## COMPUTER SCIENCE TRIPOS Part II – 2012 – Paper 9

## 8 Natural Language Processing (SHT)

The following shows a simple context free grammar (CFG) for a fragment of English:

S -> NP VP	NP -> Sandy
VP -> V NP	Det -> a
VP -> V NP NP	N -> puppy
VP -> V NP PP	N -> garden
VP -> VP PP	V -> walks
NP -> Det N	V -> gives
PP -> P NP	P -> to
NP -> Kim	P -> in

(a) Give all analyses (parse trees) this grammar assigns to the following sentences:

Kim gives a puppy to Sandy. Kim walks a puppy in a garden.

Which of the analyses is correct?

[6 marks]

- (b) The example sentences in (a) illustrate a type of ambiguity common in natural languages. Characterise it, and explain how it arises. [4 marks]
- (c) Indicate at least two (other) sources of incorrect analyses in the above grammar, illustrating them with the corresponding ungrammatical sentences. Explain what causes the incorrect analysis. [4 marks]
- (d) The incorrect analyses in (a) could be avoided using an appropriate feature structure based grammar. Describe the exact mechanism for how this can be done. Does this treatment also deal with your incorrect analyses in (c)?

[6 marks]