

Data Centric Networking

Session 1: Introduction to R202 Data Centric Networking

Eiko Yoneki

*Systems Research Group
University of Cambridge Computer Laboratory*

Welcome and Introduction

- Welcome to R202
- First introduce yourselves
 - Tell about yourself
 - Your name and where you studied before ACS
 - What modules have you taken in Michaelmas term
 - What is your research interests
 - What is your ACS project
 - Why are you interested in data centric networking
 - Do you want to continue research career after ACS?



UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
Computer Laboratories

My Background

- **EPSRC Research Fellow**
 - R&D Engineer in IBM – Networking
 - Return to Academia
 - PhD on Data Centric Asynchronous Communication
 - Postdoc on Delay Tolerant Networking (EU Haggler)
 - Awarded EPSRC Fellow in 2009
- **Research interests**
 - Distributed Systems and Networking
 - Multi-point communication
 - Content distribution
 - Distributed graph data Processing
 - Intersection between networking and programming language
 - Data Driven Declarative Networking
 - Complex networks (e.g. Epidemiology, Social networks)

my income after return to academia

4

R202: Data Centric Networking

- Shift of Communication Paradigm
 - From end-to-end to data centric
 - Data as communication token
- Integration of complex data processing with networking
 - A key vision for future computing
 - Data-flow programming
- Different aspects of data centric approaches

5

R202 Course Objectives

- Understand key concepts of data centric approaches
- Understand how to build distributed systems using data centric communication and semantics of data
- Research skills
 - Read systems/networking papers
 - Establish basic research domain knowledge in data centric networking
 - Obtain your view of research area for thinking forward

6

Course Structure

- Reading Club
 - 3-4 Paper review presentations and discussion per session (~ = 20 minutes each)
 - Each of you will present about 2 reviews during the course
 - You can use your own laptop or USB key with your PowerPoint or PDF file
 - Revised (if necessary) presentation slides needs to be submitted on the following day
 - *Review_Log*: minimum 1 per session
 - w/o section 6&7 by noon on Monday
 - w/ section 6&7 by 17:00 by Wednesday
 - Active participation to review discussion!



7

Review_Log

Paper Review Log: Session 2 (2012/01/31)

Name and (crsid): _____

Paper Title and Authors _____

1. Paper Summary (<100 words)
Describe a brief summary (extract essentials). _____

2. List other papers you read or skimmed for understanding this paper _____

3. Punch-line of the Paper (<250 words):
What is the significant contribution?
What is the difference from the existing work? _____

4. Short Paper (<250 words): **this section is removed**
What is the novel idea?
What is required to complete the work? _____

5. What didn't you understand? (<100 words)
Crystallise what you did not get from the paper and describe your potential questions to the presentation/discussion. Do you have any major criticism to the authors? _____

6. What did you learn from the presentation? (<250 words)
Describe what you gained from the presentation and discussion including your notes from the session if applicable. _____

7. What paper do you want to read next as a followon? _____

8

Course Work: Reports

- **Review report** on full length of paper (1800 words)
 - Describe the contribution of paper in depth with criticism
 - Crystallise the significant novelty in contrast to the other related work
 - Suggestion for future work
- **Survey report** on sub-topic in data centric networking (<2000 words)
 - Pick up to 5 papers as core papers in your survey scope
 - Read them and expand your reading through related work
 - Comprehend your view and finish as your survey paper
- **Hand in reports**
 - Report 1 February 21 noon
 - Report 2 March 13 noon
 - No particular order

9

Course Work: Reports

- **Report on project study** and exploration of a prototype (<2500 words)
 - What is the significance of the project in the research domain?
 - Compare with the similar and succeeding projects
 - Demonstrate the project by exploring its prototype
 - Project selection by **February 4, 2012**
 - Project presentation on **March 13, 2012**
 - Final report on the project study on **March 22, 2012**

10

Study of Open Source Project

- Open Source project normally comes with new proposal of system/networking architecture
- Understand the prototype of proposed architecture, algorithms, and systems through running an actual prototype
- Any additional work
 - Writing applications
 - Extending prototype to another platform
 - Benchmarking using online large dataset
- Present/explain how prototype runs
- Some projects are rather large and may require extensive environment and time; make sure you are able to complete this assignment

11

Candidates of Open Source Project

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~ey204/teaching/ACS/R202_2011_2012/opensource_projects.html

- List is not exhausted and discuss with me if you find more interesting one for you
- Expectation of workload on open source project study is about intensive 3 full days work except writing up report
- One approach: pick one in the session topic, which you are interested in along your survey report
- CCN, CIEL, HAGGLE...

