

# 1D •••• 2D Cross-modality for deep audiovisual classification

Cătălina Cangea

Computer Laboratory, University of Cambridge, UK

**CI-compbio group meeting** 

22 January 2018



 Aim to improve classification performance of a multimodal recognition system

 Learn from multiple representations (images, speech, ...) of the same symbols (0–9, A–Z)



#### **Previous approaches**

# Srivastava et al. (2012) — multimodal Deep Boltzmann Machine fusing images and text





### **Previous approaches**

# Ngiam et al. (2011) — bimodal deep autoencoders fusing audio and video





### **Cross-modality**

- Only previously done after feature extraction
- ...but likely to increase classification performance if done during this step — exploit correlations
- Non-trivial between incompatible (both spatially and semantically) data types (audio/video)





- 1. Three deep learning **architectures** with cross-modal feature extractors, each processing two modalities
- 2. A new high-quality audiovisual dataset

3. Interpretability of cross-modal exchanges  $\rightarrow$  conclusions on mutual influence between feature extractors and data types





- 1. **CNN**  $\times$  **MLP**: take as input video frames and MFCCs for the entire sequence;
- 2. **CNN**  $\times$  **CNN**: video frames and spectrograms for the entire sequence;
- 3. {CNN  $\times$  MLP}–LSTM: video frames and corresponding MFCCs, frame by frame.

The first 2 models process fixed-length sequences; had to average examples across suitable windows, resulting in loss of information.



# $\textbf{CNN} \times \textbf{MLP}$ baseline





# $\overline{\text{CNN}} \times \overline{\text{CNN}}$ baseline





# $\{CNN \times MLP\}-LSTM$ baseline





#### **Cross-connections**

- Introduced by Veličković et al. (2016)
- Exchange feature maps between streams that process compatible data (e.g. YUV channels)





### Non-trivial cross-connections

- 2D ~> 1D: pass 2D features through a convolutional layer, flatten the result and send it to a fully-connected layer which produces 1D output
- ► 1D ~→ 2D: pass 1D features through a fully-connected layer, reshape the result and deconvolve it to obtain data in a matching shape for the other stream
- 2D ~> 2D: carefully deconvolve to account for the differences in aspect ratio



#### Cross-connections for $\textbf{CNN} \times \textbf{MLP}$





# $\textbf{CNN} \times \textbf{MLP}$ with cross-connections





# **Residual connections**

"Shortcut" connections introduced by He et al. (2016) to facilitate designing deep architectures



My work allows to shortcut inputs between incompatible streams in a straightforward manner.



# $CNN \times MLP$ with cross-connections and residuals





### Cross-connection regularisation

- Merging a stream with a cross-connection output increases the number of parameters in the next layer—need increased regularisation after the merging point (dropout from 0.25 to 0.5)
- ReLU activation used in all intermediate layers, but cross-connections use PReLU (parametric ReLU) to maintain information integrity:

$$PReLU(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha x, & x \leq 0, \\ x, & x > 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$  is learnable (and always 0 for *ReLU*).





- Existing datasets (AVletters, CUAVE) were either inaccessible or over-processed
- Collected data consisting of 750 high-quality examples of 15 people, each saying the digits 0–9 in 5 different tones
- Processed three modalities: video frames (2D), MFCCs (1D), spectrograms (2D)



# Digits dataset







#### **Results for AVIetters**

	Baseline	Cross-connected	<i>p</i> -value
$CNN \times MLP$	73.1%	74.0%	0.65
$\{\text{CNN} \times \text{MLP}\}\text{-LSTM}$	78.1%	85.6%	0.02

AVletters was over-processed, which resulted in a poor modality alignment exacerbated by window averaging—the only situation where the fixed-length model was not *significantly* better.



# **Results for CUAVE**

	Baseline	Cross-connected	<i>p</i> -value
$CNN \times MLP$	90.3%	93.5%	0.05
$\{CNN\timesMLP\}\text{-}LSTM$	96.9%	98.8%	0.01



# **Results for Digits**

	Baseline	Cross-connected	<i>p</i> -value
$CNN \times MLP$	78.3%	86.7%	2 ×10 <sup>-3</sup>
$CNN \times CNN$	66.7%	70.4%	5×10 <sup>-4</sup>
$\{CNN\timesMLP\}LSTM$	88.7%	93.0%	$1.2 \times 10^{-3}$



# Interpretability

 Adding cross-connections enables the modalities to interact more usefully towards building a stronger joint representation

► Investigated the discriminative properties of cross-connections (2D → 1D) and their ability to pass features between streams in a structurally interpretable manner (1D → 2D)





A dimensionality reduction method that preserves the notion of distance between the points in a high-dimensional feature space, allowing for detecting interpretable 2D clustering.

Investigated outputs from a 2D ~> 1D connection from the CNN
× MLP model



### t-SNE visualisation



Visible clustering observed across the different classes (0-9).



# Structural interpretability

- ► Analysed a 1D ~→ 2D residual cross-connection from the {CNN × MLP}–LSTM model
- Plotted Euclidean distances (L<sup>2</sup> norms) between consecutive input sequences and the corresponding outputs of the residual connection
- Visualised activations of the cross-connection for several examples, across all timesteps



# Euclidean distances





# Activations





#### Conclusions

- Devised a novel way of exchanging information between fundamentally incompatible data types in the feature extraction stage, obtaining highly significant improvements in classification performance
- Created a new high-quality dataset that can be used for future multimodal research
- Made steps towards higher interpretability of multimodal learning
- Work presented in a poster at the ARM Research Summit 2017 and during a presentation at the Workshop on Computational Models for Crossmodal Learning (CMCML), IEEE ICDL-EPIROB 2017.





# **Questions?**

