Artificial Intelligence I

The following Prolog relation appends a list A to a list B to give a list C.

append([],Y,Y).
append([H|T],Y,[H|Z]) :- append(T,Y,Z).

(a) Using the append relation, write a Prolog predicate insert(X,Y,Z) that is true if X can be inserted into a list Y to give a list Z. Your relation should be capable of using backtracking to generate all lists obtained from Y by inserting X at some point, using a query such as:

insert(c,[a,b],Z).

to obtain Z=[c,a,b], Z=[a,c,b], and Z=[a,b,c] and it should generate each possibility exactly once. [5 marks]

(b) Using the insert relation, write a Prolog predicate perm(X,Y) that is true if a list Y is a permutation of a list X. Your predicate should respond to a query such as

perm([a,b,c],Y)

by using backtracking to generate all permutations of the given list. [6 marks]

(c) We have a list of events [e1,e2,...,en]. A partial order can be expressed in Prolog by stating

before(e3,e4).
before(e1,e5).

and so on, where before(a,b) says that event a must happen before event b (although not necessarily immediately before). No ordering constraints are imposed other than those stated using before.

Given a list of events, a *linearisation* of the list is any ordering of its events for which none of the **before** constraints are broken. Given the example above and the list [e1,e2,e3,e4,e5], one valid linearisation would be [e3,e1,e2,e5,e4]. However, [e4,e2,e1,e5,e3] is not a valid linearisation because the first **before** constraint does not hold.

Using the perm predicate or otherwise, and assuming that your Prolog program contains before constraints in the format suggested above, write a Prolog predicate po(X,Y) that is true if Y is a valid linearisation of the events in the list X. Your relation should be capable of using backtracking to generate all valid linearisations as a result of a query of the form

po([e1,e2,e3,e4,e5],Y).

[9 marks]