

### CherryPick: Adaptively Unearthing the Best Cloud Configurations for Big Data Analytics

**Authors:** Omid Alipourfard, Yale University; Hongqiang Harry Liu and Jianshu Chen, Microsoft Research; Shivaram Venkataraman, University of California, Berkeley; Minlan Yu, Yale University; Ming Zhang, Alibaba Group

**Presented by Teodora** 



# Big Data Analytics jobs are very popular "nowadays" (2017)





### Good configuration = Better performance + Lower cost

Same 
$$\cos t \Rightarrow \frac{\text{worst running time}}{\text{best running time}} = 3$$

Same perf ormance 
$$\Rightarrow$$

the most expensive configuration cheapest configuration = 12





Find **best cloud configuration (minimizes cost** for a given **performance threshold**) for a **recurring jobs**, given its **representative workload**.

40% of the jobs is cloud are recurring.





Low Overhead



Low Overhead





## Cloud has multiplicative noise

- cloud resources are shared, so if we run the same workload, same job, with same configuration, the running time and cost might not be the same



Price per unit of time minimize  $C(\vec{x}) = P(\vec{x}) \times T(\vec{x})$ subject to  $T(\vec{x}) \leq \mathscr{T}_{max}$  $\tilde{C}(\vec{x}) = C(\vec{x})(1 + \varepsilon_c)$ 



 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\vec{x}}{\text{minimize}} & \log C(\vec{x}) = \log P(\vec{x}) + \log T(\vec{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \log T(\vec{x}) \leq \log \mathcal{T}_{max} \\ \text{We use BO to minimize } \log C(\vec{x}) \text{ instead of } C(\vec{x}) \end{array}$ 

 $\log \tilde{C}(\vec{x}) = \log C(\vec{x}) + \log \left(1 + \varepsilon_c\right)$ 











### Evaluation

#### Input:

- Five popular analytical jobs
- 66 reasonable configurations, of four families in Amazon EC2

#### Objective

• Minimize cost, within a performance threshold

#### **Results:**

CheryPick = 45-90% to pick optimal solution, otherwise finds a solution within 5%

Alternatives = 75% more time to get to 45% overhead



## Results



Figure 7: Comparing *CherryPick* with coordinate descent. The bars show 10th and 90th percentile.





**The algorithm is strong** but the way they phrase it makes it seem weaker "45-90% chance to find the optimal".

**Prior set to GP** and **acquisition to Expected Improvement,** a bit restrictive? (ex. conjugate distribution for prior)

**Representative workloads** are needed. How can one get them?

Does it actually converge to a minima for noisy prior?



## Questions?