Logic and Proof

For each of the following statements, briefly justify whether it is true or false. In the following x, y, z are variables, and a, b, c are constants.

- (a) Given any propositional logic formula ϕ that is a tautology, converting ϕ to CNF will result in **t**.
- (b) Executing the DPLL method on the clauses

 $\{P,Q,\neg S\} \quad \{\neg P,Q,\neg R\} \quad \{P\} \quad \{\neg Q,R\} \quad \{S,\neg Q\}$

produces a result without needing any case split steps.

- (c) The OBDD corresponding to the propositional logic formula $(P \lor Q) \land \neg P$ does not have any decision nodes for the propositional letter P.
- (d) Skolemizing the first order logic formula $\exists x(\phi(x))$ results in a logically equivalent formula $\phi(a)$ (where a is a fresh constant).
- (e) The Herbrand Universe that is generated from the clauses $\{P(a)\}, \{Q(x,b), \neg P(x)\}$ and $\{\neg Q(a, y)\}$ contains two elements.
- (f) The two terms f(x, y, z) and f(g(y, y), g(z, z), g(a, a)) can be unified.
- (g) It is not possible to resolve the clauses $\{P(x)\}$ and $\{\neg P(f(x))\}$ because the occurs check prevents the literals being unified.
- (h) The clause $\{P(x, x), P(x, a)\}$ can be factored to give the new clause $\{P(x, a)\}$.
- (i) The empty clause can be derived from the clauses $\{P(x), P(a)\}, \{P(x), \neg P(a)\}, \{\neg P(b), Q\}$ and $\{\neg P(c), \neg Q\}$ using resolution.
- (j) Because in the modal logic S4 the equivalence $\Box\Box\phi\simeq\Box\phi$ holds for every formula ϕ , it follows that $\diamond\diamond\phi\simeq\diamond\phi$.

[2 marks each]