## 1999 Paper 4 Question 4

## Compiler Construction

It is commonly suggested that Algol-60 call-by-name can be modelled by passing a function as a call-by-value parameter. Show how a program containing a definition

```
int f(int x:name) { ... x ... x ... }
```

of f (where x occurs only in Rvalue context) and a call f(e) to f can be replaced by an equivalent definition and call using only call-by-value. [6 marks]

Most such explanations assume that the uses of x within f occur only in Rvalue context. However, Algol-60 also permits the equivalent of

and calls like g(a[k()]) which, when p is true, would have the effect of calling k() five times and consequent access to five (possibly different) subscripts of array a[]. Develop your explanation for the first part of this question to cover also the case of a call-by-name parameter being used in both Lvalue and Rvalue contexts. [Hint: note that when p is false then the actual parameter to g need not be an Lvalue, so you may need two parameterless procedure arguments ("thunks").]

[8 marks]

Using the previous part or otherwise, give a translation of a definition and call h(e) using call-by-value-result (Ada in out mode) with no uses of the address-of (&) operator other than those involved in call-by-name. Your explanation is allowed to deviate from call-by-value-result by allowing side-effects in e to take place twice.

[6 marks]