

11 Optimising Compilers (AM)

- (a) Give a semantic notion of a variable being live at a program point, explaining why this is problematic to calculate. Now give a simpler-to-calculate notion of liveness and explain how it relates to the semantic notion. Formulate *dataflow equations* whose solution(s) give the liveness at each program point. You need only consider liveness of simple non-address-taken variables. [4 marks]
- (b) Suppose we have a basic block of p simple statements. Give a formula relating the liveness on entry to the block to those of its q neighbouring blocks in the control flow graph. This formula naturally uses $O(p) + O(q)$ operations – justify this statement. It is claimed that this formula can be re-arranged to require only $O(q)$ time to calculate by only using one ‘ \cup ’ and one ‘ \setminus ’ operator. Determine whether this is true. [*Hint*: you may wish to consider examples, and to start by solving the case $p = 2$. Partial credit will be given for a good set of concrete examples arguing for or against.] [5 marks]
- (c) To solve the dataflow equations, an initial approximation to liveness at the start of each basic block is required. What is it, and indicate why this leads to a preferable solution. [2 marks]
- (d) Solving dataflow equations is usually expressed iteratively, where each iteration is of the form “for every basic block re-calculate the set of live variables from the current sets of live variables of its neighbours”. We want to determine whether some basic-block orderings in “for every basic block” result in fewer overall iterations than others. Suppose the program has k basic blocks, but no cycle in the control flow graph; give an optimal ordering which only requires one dataflow iteration to calculate liveness (a second would only calculate the same value of the first). Also give such a program and an ordering which maximises the number of iterations required, giving the number of iterations in terms of k . [5 marks]
- (e) Consider the program with four labelled blocks (with B1 as entry node):

```

B1: x = read(); y = read(); z = read(); goto B2;
B2: z = z+1; x = x-1; if (x>0) goto B3; else goto B4;
B3: z = z+1; y = y-1; if (y>0) goto B2; else goto B4;
B4: print(z);

```

Show (i) there is no basic block ordering for which a single iteration gives the correct liveness at each label, but (ii) there is an ordering for which two iterations suffice (in the sense that a third would agree with the second). Give your ordering both explicitly as a permutation of {B1, B2, B3, B4} and also as a general principle along the lines of your answer to part (d). [4 marks]