1996 Paper 6 Question 9

Foundations of Functional Programming

A new form of abstraction on combinators, $\lambda' x$, is proposed. It is to have the same defining equations as $\lambda^T x$, augmented with equations for the new combinators \mathbf{B}' , \mathbf{C}' and \mathbf{S}' . The new equations should be applied instead of the existing ones if possible:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lambda'x.O \ P \ Q \equiv \mathbf{B}' \ O \ P \ (\lambda'x.Q) & x \ \text{not free in } O \ \text{or } P \\ \lambda'x.O \ P \ Q \equiv \mathbf{C}' \ O \ (\lambda'x.P) \ Q & x \ \text{not free in } O \ \text{or } Q \\ \lambda'x.O \ P \ Q \equiv \mathbf{S}' \ O \ (\lambda'x.P) \ (\lambda'x.Q) & x \ \text{not free in } O \end{array}$$

The reduction rules for the new combinators are

Here O, P, Q and R stand for combinatory terms.

Compare $\lambda' x$ with $\lambda^T x$ by applying both abstraction methods to the λ -term $\lambda x y z.z y x.$ [4 marks]

Give graph reduction rules for the new combinators. [2 marks]

Prove $(\lambda' x.P)Q \twoheadrightarrow_w P[Q/x]$ by induction. (You need to discuss only the new combinators.) [6 marks]

The size of the result of translating $\lambda' x_1 \dots x_n P$ is linear in n. Give a convincing argument that this is true. [8 marks]