the runtime system
- Prototype on MS Mobile .NET



# **D<sup>3</sup>N Syntax and Semantics I**

- Very few primitives
  - Integer, strings, lists, floating point numbers and other primitives are recovered through constructor application
- Standard FP features
  - Declaring and naming functions through let-bindings
  - Calling primitive and user-defined functions (function application)
  - Pattern matching (similar to switch statement)
  - Standard features as ordinary programming languages (e.g. ML or Haskell)

# **D<sup>3</sup>N Syntax and Semantics II**

- Advanced features
  - Concurrency (fork)
  - Communication (send/receive primitives)
  - Query expressions (local and distributed select)

# **Runtime System**

- Language relies on a small runtime system
  - Operations implemented in the runtime system written in F#
- Each node is responsible on data:
  - Storing, Indexing, Searching
  - Delivering
  - Data has Time-To-Live (TTL)
  - Each node propagates data to the other nodes.
  - A search query w/TTL travels within the network until it expires
  - When the node has the matching data, it forwards the data
  - Each node gossips its own metadata when it meets other nodes

#### Example: Query to Networks

- Queries are part of source level syntax
  - Distributed execution (single node programmer model)
  - Familiar syntax

**D**<sup>3</sup>**N:** select name from poll() where institute = "Computer Laboratory"



# **Example: Vote among Nodes**

- Voting application: implements a distributed voting protocol of choosing location for dinner
- Rules
  - Each node votes once
  - A single node initiates the application
  - Ballots should not be counted twice
  - No infrastructure-base communication is available or it is too expensive
- Top-level expression
  - Node A sends the code to all nodes
  - Nodes map in parallel (pmap) the function voteOfNode to their local data, and send back the result to A
  - Node A aggregates (reduce) the results from all nodes and produces a final tally

# Sequential Map function (smap)

• Inner working

- It sends the code to execute on the remote node
- It blocks waiting for a response waiting from the node
- Continues mapping the function to the rest of the nodes in a sequential fashion
- An unavailable node blocks the entire computation

```
let rec smap f lst = // Sequential map
  match lst with
    [] → []
    [n::ns → send f n;receive n :: smap f ns
```

# **Parallel Map Function (pmap)**

- Inner working
  - Similar to the sequential case
  - The send/receive for each node happen in a separate thread
  - An unavailable node does not block the entire computation

```
let rec pmap f lst = // Parallel map
match lst with
```

 $|[] \rightarrow []$ | n :: ns  $\rightarrow$ fork (fun ()  $\rightarrow$ send f n;receive n ) :: pmap f ns



# **Reduce Function**

- Inner working
  - The reduce function aggregates the results from a map
  - The reduce gets executed on the initiator node
  - All results must have been received before the reduce can proceed

# let rec reduce f se lst = // Reduce with starting element match lst with

$$|[] \rightarrow se$$
  
 $|x::xs \rightarrow f x (reduce f se xs)$ 

# **Voting Application Code**

type ballot = { locationA : int; locationB : int }
let emptyBallot = { locationA = 0; locationB = 0 };
let graph = getSocialGraph();
let voteForA():ballot = { locationA = 1; locationB = 0 }
let voteForB():ballot = { locationA = 0; locationB = 1 }

```
let rec smap f lst = // Sequential map
match lst with
  | [] → []
  | n::ns → send f n;receive n :: smap f ns
let rec pmap f lst = // Parallel map
match lst with
  | [] → []
  | n :: ns →
  fork (fun () →
    send f n;receive n
  ) :: pmap f ns
let rec reduce f se lst = // Reduce with starting element
match lst with
  | [] → se
  | x::xs → f x (reduce f se xs)
```

```
let countVote (b1:ballot) (b2:ballot):ballot =
   { locationA = b1.locationA + b2.locationA;
    locationB = b1.locationB + b2.locationB }
reduce countVote emptyBallot (pmap voteOfNode graph)
```

# **Outlook and Future Work**

- Current reference implementation:
  - F# targeting .NET platform taking advantage of a vast collection of .NET libraries for implementing D<sup>3</sup>N primitives
- Future work:
  - Security issues are currently out of the scope of this paper. Executable code migrating from node to node
  - Validate and verify the correctness of the design by implementing a compiler targeting various mobile devices
  - Disclose code in public domain

#### Part 2 - Task Farming



#### **Progress of Computation on Temporal Graph**



### Clustering



### **Clustering and Clique Identification**







Party Hub: Same Time and Space



Date Hub: Different Time and /or Space





### **Social Work**



#### **Task Matching**



#### **System Level Task Throughput**



#### **Rank Effect**



### **Snapshot**



#### **More Rank Impact**



### **Take Homes**

- System Architecture is Data Centric
- Task Farming Can be Done
- No idea if battery use will be too strong disincentive
- Might work if we had data centers in cars :-)
- (Electric cars with data centers could use microgenerators & Batteries to time shift energy as well as data/computation)
- Thought experiment maybe could give insights into normal Cloud system design too - I don't know though:)

### The End

- With much thanks&acknowledgements to
- James Scott, Ebon Upton, Menghow Lim, Pan Hui
- Ioannis Baltopoulos, Shu-yan Chan
- Jing Su, Ashvin Goyal, Eyal de Lara
- Christophe Diot, Augustin Chaintreau, Richard Gass