

12 Hoare Logic and Model Checking (AM)

- (a) Suppose we have a representation of a computer system, either as a set of axioms Γ specifying its behaviour or as a model \mathcal{M} , along with a property ϕ which we expect to hold (but which may not hold due to programming errors). Give two reasons why we might prefer to model-check $\mathcal{M} \models \phi$ rather than use logical inference to prove $\Gamma \vdash \phi$. [2 marks]
- (b) Assuming a given set AP of atomic properties, ranged over by p , give the syntax of LTL formulae ϕ . (It is not necessary to be encyclopaedic—full marks can be obtained by including four constructs not present in classical logic.) Explain how an LTL formula is interpreted as true or false in a model. It suffices to consider two temporal operators along with conjunction and an atomic property p . [7 marks]
- (c) Suppose p is an atomic property. Give informal explanations of the two properties $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F} p)$ and $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{G} p)$. State, giving reasons, whether the properties are equivalent or whether one implies the other. [3 marks]
- (d) Consider a program consisting of the following two threads where **WORK** is an unspecified unit of work not involving variables **A** or **B**. The threads are executed on a scheduler which first sets **A** and **B** to zero and then repeatedly and non-deterministically chooses to execute a *whole line* of code from either the left or right thread. An **AWAIT** e statement can only be scheduled if its condition e evaluates to true.

<p style="margin: 0;">L: AWAIT A=0; A:=1; AWAIT B=0; B:=1; WORK; A:=0; B:=0; GOTO L;</p>	<p style="margin: 0;">M: AWAIT B=0; B:=1; AWAIT A=0; A:=1; WORK; B:=0; A:=0; GOTO M;</p>
--	--

Determine a Kripke structure model for this program, and draw it as a finite-state automaton. You should label one or more states of the automaton as satisfying the atomic property of **deadlock**. [5 marks]

- (e) Give a temporal logic formula expressing that **deadlock** does not occur. For the program in Part (d), would a model checker prove this formula or produce a counterexample trace? [3 marks]