12

Important Dates

- February 4 (Saturday)
 - Project selection
- February 21 (Tuesday)
 - Review report or Survey report
- March 13 (Tuesday)
 - Review report or Survey report
- March 22 (Thursday)
 - Open source project study report

13

Assessment

- The final grade for the course will be provided as a letter grade or percentage and the assessment will consist of two parts:
- 25%: for a reading club (presentation, participation and *review_log*)
- 75%: for the three reports
 - 20%: Intensive review report
 - 25%: Survey report
 - 30%: Project study

14

Topic Areas

- **Session 1:** Introduction to Data Centric Networking
- **Session 2:** Content-Centric Networking (CCN) and Content Distribution Networks (CDN)
- **Session 3:** [Map/Reduce Hands-on Tutorial](#) with CIEL
- **Session 4:** Programming in Data Centric Environment
- **Session 5:** Stream Data Processing and Data/Query Model + [Guest lecture](#)
- **Session 6:** Graph Structured Data: Network, Storage, and Query Processing
- **Session 7:** Network holds Data in Delay Tolerant Networks (DTN)
- **Session 8:** Project study presentation

15

Reading Papers

- Scope of DCN is wide
- ...includes distributed systems, OS, networking, middleware, programming language, database...
- Understand where DCN functionality resides and how whole system works
- Type of papers
 - Building a real networking component and system
 - Proposing algorithm/mechanism on routing or architecture design
 - New idea (w/ or w/o simulation)

16

Critical Thinking

- Reading a research paper is not like reading a text book
- But the most important one is that the paper is not necessary the *truth*
 - there is no right and wrong, just good and bad
 - There are inherently subjective qualities...but you can't get away with just your opinion: must argue
- Critical thinking is the skill of marrying subjective and objective judgment of a piece of work

First Let's Argue for...

- What is the problem?
- What is important?
- Why isn't it solved in previous work?
 - Why CCN? Current Internet naming is not good enough?
- What is the approach?
 - DHT for multicast
- Why is this novel/innovative?

And Now against...

- Problem is overstated (or oversold)
 - CCN – does flat name scale?
- Problem does not exist
- Approach is broken
 - Functional programming language too difficult for regular programmers?
- Solution is insufficient
 - Only works when data rate is lower than ...
- Evaluation is unfair/biased
 - ZebraNet only uses 5 nodes for evaluation...can it be applied on the general case?

So Which is RIGHT Answer?

- There isn't one!
 - Most of arguments are mostly correct...
- Your judge on what is valuable on topic
- In this course, we'll be reviewing a selection of +20 papers (3-4 per week)
 - Cover 5 different aspects of data centric networking
 - All of these papers were peer-reviewed and published
 - However you can pick your opinion on papers!

Reviewing Tips & Tricks

- Identify a **core paper** for the topic
- Read **related work and/or background** section and read key other papers on the topic
- Capture the author's claim of **contribution** in *introduction* section and judge if it is delivered
- Identify **major idea** from main section, normally described at beginning
- Understand the **methodology** to demonstrate paper's approach
- Capture **what authors evaluate** and judge if that is a **good way to evaluate** the proposed idea
- For theory/algorithm paper, capture what it produces as a result (rather than how)

21

Elements in Review Comments

- Paper Summary
 - Provide a brief summary of the paper
 - At this stage you should try to be objective
- Problem
 - What is the problem? Why is it important? Why is previous work insufficient?
- Solution or Approach
 - What is their approach?
 - How does it solve the problem?
 - How is the solution unique and/or innovative?
 - What are the details?
- Evaluation is unfair/biased
 - How do they evaluate their solution?
 - What questions do they answer?
 - What are the strength/weakness of the system and evaluation itself?

