## 2003 Paper 5 Question 12

## **Complexity Theory**

If  $A \subseteq \Sigma_1^*$  and  $B \subseteq \Sigma_2^*$  are two languages over the alphabets  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  respectively, we write  $A \leq_P B$  to denote that A is polynomial-time reducible to B.

- (a) Give a precise definition of  $\leq_P$
- (b) Is the relation  $\leq_P$  on languages:
  - (i) reflexive?
  - (*ii*) symmetric?
  - (*iii*) transitive?

Give a proof for your answer in each case.

[9 marks]

(c) If  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet, show that if P = NP then every language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  in NP is NP-complete except  $\emptyset$  and  $\Sigma^*$ . Why are these two exceptions not NP-complete? [9 marks]

[2 marks]