## COMPUTER SCIENCE TRIPOS Part IB – 2012 – Paper 3

## 8 Prolog (DE)

- (a) Give the result and any variable bindings that occur from making each of the following (independent) queries.
  - (i) 32 = A. [1 mark]
  - (ii) a(b(6, A)) = a(b(B, 2)). [1 mark]
  - (iii) a(b(x, A)) = a(b(5, 4)). [1 mark]
  - (iv) A = 3 + 6, A is 9. [1 mark]
  - (v) A is 3 + 6, A = 9. [1 mark]
- (b) Given the following clauses,
  - a(4). a(x). b(3,x). b(1,7). c(A, B, C) :- a(A), b(B, \_), !, a(D). c(A, \_, B) :- b(A, B).
  - (i) List the solutions reported by Prolog to the query c(P, Q, R), for each giving any binding of variables that occurs.[2 marks]
  - (*ii*) Explain whether the query in part (b)(i) can bind P to x. [1 mark]
  - (iii) List the solutions to the query c(1, 6, R), for each giving any binding of variables that occurs.
- (c) We represent a binary tree using a term of the form [Left,NodeName,Right] or null. Here is an example perhaps recording a tree of excursions between countries:

[[null,de,null],uk,[[null,ua,null],pt,null]]

Write a predicate p(+Tree, +NNames, -Ps) where Tree is a tree as above, NNames is a list of node names, and Ps is to be unified with a list of elements of the form [N,P] where N is in NNames and P is N's parent in Tree. [For example, supposing T is bound to the above tree, the query p(T, [ua, za], Ps) binds Ps to [[ua, pt]].] [5 marks]

- (d) Difference lists are a powerful tool for increasing efficiency but they address a very specific problem. Does this issue arise in your implementation of p/3? In other words, can p/3 be made more efficient using difference lists and why (or why not)? [2 marks]
- (e) Regardless of your answer above, write a predicate pd1/3 that behaves just like p/3 but uses difference lists in its implementation. [4 marks]