S. Hand'10

22

Elements in Review Comments

- What do **YOU** think?
 - Where you finally get to explain your opinion!
 - You should aim to give *a judgement* on the work
 - Your judgement should be backed by your argument
- Questions for the authors

Review_Log

Paper Review Log: Session 1 (2011/01/20)

Name and (orsid):

Paper Title and Authors

1. Paper Summary (<100 words)

Describe a brief summary (extract essentials).

2. List other papers you read or skimmed for understanding this paper

3. Long Paper (<260 words):

What is the significant contribution?

What is the difference from the existing work?

4. Short Paper (<260 words):

What is the novel idea?

What is required to complete the work?

5. What didn't you understand? (<100 words)

Crystallise what you did not get from the paper and describe your potential questions to the presentation/discussion. Do you have any major criticism to the authors?

6. What did you learn from the presentation? (<260 words)

Describe what you gained from the presentation and discussion including your notes from the session if applicable.

7. What paper do you want to read next as a follow on?

Review_Log

1. Paper summary (<100 words)
 - Describe a brief summary
 - Aim: you have read and extracted essentials
2. List other papers you read or skimmed for understanding this paper
3. Review full length paper (<250 words)
 - What is the significant contribution?
 - What is the difference from the existing works?
 - What is the novel idea?
 - What is required to complete the work?

25

Review_Log

5. What didn't you understand? (<100 words)
 - Crystallise what you did not get from the paper and describe your potential questions to the presentation/discussion
 - Do you have any major criticism to the authors?
6. What did you learn from the presentation? (<250 words)
 - Describe what you gained from the presentation and discussion including your notes from the session if applicable
7. What paper do you want to read next as a follow on?

26

How to Review a Paper Aid...

- S. Keshav: How to Read a Paper, ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review 83 Volume 37, Number 3, July 2007.
- T. Roscoe: Writing Reviews for Systems Conferences, 2007.
- Simon Peyton-Jones: How to write a great paper and give a great talk about it, Microsoft Research Cambridge.
- David A. Patterson: How to Have a Bad Career in Research/Academia, 2001.

[See course web page for the paper links.](#)

27


Structure of Presentation

- Cover 3 things in your presentation
 1. Background/context
 - What motivated the authors?
 - What else was going on in the research community?
 - How have things changed since?
 2. What is problem to be tackled?
 - What is the problem they tried to solve?
 - What are the key ideas?
 - What did the authors actually do?
 - What were the results?
 3. Your opinion of the paper
 - What you agree and what you disagree?
 - What is the strength and weakness of their approach?
 - What are the key takeaway?
 - What was the impact (possible impact)?


S. Hand'10

28

Preparing...

- Not too much basics: remember, everyone will have read the paper 
 - Brief overview
 - Do not make exact repeat of the paper
- Aim: generate discussion – spit your straight opinion about the paper to stir the discussion
 - Explore the arguments they make and the conclusions they draw. What is your opinion on it?
 - When you argue, state clearly the point of argument

Presenting...

- Practice beforehand to ensure length of your presentation
- Getting nervous is normal! 
 - We are in the same boat and we help each other to understand the paper
 - Presentation is a tool to provide a discussion forum
- Try not to get defensive or angry at questions
 - It is not your paper !

Listening Presentation...

- You need to get involved



- Ask questions from your review – bring your *review_log* copy
- Always be respectful of the speaker



S. Hand'10

31

How to write Survey paper

- Demonstrate a summary of recent research results in a novel way that integrates and adds understanding to work in the research area
- Must expose relevant details associated, but it is important to keep a consistent level of details and to avoid simply listing the different works
- For example:
 - Define the scope of your survey
 - Classify and organize the trend
 - Critical evaluation of approaches (pros/cons)
 - Add your analysis or explanation (e.g. table, figure)
 - Add reference and pointer to further in-depth information



32

Summary

- R202 course web page:

http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~ey204/teaching/ACS/R202_2011_2012

- Slides of presentation, forms, other information will be on the web
- Enjoy the course!