

5 Cryptography (mgk25)

(a) Consider the following two alternative definitions of a MAC function, which receives as input an $(n \cdot L)$ -bit long message of the form $M = M_1 \| M_2 \| \dots \| M_L$ with $M_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and a private key $K \in \{0, 1\}^n$ picked uniformly at random, returning a tag $T \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Show how neither definition provides the security property of *existential unforgeability*.

(i) Let F be an n -bit to n -bit pseudo-random function. Return the message tag $T = F_K(M_1) \oplus F_K(M_2) \oplus \dots \oplus F_K(M_L)$. [4 marks]

(ii) Let F be a $(2n)$ -bit to n -bit pseudo-random function. Return the message tag $T = F_K(\langle 1 \rangle \| M_1) \oplus F_K(\langle 2 \rangle \| M_2) \oplus \dots \oplus F_K(\langle L \rangle \| M_L)$. [6 marks]

[Notation: $\|$ = concatenation of bit strings, \oplus = bit-wise XOR, $\langle i \rangle$ = n -bit binary representation of non-negative integer i .]

(b) Your colleague proposes to construct an authenticated encryption scheme that encrypts a plain-text message M by first calculating the message authentication code $\text{CMAC}_K(M) = T$, and then forms the ciphertext by encrypting $M \| T$ using CFB mode with initial vector $IV = E_K(T)$, using the same key and blockcipher E_K . Does this construction offer CCA security? Why or why not? [5 marks]

(c) Given a block cipher E_K with n -bit block size, where $n \geq 64$ is a power of two, how can you use E_K to construct a strong pseudo-random permutation for $\frac{n}{2}$ -bit blocks? [5 marks]