SemEval-2010 Task 8 Multi-Way Classification of Semantic Relations Between Pairs of Nominals

Iris Hendrickx, Su Nam Kim, Zornitsa Kozareva, Preslav Nakov, Diarmuid Ó Séaghdha, Sebastian Padó, Marco Pennacchiotti, Lorenza Romano, Stan Szpakowicz

1. Task Description

- The ability to identify relations between entities in a text is a fundamental part of language understanding.
- SemEval-2010 Task 8 involves classifying which, if any, of nine semantic relations holds between pairs of entities in their sentential context and mapping the entities onto the argument slots of the predicted relation.
- Many tasks consider relations between named entities (e.g., ACE, BioCreative); we consider general relations between common nouns.
- We have defined nine relations; the dataset also contains sentences expressing "none of the above" (labelled **Other**).
- We build on the successful SemEval-07 Task 4 on Classifying Semantic Relations Between Nominals (Girju et al., 2007). To develop a more realistic and robust task we have made significant changes:
 - Multiclass task instead of a set of binary tasks.
 - Much larger dataset (10,000 items versus \sim 1,500).
 - Systems are not provided with WordNet annotations; for test examples no mapping of entities to argument slots is provided.

2.	Relations
Cause-Effect	Smoking caus
Instrument-Agency	The <i>murderer</i>
Product-Producer	Bees make h
Content-Container	The <i>cat</i> is in t
Entity-Origin	<i>Vinegar</i> is ma
Entity-Destination	The car arrive
Component-Whole	The <i>laptop</i> ha
Member-Collection	There are ten
Communication-Topic	You interrupte

ses cancer.

rused an *axe*.

oney.

the *hat*.

ide from wine.

ed at the station.

as a fast processor.

cows in the herd.

ed a *lecture* on *maths*.

• Each example consists of two (base) NPs marked with tags <e1> and <e2>:

People in Hawaii might be feeling <e1>aftershocks</e1> from that <e2>powerful earthquake</e2> for weeks.

- but **Cause-Effect**(*e2*, *e1*) does.
- Three-stage annotation process:
 - relation.
 - map patterns to relations.
 - alternative eight relations.

- development, 200 testing.

- way-classification-of-semantic-relations

3. Data Collection

Relations are asymmetric – here **Cause-Effect**(*e1*, *e2*) does not hold,

(1) Detailed annotation guidelines have been developed for each

(2) Data will be collected from the Web using a semi-automatic, pattern-based search procedure. The patterns will be chosen in such a way as to ensure that systems cannot simply learn to

(3) Each example will be labelled by two independent annotators. The examples will be pooled by pattern-associated relation, but the annotators may label them as **Other** or as any of the

4. Dataset and Evaluation

• Dataset consisting of 1,000 examples per relation: 700 training, 100

Total of 10,000 examples (9 relations + Other).

Data licensed under Creative Commons Attribution Licence 3.0.

• Official system ranking by F-score (macro-averaged over nine relations); we will also calculate accuracy on the whole dataset.

5. More Information

• Task web page: http://groups.google.com/group/semeval-2010-multi-

Contact: Preslav Nakov (nakov@comp.nus.edu.sg)

